

NZX Limited

NZ Markets Disciplinary Tribunal

Procedures

6 August 2010

Contents

Section 1: Introduction and General Provisions	1
Amendment Procedure	1
1.1 Amendment of Rules	1
Section 2: Membership of Tribunal	3
Section 3: Divisions	4
Selection Procedure	4
3.1 Selection	4
3.2 Substitution3.3 Conflict	2
Section 4: Power of the Tribunal	5
Section 5: Appeal Panel	6
Section 6: Summary Hearing	7
Summary Hearing Selection Procedure	7
6.1 Example	7
Section 7: Full Hearing	8
Section 8: Summary Appeal	9
Section 9: Full Appeal	10
Section 10: Settlement	11
Section 11: Penalties	12
Penalty Band Guidance Procedure	12
11.1 Penalty Bands	12
11.2 Breach of NZX Participant Rules11.3 Breach of Listing Rules	12 18
11.4 Breach of Futures and Options Rules	22
11.5 Breach of the Clearing and Settlement Rules	28
11.6 Breach of the Derivatives Market Rules	34
Section 12: Costs and Expenses	40
Section 13: Failure to Pay Penalties or Costs	41

NZ Markets Disciplinary Tribunal Procedures

Section 14: Annual Regulatory Report	42
Section 15:Remuneration	43
Section 16: Annual and Other Meetings	44
Section 17: Indemnification	45
Section 18: Confidentiality	46

NZ Markets Disciplinary Tribunal Procedures

Section 1: Introduction and General Provisions Amendment Procedure

Procedures for Rule 1.3.1

1.1 Amendment of Rules

- 1.1.1 NZX may, from time to time at its discretion, initiate a review or amendment of the Rules by giving written notice to Participants. Any such notice will set out:
 - (a) the Rule or Rules to be reviewed;
 - (b) the nature issues that have arisen which necessitate the review or amendment;
 - (c) the policy result NZX desires to achieve from the review or amendment:
 - (d) in the case of an amendment, the amendment proposed;
 - (e) in the case of a review, the scope of the review;
 - (f) to the extent it differs from the timetable set out in this Procedure 1.1.3, the timetable for the review or amendment; and
 - (g) any other information NZX believes is relevant.
- 1.1.2 A review will comprise a broad policy based proposal for modification of the Rules without a specific amendment proposed. The specific amendment will be developed in the process of the review after consultation. An amendment will be narrower in focus and will propose a specific amendment.
- 1.1.3 A review or amendment of the Rules will, except to the extent NZX determines otherwise follow the following indicative time following issue of the notice by NZX for the amendment procedure:
 - (a) NZX to receive submissions on proposed review or amendment

15 Business Days following notice by NZX

(b) NZX to consider submissions, give Notice to Participants of its decisions on issues raised and in the case of a review, proposals for draft 15 Business Days following closing of submissions

amendments to the Rules.

- (c) NZX to receive submissions on NZX response and, in the case of a review, proposed amendments.
- 10 Business Days
- (d) NZX to consider submissions and provide final amendments (if any) to the Minister in accordance with Section 36J of the Securities Markets Act 1988.

10 Business Days

- 1.1.4 NZX may give notice to such other interested parties as it considers fit.
- 1.1.5 Where NZX believes it is appropriate having regard to the nature of the amendment (but not otherwise) it may adopt the procedures set out in this Procedure when making amendment to Procedures.
- 1.1.6 NZX reserves the right to depart from the process set out in this Procedure in unusual circumstances.
- 1.1.7 In addition to the procedures set out in this Procedure, NZX must comply with the requirements of Section 36H of the Securities Markets Act 1988 when amending the Rules.

Section 2: Membership of Tribunal

No procedures made.

Page 3 of 49

2

3

Section 3: Divisions

Selection Procedure

Procedures for Rule 3.1.1

3.1 Selection

3.1.1 The Chairperson or Deputy Chairperson (as the case may be), in selecting members of a Division for any hearing, shall consider the matter being called for consideration, the issues that will likely be raised and shall select the quorum, after determining any conflicts based on the expertise of Tribunal members relative to the matter for consideration.

3.2 Substitution

3.2.1 At the hearing of any matter by the Tribunal, if the Chairman of that Division is the member no longer sitting on that Division, the Chairperson of the Tribunal shall appoint a Chairman for that Division from the remaining two members and that newly appointed Chairperson of that Division shall have a casting vote.

3.3 Conflict

- 3.3.1 No member of the Tribunal who is concerned with, or implicated in, or who is a director, shareholder or employer of a person interested in, or implicated in, or is an advisor to a person interested in, or implicated in, that matter (or has been so concerned or held such appointment within the past 24 months from the date of the act or omission giving rise to the matter), shall be eligible to be selected in a Division to hear and determine that matter or shall be eligible to attend any meeting of the Tribunal in respect of that matter. If a member of the Tribunal is concerned with, is interested in, or implicated in, or is a director, shareholder or employer of a competitor of the person implicated in a matter (except in the case of the Market Participant Appointees, Clearing Participant Appointees or Derivatives Market Appointees, who may be selected in a Division whether or not they are competitors) such member shall also be ineligible to be selected in a Division to hear and determine that matter and shall be ineligible to attend any meeting of the Tribunal in respect of that matter.
- 3.3.2 All members of the Tribunal shall, as soon as is practicable after being advised of a matter to be heard and determined by the Tribunal, declare any interest in connection with the parties to, or the subject matter of, that matter. In such a case, that member shall not be eligible to attend any hearing or meeting of the Tribunal in respect of that matter.

