August 19, 2015

Proposed Amendments to Swap Data Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements for Cleared Swaps

The Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“CFTC” or “Commission”) is proposing amendments to rules relating to swap data reporting in connection with cleared swaps (“Proposed Rules”).

Regulatory History: Part 45 Final Rulemaking and 2014 Request for Comment

On December 20, 2011, the Commission adopted part 45 of the Commission’s regulations (“Final Part 45 Rulemaking”). Part 45 implements the requirements of section 21 of the Commodity Exchange Act by setting forth the manner and contents of reporting to swap data repositories (“SDRs”), and requires reporting both when a swap is initially executed, referred to as “creation data,” and over the course of the swap’s existence, referred to as “continuation data.”

As part of the Commission’s ongoing efforts to improve swap data quality, Commission staff has continued to evaluate the operation of part 45, including reporting issues involving cleared swaps. Commission staff’s efforts included the formation of an interdivisional working group to identify, and make recommendations to resolve, reporting challenges associated with the provisions adopted in the Final Part 45 Rulemaking. Based in large part on those efforts, the Commission requested comment in March of 2014 on a variety of swap data reporting and recordkeeping provisions. One of the subjects of the request for comment was the manner in which the swap data reporting rules should address cleared swaps.

Proposed Amendments to Better Accommodate the Reporting of Cleared Swaps

Under the framework adopted in the Final Part 45 Rulemaking, swap data reporting was premised upon the existence of one continuous swap for reporting and data representation purposes. The Commission has since had additional opportunities to consult with industry and to observe how the part 45 regulations function in practice with respect to swaps that are cleared, including how the implementation of part 45 interacts with the implementation of part 39 of the Commission’s regulations, which contains provisions applicable to derivatives clearing organizations (“DCOs”).

In particular, § 39.12(b)(6) provides that upon acceptance of a swap by a DCO for clearing, the original swap is extinguished and replaced by equal and opposite swaps, with the DCO as the counterparty to each such swap. The original swap that is extinguished upon acceptance for clearing is commonly referred to as the “alpha” swap, and the equal and opposite swaps that replace the original swap are commonly referred to as “beta” and “gamma” swaps. Together, these swaps make up a typical cleared swap transaction. The Commission is of the view that the existing part 45 regulations should be amended to better accommodate the multi-swap framework of § 39.12(b)(6) by explicitly addressing each component of a cleared swap transaction as distinct swaps for purposes of part 45 reporting. The revisions and additions proposed in this release are intended to provide clarity to swap counterparties and registered entities of their part 45 reporting obligations with respect to each swap involved in a cleared swap transaction. The proposal is also intended to improve the efficiency of data collection and maintenance associated with the reporting of swaps involved in a cleared swap transaction.
**Newly defined terms**

The Proposed Rules define the terms “original swap” and “clearing swaps” for use throughout part 45 in order to help clarify reporting obligations for each swap involved in a cleared swap transaction. An “original swap” is defined as a swap that has been accepted for clearing by a DCO. Swaps commonly known as alphas would fall within this definition. A swap executed on a swap execution facility (“SEF”) or designated contract market (“DCM”) or off-facility would thus become an “original swap” for purposes of part 45 by virtue of its acceptance for clearing by a DCO.

The Proposed Rules define a “clearing swap” as a swap created pursuant to the rules of a DCO that has a DCO as a counterparty, including any swap that replaces an original swap that was extinguished upon acceptance of such original swap by the DCO for clearing. A “clearing swap” would thus encompass: (1) swaps to which the DCO is a counterparty and that replace an original swap (i.e. swaps commonly known as betas and gammas) and (2) all other swaps to which the DCO is a counterparty (even if such swap does not replace an original swap).