Section 4: Power of the Tribunal

No procedures made.

Page 5 of 49

5

Section 5: Appeal Panel

6

Section 6: Summary Hearing Summary Hearing Selection Procedure

Procedures for Rule 6.1.1

6.1 Example

- 6.1.1 An example where the requirement for speed and certainty may result in a matter being referred to Tribunal under the Summary Hearing Procedure is where a transaction must proceed within a particular time period which prevents the notice requirements of the Full Hearing Procedure being complied with and there are allegations that the transaction or aspects of the transaction do not comply with any of the NZX Markets Rules or the Clearing and Settlement Rules, or any other rules or regulations of NZX or CHO, as the context requires, from time to time. In order for the transaction to proceed, the allegations must be dealt with and the Summary Hearing Procedure is, in NZX's opinion, the appropriate forum for this.
- 6.1.2 Without limiting NZX's discretion to refer a matter to the Summary Hearing Procedure or the Full Hearing Procedure, those breaches of:
 - (a) the NZX Participant Rules found in penalty bands 1-4 of part A of the Penalty Band Guidance Procedure in Procedure 11.2.1; and
 - (b) the Listing Rules found in penalty bands 1-3 of part B of the Penalty Band Guidance Procedure in Procedure 11.3.1; and
 - (c) the Futures and Options Rules found in penalty bands 1-4 of part C of the the Penalty Band Guidance Procedure in Procedure 11.4.1; and
 - (d) the Clearing and Settlement Rules found in penalty bands 1-4 of the Penalty Band Guidance Procedure in Procedures 11.5; and
 - (e) the Derivatives Market Rules found in penalty bands 1-4 of the Penalty Band Guidance Procedure in Procedures 11.6,

will ordinarily be referred to the Summary Hearing Procedure

Section 7: Full Hearing

No procedures made.

Page 8 of 49

7

Section 8: Summary Appeal

a |

Section 9: Full Appeal

10

Section 10: Settlement

11

Section 11: Penalties

Penalty Band Guidance Procedure

Procedures for Rule 11.20.1(f)

11.1 Penalty Bands

11.1.1 This Procedure provides a guide as to the appropriate financial penalties to be imposed by the Tribunal in respect of breaches of the NZX Participant Rules by Market Participants, the NZX Listing Rules by Listed Issuers, the Futures and Options Rules by Futures and Options Participants, the Clearing and Settlement Rules by Clearing Participants and Derivatives Market Rules by Derivatives Participants (respectively). The applicable penalties are divided into bands. Each of the penalty bands provides examples of types of breaches and the recommended financial penalty for such breach. The Procedure also sets out mitigating factors for consideration by the Tribunal in setting the penalty. The penalty bands are not an attempt to indicate the penalty that should be imposed for every breach of the NZX Markets Rules and/ or the Clearing and Settlement Rules. The Procedure is not determinative and the Tribunal will ultimately use its discretion in imposing any penalty under Rule 11.

11.2 Breach of NZX Participant Rules

11.2.1 The table below details the eight penalty bands that apply for breaches of the NZX Participant Rules. Ultimately, it is for the Tribunal or the Appeal Panel (as the case may be) to decide a penalty. However, this table sets out a guide of the penalties applicable to particular breaches of the NZX Participant Rules. Which band will be applicable for a particular breach will be determined through an assessment of the factors surrounding the breach including a review of any mitigating factors as set out in Procedure 11.2.2. For example if a Trading Participant fails to report a trade, a one-off monetary penalty may not be appropriate (instead a letter may be sent outlining the Trading Participant's obligations to report all trades), however if the same Trading Participant continually fails to report its trades then a monetary penalty will be appropriate (and will increase in value depending on how long the trades go unreported and whether the failure to report the trades is intentional). In addition, a breach of the NZX Participant Rules may fall into more than one penalty band. If so. the Tribunal or the Appeal Panel (as the case may be) may choose the most appropriate band to apply to that breach.

NZ Markets Disciplinary Tribunal Procedures

Summary of Offences • Minor and/or inadvertent breaches brought about as a result of incorrect administrative practices or operational functions which are inconsistent with the NZX Participant Rules	 Incorrect use of forms Failure to have a registered office at an authorised location Failure to provide NZX with copies of filings with the New Zealand Companies Office 	Range of Financial Penalty No monetary penalty imposed. Offending Market Participant advised by letter of breach and given a period of time to remedy breach	Business Area Affected •Market efficiency
Penalty Band 2			
Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected

•	Operational
	breaches of
	NZX Participant
	Rules of a
	minimal nature
	which do not
	create
	significant risk
	for the client or
	the market
_	Majority will be

- Majority will be administrative breaches
- Failure to report trades/late filing of trades (for which the penalty should be applied per day)
- Bundling of orders
- Failure to pay NZX Fees
- Failure to advise NZX when a Responsible Executive, Managing Principal or NZX Advisor leaves the employment of the Market Participant
- Failure to meet the minimum requirements of Know Your Client

- Up to \$2,000 per offence for an individual; or
- Up to \$4,000 per offence for a nonindividual
- Market efficiency
- Market liquidity

Summary of	Examples	Range of	Business Area
Offences		Financial Penalty	Affected
 Mainly operational breaches of the NZX Participant Rules (minimal to moderate breach) Failure to adhere to NZX Participant Rules due to poor administration or untrained staff 	 Failure to request Authority to Act Failure to issue a written contract note the day after the execution of a client's instruction Failure to have Terms of Business or similar agreement in place with a 	 Up to \$5,000 per offence for an individual; or Up to \$10,000 per offence for a non-individual 	 Market liquidity Public perception of NZX and market Market structure

client	
Failure to meet	
the minimum	
requirements of	
Know Your	
Client on an	
ongoing basis	
Danalty Danal 4	

0 6		5	D .
Summary of	Examples	Range of	Business Area
Offences		Financial Penalty	Affected
Operational breach of the NZX Participant Rules of a medium to moderate nature	 Failure to disclose to client the material interest of the Market Participant in the services or product on which they are making recommendati ons Failure to disclose a potential conflict Client funds account is overdrawn 	Up to \$10,000 per offence for an individual; or Up to \$20,000 per offence for a non-individual	Market integrity

Summary of	Examples	Range of	Business Area
Offences		Financial Penalty	Affected
 Breach of the 	 Acting in a 	 Up to \$25,000 	 Public
NZX Participant	manner which	per offence for	perception of
Rules of a	is detrimental to	an individual;	NZX and
moderate	NZX or puts	or	market
nature	NZX into	• Up to \$50,000	 Market
 Market 	disrepute	per offence for	integrity
Participant	 Failure to hold 	a non-	
should adhere	client assets in	individual	
to Good	trust at all times		
Broking	(as a result of		
Practice, have	an error, e.g.		
procedures in	due to the fact		
place to	that money was		
prevent a	applied to the		

breach of the	wrong account)	
Rules	 Failure to 	
	maintain liquid	
	capital	
	requirements	
	Client funds	
	overdrawn on a	
	regular basis	
	and the amount	
	the fund is	
	overdrawn is	
	substantial	
	Failure to	
	address and	
	resolve a	
	complaint in an	
	appropriate	
	manner	

Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected
Breach of NZX Participant Rules of a moderate nature	 Failure to create or adhere to Chinese Wall to manage conflicts Client assets used as security for Market Participant Communication of false/misleadin g information to clients Failure to hold client assets in trust as required by NZX Participant Rules Failure to ensure that a person providing client advice or investment 	Up to \$75,000 per offence for an individual; or Up to \$150,000 per offence for a non-individual	Market integrity Public perception of NZX and the market

	advice has the requisite NZX accreditation and approval • Failure to provide NZX	
	with information requested	
Penalty Band 7		

Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected
Breach of the NZX Participant Rules of a moderate to high nature	Market Participant found to have engaged in manipulative practices Market Participant has brought the market or NZX into disrepute Failure to maintain Liquid Capital requirements (is applicable to the Market Participant) Client funds overdrawn	Up to \$100,000 per offence for an individual; or Up to \$200,000 per offence for a non-individual	Market integrity Public perception of NZX and market

Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected
	 Fraudulent behaviour (e.g. use of client monies for personal use or insider trading) Market Participant found to have engaged in manipulative practices on an ongoing basis Market 	• Up to \$500,000 per offence for an individual or a non-individual.	Market integrity Public perception of NZX and market

	rticipant ntinues to put	
	'X into	
di	repute	

- 11.2.2 Each breach of the NZX Participant Rules is to be considered by the Tribunal or the Appeal Panel on a case by case basis considering all mitigating factors in relation to the breach. A review and/or investigation, if necessary, into the mitigating factors surrounding a breach will be fundamental to determine what penalty band fits the breach. In determining the exact level of severity of the breach, while bands are a guide, other factors will also be considered such as the following mitigating factors:
 - (a) How severe is the breach?
 - (b) Was the breach intentional?
 - (c) Was the breach the result of human error?
 - (d) Has the breach put client funds at risk?
 - (e) Has the breach placed NZX and the market into disrepute?
 - (f) How long has the breach existed?
 - (g) Was the breach brought to the attention of NZX by the Market Participant or was NZX advised of the breach as a result of its inspection process or information from the public/another NZX Firm?
 - (h) If the breach was highlighted by another Market Participant, is there an alternative motive for that Market Participant advising of the breach of the NZX Participant Rules by the Market Participant at fault?
 - (i) What has been the impact of the breach on the market/NZX/client?
 - (j) Was the breach remedied by the Market Participant as soon as the breach was discovered?
 - (k) Is the breach a recurring breach?
 - (I) Is there a pattern of breaches by the Market Participant?
 - (m) Has the Market Participant put processes in place to ensure future breaches of the same NZX Participant Rules do not occur?