**Creation data reporting and choice of SDR**

The Proposed Rules explicitly establish DCOs as the reporting counterparty for “clearing swaps” and require DCOs to report creation data for each “clearing swap” as soon as technologically practicable after acceptance of an “original swap” by a DCO for clearing (in the event that the “clearing swap” replaces an “original swap”) or as soon as technologically practicable after execution of the “clearing swap” (in the event that the “clearing swap” does not replace an “original swap”). The existing creation data reporting requirements for swaps other than “clearing swaps” would remain largely unchanged.

The Proposed Rules would provide that for swaps executed on or pursuant to the rules of a SEF/DCM (including swaps that become “original swaps”), the SEF/DCM would have the obligation to choose the SDR to which creation data for that swap is reported. For all other swaps (including for off-facility swaps and “clearing swaps”), the reporting counterparty would have the obligation to choose the SDR.

The Proposed Rules would also remove the existing confirmation data reporting requirements for swaps that are intended to be cleared at the time of execution. Under the Proposed Rules, SEFs/DCMs and reporting counterparties would continue to be required to report primary economic terms (“PET”) data as part of their creation data reporting, but would no longer be required to report confirmation data for swaps that are intended to be submitted to a DCO at the time of execution. Instead, the DCO would be required to report both PET and confirmation data for “clearing swaps” as part of its creation data reporting obligations. Requiring an additional set of confirmation data reporting for a terminated “original swap,” in addition to PET data, would be unnecessary and provide little benefit.

**Continuation data reporting**

The Proposed Rules would require a DCO to report all continuation data for “original swaps,” including terminations, to the SDR to which such “original swap” was reported, and require such SDR to accept and record the termination. Under the proposed rules, such continuation data must include the following data fields: (i) the legal entity identifier (“LEI”) of the SDR to which each “clearing swap” that replaced a particular “original swap” was reported by the DCO; (ii) the unique swap identifier (“USI”) of the “original swap” that was replaced by the “clearing swaps”; and (iii) the USIs for each of the “clearing swaps” that replace the “original swap.” Together, these fields will help data users link each component of a cleared swap transaction, even if the component parts are reported to different SDRs.

The Proposed Rules also remove the existing requirement that an SD/MSP reporting counterparty report daily valuation data for cleared swaps. Under the Proposed Rules, the DCO would be the only counterparty required to report continuation data, including valuations, for “clearing swaps.” Aside from this, the existing continuation data requirements for swaps that qualify as “clearing swaps” (and for uncleared swaps) would remain largely unchanged.
**Reporting to a single SDR**

The Proposed Rules would require DCOs to report all data (creation and continuation) for a particular “clearing swap” to a single SDR, and to report all creation data for each “clearing swap” that replaces a particular “original swap” (i.e. for the beta and gamma that replace a particular alpha) to a single SDR. This would ensure that all creation data and all continuation data for all “clearing swaps” that can be traced back to the same “original swap” would be reported to the same SDR (although not necessarily the same SDR as the “original swap”).

**USI creation and transmission**

The Proposed Rules would require a DCO to generate and assign a USI for each “clearing swap” upon, or as soon as technologically practicable after, acceptance of an “original swap” by the DCO for clearing (or execution of a “clearing swap” that does not replace an “original swap”), and prior to reporting the required swap creation data for each “clearing swap.” The Proposed Rules would also require a DCO to transmit the USI for a “clearing swap” electronically to the SDR to which the DCO reports required swap creation data for the “clearing swap,” as part of that report; and to the DCO’s counterparty with respect to that “clearing swap,” as soon as technologically practicable after either acceptance of the “original swap” by the DCO for clearing or execution of a “clearing swap” that does not replace an “original swap.” These proposed rules regarding USI creation and transmission by DCOs for “clearing swaps” are consistent with existing part 45 USI creation and transmission provisions applicable to other registered entities such as SEFs, DCMs, and SDRs, which would remain largely unchanged under the proposed rules.

**PET fields**

The Proposed Rules would modify certain existing PET data fields and explanatory notes in Appendix 1 to part 45 and would add several new PET data fields to accommodate the proposed clarifications for the reporting of cleared swaps described above.