11.3 **Breach of Listing Rules**

11.3.1 The table below details the six penalty bands that apply for breaches of the Listing Rules. Ultimately, it is for the Tribunal or the Appeal Panel (as the case may be) to decide a penalty. However, this table sets out a guide of the penalties applicable to particular breaches of the Listing Rules. Which band will be applicable for a particular breach will be determined through an assessment of the factors surrounding the breach including a review of any mitigating factors as set out below. For example, if a Listed Issuer mistakenly is late in advising NZX of a change in its Directors a monetary penalty may not be appropriate (instead a letter may be sent outlining the Issuer's obligations to advise of all changes in its Directors), however if the same Listed Issuer continually fails to advise NZX of changes to its Directors then a monetary penalty will be appropriate (and will increase in value depending on how long the reporting is delayed and whether the failure to report the change in Directors is intentional). In addition, a breach by a Listed Issuer may fall into more than one penalty band. If so, the Tribunal or the Appeal Panel (as the case may be) may choose the most appropriate band to apply to that breach.

Penalty Band

1

Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected
Breach not affecting shareholde rs (where not covered in other penalty bands)	 Breach of spread requirements Failure to pay NZX Fees 	• Up to \$5,000 per offence	Operational

Penalty Band

2

Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected
Failure to obtain required approval from NZX	 Notices of Meeting Constitution or Trust Deed Independent Solicitor Approval Appraiser Advertisements 	Up to \$10,000	Operational Compliance

Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected
Corporate Governance	 Rotation of independent auditor Establishment of an Audit Committee Board composition (independent directors) Failure to adequately disclose differences with Corporate Governance Best Practice Code Failure to disclose the Issuer's corporate governance practices in the annual report 	Up to \$20,000	Market Information Market Integrity Public perception of NZX and the market

Summary of	Examples	Range of	Business Area
Offences		Financial Penalty	Affected
 Breach of 	 Failure to 	Varies,	Public
terms of a	observe the	depending on	perception of
ruling or	terms of a	the principle	NZX and
waiver	waiver	that has been	market
		affected	 Market Integrity

Summary of	Examples	Range of	Business Area
Offences	•	Financial Penalty	Affected
• Breaches	 Issuing equity 	• Up to \$500,000	Shareholder
affecting	securities		rights
shareholder	without		Investor
s (where not	shareholder		confidence
covered in	approval		• Public
other	 Conducting 		perception of

penalty bands)	buybacks, redemptions or financial assistance without shareholder approval • Related Party transactions • Major Transactions under Listing Rule 9.1 • Sale of minimum holdings • Directors remuneration and retirement • Failure to produce transfer	NZX and market
	 Failure to produce 	
	 Failing to apply correct voting disqualifications 	

Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected
• Failure to provide timely, accurate and complete disclosure	 Periodic reporting Continuous Disclosure Directors disclosure of relevant interest Pre Break Disclosure 	• Up to \$500,000	 Market information Market integrity Investor confidence Public perception of NZX and the market

11.3.2 Each breach of the Listing Rules is to be considered by NZX Discipline or the Appeal Panel (as the case may be) on a case by case basis considering all mitigating factors in relation to the breach. A review and/or investigation, if necessary, into the mitigating factors surrounding a breach will be fundamental to determine what penalty band fits the breach. In determining the exact level of severity of the breach, while bands are a guide, other factors will also be considered such as the following mitigating factors:

- (a) How severe is the breach?
- (b) Has the breach put security holders at risk?
- (c) Has the breach placed NZX and the market into disrepute?
- (d) Was the breach intentional?
- (e) Was the breach the result of human error?
- (f) How long has the breach existed?
- (g) Was the breach brought to the attention of NZX by the Issuer or was NZX advised of the breach as a result of its market surveillance activities or information from the public/another Issuer?
- (h) If the breach was highlighted by another Issuer, is there an alternative motive for that Issuer advising of the breach of the Listing Rules by the Issuer at fault?
- (i) What has been the impact of the breach on the market/NZX/the Issuer's security holders?
- (j) Was the breach remedied by the Issuer as soon as the breach was discovered?
- (k) Is the breach a recurring breach?
- (I) Is there a pattern of breaches by the Issuer?
- (m) Has the Issuer put processes in place to ensure future breaches of the same Listing Rules do not occur?

11.4 Breach of Futures and Options Rules

The table below details the eight penalty bands that apply for 11.4.1 breaches of the Futures and Options Rules. Ultimately, it is for the Tribunal or the Appeal Panel (as the case may be) to decide a penalty. However, this table sets out a guide of the penalties applicable to particular breaches of the Futures and Options Rules. Which band will be applicable for a particular breach will be determined through an assessment of the factors surrounding the breach including a review of any mitigating factors as set out below. For example, if a Futures and Options Participant fails to report a trade, a one-off monetary penalty may not be appropriate (instead a letter may be sent outlining the Futures and Options Participant's obligations to report all trades), however if the same Future and Options Participant continually fails to report its trades then a monetary penalty will be appropriate (and will increase in value depending on how long the trades go unreported and

whether the failure to report the trades is intentional). In addition, a breach of the Futures and Options Participant may fall into more than one penalty band. If so, the Tribunal or the Appeal Panel (as the case may be) may choose the most appropriate band to apply to that breach.

Penalty Band 1

Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected
Minor and/or inadvertent breaches brought about as a result of incorrect administrative practices or operational functions, which are inconsistent with the Futures and Options Rules.	Incorrect use of forms Failure to provide NZX with copies of filings with the New Zealand Companies Office	•No monetary penalty imposed. Offending Futures and Options Participant advised by letter of breach and given a period of time to remedy breach	•Market efficiency

Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected
 Operational breaches of Futures and Options Rules of a minimal nature which do not create significant risk for the client or other clients in the futures and options market Majority will be administrative breaches 	 Failure to report trades/late filing of trades (for which the penalty should be applied per day) Bundling of orders Failure to pay NZX Fees Failure to advise NZX when a Compliance Officer or 	 Up to \$2,000 per offence for an individual; or Up to \$4,000 per offence for a non-individual 	Market efficiency Market liquidity

Futures and Options Advisor leaves the employment of the Futures and Options Participant • Failure to meet the minimum requirements of Know Your	
Client	

Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected
 Mainly operational breaches of the Futures and Options Rules (minimal to moderate breach) Failure to adhere to Futures and Options Rules due to poor administration or untrained staff 	 Failure to issue a written contract note the day after the execution of a client's instruction Failure to have Client Agreement and Risk Disclosure and Acknowledgem ent in place with a client Failure to meet the minimum requirements of Know Your Client on an ongoing basis 	 Up to \$5,000 per offence for an individual; or Up to \$10,000 per offence for a non-individual 	 Market liquidity Public perception of NZX and market Market structure Market integrity
Penalty Band 4			
Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected
 Operational breach of the Futures and Options Rules 	Failure to disclose to client the material	• Up to \$10,000 per offence for an individual;	Market integrity

of a medium to moderate nature	interest of the Futures and Options Participant in the services or product on which they are making recommendati ons • Failure to disclose a potential conflict • Client funds account is overdrawn	or • Up to \$20,000 per offence for a non- individual	
Penalty Band 5		<u> </u>	
Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected
Breach of the Futures and Options Rules of a moderate nature	 Acting in a manner which is detrimental to NZX or puts NZX into disrepute Failure to hold client assets in trust at all times (as a result of an error, e.g. due to the fact that money was applied to the wrong account) Failure to maintain liquid capital requirements Client funds overdrawn on a regular basis and the amount the fund is overdrawn is substantial Failure to address and 	Up to \$25,000 per offence for an individual; or Up to \$50,000 per offence for a non-individual	Public perception of NZX and market Market integrity

Penalty Band 6 Summary of	resolve a complaint in an appropriate manner	Range of	Business Area
Offences	Examples	Financial Penalty	Affected
Breach of Futures and Options Rules of a moderate nature	Failure to create or adhere to Chinese Wall to manage conflicts Client assets used as security for Futures and Options Participant Communication of false/misleadin g information to clients Failure to hold client assets in trust as required by Futures and Options Rules Failure to ensure that a person providing client advice or investment advice has the requisite NZX accreditation and approval Failure to provide the NZX Inspector with information requested	Up to \$75,000 per offence for an individual; or Up to \$150,000 per offence for a non-individual	Market integrity Public perception of NZX and market
Penalty Band 7 Summary of	Examples	Range of	Business Area

Offences		Financial Penalty	Affected
Breach of the Futures and Options Rules of a moderate to high nature	 Futures and Options Participant found to have engaged in manipulative practices Futures and Options Participant has brought the market or NZX into disrepute Failure to maintain Liquid Capital requirements (is applicable to the Futures and Options Participant) Client funds over-drawn 	Up to \$100,000 per offence for an individual; or Up to \$200,000 per offence for a non-individual	Market integrity Public perception of NZX and market
Penalty Band 8			
Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected
Severe breach of the Futures and Options Rules	 Fraudulent behaviour (e.g. use of client monies for personal use or insider trading) Futures and Options Participant found to have engaged in manipulative practices on an on-going basis Futures and Options Participant continues to put NZX into disrepute 	Up to \$500,000 per offence for an individual or a non-individual.	Market integrity Public perception of NZX and market.

- 11.4.2 Each breach of the Futures and Options Rules is to be considered by the Tribunal or the Appeal Panel (as the case may be) on a case by case basis considering all mitigating factors in relation to the breach. A review and/or investigation, if necessary, into the mitigating factors surrounding a breach will be fundamental to determine what penalty band fits the breach. In determining the exact level of severity of the breach, while bands are a guide, other factors will also be considered such as the following mitigating factors:
 - (a) How severe is the breach?
 - (b) Has the breach put client funds at risk?
 - (c) Has the breach placed NZX and the futures and options market into disrepute?
 - (d) Was the breach intentional?
 - (e) Was the breach the result of human error?
 - (f) How long has the breach existed?
 - (g) Was the breach brought to the attention of NZX by the Futures and Options Participant or was NZX advised of the breach as a result of its inspection process or information from the public/another Futures and Options Participant?
 - (h) If the breach was highlighted by another Futures and Options Participant, is there an alternative motive for that Futures and Options Participant advising of the breach of the Futures and Options Rules by the Futures and Options Participant at fault?
 - (i) What has been the impact of the breach on the futures and options market/NZX/clients?
 - (j) Was the breach remedied by the Futures and Options Participant as soon as the breach was discovered?
 - (k) Is the breach a recurring breach?
 - (I) Is there a pattern of breaches by the Futures and Options Participant?
 - (m) Has the Futures and Options Participant put processes in place to ensure future breaches of the same Futures and Options Rules do not occur?

11.5 Breach of the Clearing and Settlement Rules

11.5.1 The table below details the eight penalty bands that apply for breaches of the Clearing and Settlement Rules. Ultimately, it is for the Tribunal or the Appeal Panel (as the case may be) to decide a penalty. However, this table sets out a guide of the penalties applicable to particular breaches of the Clearing and Settlement Rules. Which band will be applicable for a particular breach will be determined through an assessment of the factors surrounding the breach including a review of any mitigating factors as set out below. For example, if a Clearing Participant fails to file any required forms or notify CHO of any changes that it is required to make, a one-off monetary penalty may not be appropriate (instead a letter may be sent outlining the Clearing Participant's obligations to file such forms or report such matters). However if the same Clearing Participant continually fails to fail such forms or provide such required notification, then a monetary penalty will be appropriate (and will increase in value depending on the recurrence of the failure and whether the failure is intentional). In addition, a breach of the Clearing and Settlement Rules may fall into more than one penalty band. If so, the Tribunal or the Appeal Panel (as the case may be) may choose the most appropriate band to apply to that breach.

Penalty Band 1

Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected
Minor and/or inadvertent breaches brought about as a result of incorrect administrative practices or operational functions, which are inconsistent with the Clearing and Settlement Rules.	Incorrect use of forms Failure to provide CHO with copies of filings with the New Zealand Companies Office	No monetary penalty imposed. Offending Clearing Participant advised by letter of breach and given a period of time to remedy breach	•Clearing House efficiency

Summary of	Examples	Range of	Business Area
Offences	-	Financial Penalty	Affected
 Operational 	 Failure to 	• Up to \$2,000	 Clearing
breaches of	settle	per offence for	House
Clearing and	trades/late	an individual; or	efficiency and

Settlement Rules of a minimal nature which do not create significant risk for CHO or other Clearing Participants • Majority will be administrative breaches	settle of trades (for which the penalty should be applied per day) • Failure to pay CHO Fees • Failure to advise CHO when Responsible Executive leaves the employment of the Clearing Participant	Up to \$4,000 per offence for a non-individual	transparency • Clearing House risk management
---	---	--	---

		_	
Summary of	Examples	Range of	Business Area
Offences		Financial Penalty	Affected
• Mainly operational breaches of the Clearing and Settlement Rules (minimal to moderate breach) • Failure to adhere to Clearing and Settlement Rules due to poor administration or untrained staff		Up to \$5,000 per offence for an individual; or Up to \$10,000 per offence for a non-individual	Clearing House risk management Public perception of CHO and Clearing House Clearing structure Clearing House integrity
Penalty Band 4			
Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected
 Operational 	Failure to meet	 Up to \$10,000 	Clearing House
breach of the	required	per offence for	integrity

Clearing and Settlement Rules of a medium to moderate nature	margin call	an individual; or • Up to \$20,000 per offence for a non-individual	
Penalty Band 5			
Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected
Breach of the Clearing and Settlement Rules of a moderate nature Penalty Band 6	 Acting in a manner which is detrimental to CHO or puts CHO into disrepute Failure to meet required margin calls on an ongoing basis Failure to maintain liquid capital requirements Settlement Failure on a regular basis and the amount is substantial Failure to address and resolve a complaint in an appropriate manner 	Up to \$25,000 per offence for an individual; or Up to \$50,000 per offence for a non-individual	Public perception of CHO and Clearing House Clearing House integrity
. Jimity Build 0			
Summary of Offences	Examples	Range of Financial Penalty	Business Area Affected

Breach of Clearing and Settlement Rules of a moderate nature	 Failure to create or adhere to Chinese Wall to manage conflicts Failure to meet required margin calls Failure to provide the CHO with information requested 	 Up to \$75,000 per offence for an individual; or Up to \$150,000 per offence for a non-individual 	Clearing House integrity Public perception of CHO and Clearing House
Penalty Band 7		T <u>-</u>	
Summary of Offences • Breach of Clearing and Settlement Rules of a moderate to high nature	Clearing and Settlement Participant found to have engaged in fraudulent practices Clearing Participant has brought the Clearing House, CHO or NZX into disrepute Failure to maintain Liquid Capital requirements Credit Event	Range of Financial Penalty • Up to \$100,000 per offence for an individual; or • Up to \$200,000 per offence for a non-individual	Business Area Affected Clearing House integrity Public perception of CHO and Clearing House
Penalty Band 8			
Summary of	Examples	Range of	Business Area
Offences		Financial Penalty	Affected
Severe breach of the Clearing and Settlement Rules	 Fraudulent behaviour (e.g. use of client monies or securities for house position Clearing Participant continues to 	Up to \$500,000 per offence for an individual or a non-individual.	 Clearing House and Market integrity Public perception of CHO, the Clearing House, or any of NZX's

put CHO or	Markets.
the Clearing	
House into	
disrepute	

- 11.5.2 Each breach of the Clearing and Settlement Rules is to be considered by the Tribunal or the Appeal Panel (as the case may be) on a case by case basis considering all mitigating factors in relation to the breach. A review and/or investigation, if necessary, into the mitigating factors surrounding a breach will be fundamental to determine what penalty band fits the breach. In determining the exact level of severity of the breach, while bands are a guide, other factors will also be considered such as the following mitigating factors:
 - (a) How severe is the breach?
 - (b) Has the breach put CHO, the Clearing House, client's funds/ securities at risk?
 - (c) Has the breach placed CHO, CDO, NZX and/ or any of NZX's markets into disrepute?
 - (d) Was the breach intentional?
 - (e) Was the breach the result of human error?
 - (f) How long has the breach existed?
 - (g) Was the breach brought to the attention of CHO by the Clearing Participant or was CHO advised of the breach as a result of information from NZX, the public/another Clearing Participant?
 - (h) If the breach was highlighted by another Clearing Participant, is there an alternative motive for that Clearing Participant advising of the breach of the Clearing and Settlement Rules by the Clearing Participant at fault?
 - (i) What has been the impact of the breach on the Clearing House / CHO/ CDO/ NZX/ clients?
 - (j) Was the breach remedied by the Clearing Participant as soon as the breach was discovered?
 - (k) Is the breach a recurring breach?
 - (I) Is there a pattern of breaches by the Clearing Participant?
 - (m) Has the Clearing Participant put processes in place to ensure future breaches of the same Clearing and Settlement

Rules do not occur?

11.6 Breach of the Derivatives Market Rules

11.6.1 The table below details the eight penalty bands that apply for breaches of the Derivatives Market Rules. Ultimately, it is for the Tribunal or the Appeal Panel (as the case may be) to decide a penalty. However, this table sets out a guide of the penalties applicable to particular breaches of the Derivatives Market Rules. Which band will be applicable for a particular breach will be determined through an assessment of the factors surrounding the breach including a review of any mitigating factors as set out below. For example, if a Derivatives Participant fails to file any required forms or notify NZX of any changes that it is required to make, a one-off monetary penalty may not be appropriate (instead a letter may be sent outlining the Derivatives Participant's obligations to file such forms or report such matters), however if the same Derivatives Participant continually fails to fail such forms or provide such required notification, then a monetary penalty will be appropriate (and will increase in value depending on the recurrence of the failure and whether the failure is intentional). In addition, a breach of the Derivatives Market Rules may fall into more than one penalty band. If so, the Tribunal or the Appeal Panel (as the case may be) may choose the most appropriate band to apply to that breach.

Penalty Band 1

Summary of	Examples	Range of	Business Area
Offences		Financial Penalty	Affected
• Minor and/or inadvertent breaches brought about as a result of incorrect administrative practices or operational functions, which are inconsistent with the Derivatives Market Rules.	Incorrect use of forms Failure to provide NZX with copies of filings with the New Zealand Companies Office	•No monetary penalty imposed. Offending Derivatives Participant advised by letter of breach and given a period of time to remedy breach	Derivatives Market efficiency and transparency

Penalty Band 2

Summary of	Examples	Range of	Business Area
Offences		Financial Penalty	Affected

Operational breaches of Derivatives Market Rules of a minimal nature which do not create significant risk for NZX, the Derivatives Market or other Derivatives Participants Majority will be administrative breaches	 Failure to settle trades/late settlement of trades (for which the penalty should be applied per day) Failure to pay NZX Fees Failure to advise NZX when Responsible Executive or Compliance Manager leaves the employment of the Derivatives Participant 	 Up to \$2,000 per offence for an individual; or Up to \$4,000 per offence for a non-individual 	• Derivatives Market efficiency and transparency
--	--	---	--

Penalty Band 3

			T
Summary of	Examples	Range of	Business Area
Offences		Financial Penalty	Affected
Mainly operational breaches of the Derivatives Market Rules (minimal to moderate breach) Failure to adhere to Derivatives Market Rules due to poor administration or untrained staff	 Failure to issue a written contract note the day after the execution of a client's instruction Failure to have Client Agreement and Risk Disclosure and Acknowledge ment in place with a client Failure to meet the minimum 	Up to \$5,000 per offence for an individual; or Up to \$10,000 per offence for a non-individual	Derivatives Market efficiency and transparency Public perception of NZX and Derivatives Market

	requirements		
	of Know Your		
	Client on an		
	on-going		
	basis		
	Daoio		
Penalty Band 4			
Summary of	Examples	Range of	Business Area
Offences		Financial Penalty	Affected
 Operational 	 Failure to 	• Up to \$10,000	Derivatives
breach of the	meet	per offence for	Market integrity
Derivatives	required	an individual; or	
Market Rules	margin call;	 Up to \$20,000 	
of a medium	 Clients 	per offence for	
to moderate	Funds	a non-individual	
nature	account		
	overdrawn		
Penalty Band 5	T	T	
Summary of	Examples	Range of	Business Area
Offences	A ()	Financial Penalty	Affected
Breach of the	• Acting in a	• Up to \$25,000	• Public
Derivatives	manner which	per offence for	perception of
Market Rules	is detrimental	an individual; or	NZX and
of a moderate	to NZX or	• Up to \$50,000	Derivatives
nature	brings NZX	per offence for	Market
	into disrepute • Failure to	a non-individual	Derivatives Market
			Market
	meet required		integrity
	margin calls		
	on an ongoing		
	basis • Failure to		
	meet capital		
	adequacy		
	requirements		
	 Failure to hold Client funds 		
	on trust		
	• Failure to		
	address and		
	resolve a		
	complaint in		
	an		
	appropriate manner		
Penalty Band 6	manner		
. Charty Dania 0			
Summary of	Examples	Range of	Business Area

Offences		Financial Penalty	Affected
Breach of Derivatives Market Rules of a moderate nature	Failure to create or adhere to Chinese Wall to manage conflicts Failure to meet required margin calls Failure to provide NZX with information requested	 Up to \$75,000 per offence for an individual; or Up to \$150,000 per offence for a non-individual 	Derivatives Market integrity Public perception of NZX and Derivatives Market
Penalty Band 7	Example :	Dance of	Dunings Area
Summary of Offences • Breach of Derivatives Market Rules of a moderate to high nature	Derivatives Participant found to have engaged in fraudulent practices Derivatives Participant has brought NZX or the Derivatives Market into disrepute Failure to meet Prescribed Minimum Capital Adequacy requirements Failure to comply with Rules concerning Client Funds	Range of Financial Penalty • Up to \$100,000 per offence for an individual; or • Up to \$200,000 per offence for a non-individual	Business Area Affected Derivatives Market integrity Public perception of NZX and Derivatives Market
Penalty Band 8	Evamples	Dango of	Pusinoss Area
Summary of Offences Severe breach of the Derivatives	• Fraudulent behaviour (e.g. use of	Range of Financial Penalty Up to \$500,000 per offence for an individual or a	Business Area Affected • Derivatives Market integrity

Market Rules	client monies or securities for house position • Derivatives Participant continues to bring NZX and the Derivatives Market into disrepute • Breach of Market Manipulation	non-individual.	Public perception of NZX and Derivatives Market.
	Manipulation provisions		

- 11.6.2 Each breach of the Derivatives Market Rules is to be considered by the Tribunal or the Appeal Panel (as the case may be) on a case by case basis considering all mitigating factors in relation to the breach. A review and/or investigation, if necessary, into the mitigating factors surrounding a breach will be fundamental to determine what penalty band fits the breach. In determining the exact level of severity of the breach, while bands are a guide, other factors will also be considered such as the following mitigating factors:
 - (a) How severe is the breach?
 - (b) Has the breach put the Derivatives Market, client's funds/ Contracts at risk?
 - (c) Has the breach placed NZX, CHO, CDO or the Derivatives Market into disrepute?
 - (d) Was the breach intentional?
 - (e) Was the breach the result of human error?
 - (f) How long has the breach existed?
 - (g) Was the breach brought to the attention of NZX by the Derivatives Participant or was NZX advised of the breach as a result of information from CHO, the public or another Derivatives Participant?
 - (h) If the breach was highlighted by another Derivatives
 Participant, is there an alternative motive for that Derivatives
 Participant advising of the breach of the Derivatives Market
 Rules by the Derivatives Participant at fault?

- (i) What has been the impact of the breach on the Derivatives Market / NZX/ CHO/ clients?
- (j) Was the breach remedied by the Derivatives Participant as soon as the breach was discovered?
- (k) Is the breach a recurring breach?
- (I) Is there a pattern of breaches by the Derivatives Participant?
- (m) Has the Derivatives Participant put processes in place to ensure future breaches of the same Derivatives Market Rules do not occur?

Section 12: Costs and Expenses

No procedures made.

12

Section 13: Failure to Pay Penalties or Costs

13

No procedures made

Page 41 of 49

Section 14: Annual Regulatory Report

No procedures made

Page 42 of 49

15 **Section 15:Remuneration**

No procedures made

Page 43 of 49

Section 16: Annual and Other Meetings

No procedures made

16

Section 17: Indemnification

No procedures made

Page 45 of 49

Section 18: Confidentiality

No procedures made