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Dear Mr. Goldman:

On July 26, 2017, you sent a letter on behalf of Banco Centroamericano de Integración Económica (“CABEI”) (“Request Letter”), to the Division of Clearing and Risk (“Division”) of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“Commission”) requesting relief from the swap clearing requirement of section 2(h)(1) of the Commodity Exchange Act (“CEA”) (“Clearing Requirement”). Based on the facts described in the Request Letter, the Division has decided to not recommend that the Commission take enforcement action against CABEI for failure to comply with the Clearing Requirement as implemented by Commission regulations 50.2 and 50.4.1 The Division believes that granting this no-action relief to CABEI would be consistent with the final Federal Register release adopting the end-user exception to the Clearing Requirement (“End-User Exception”),2 in which the Commission determined that certain international financial institutions should not be subject to the Clearing Requirement.3

---


Applicable Regulatory Requirements

Title VII of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 ("Dodd-Frank Act") amended the CEA by adding section 2(h), which establishes the Clearing Requirement. Under section 2(h)(1)(A) of the CEA and Commission regulation 50.2, it is unlawful for a person to engage in a swap that is required to be cleared by the Commission unless the swap is submitted for clearing to an eligible derivatives clearing organization. The Commission has determined that two classes of credit default swaps and four classes of interest rate swaps are required to be cleared. However, pursuant to the End-User Exception, certain non-financial entities may enter into non-cleared swaps that would otherwise be subject to the Clearing Requirement to hedge or mitigate commercial risks associated with their underlying businesses, such as energy exploration, manufacturing, farming, transportation, or other commercial activities. In addition, in the final Federal Register release adopting the End-User Exception, the Commission concluded that based on considerations of public policy and international comity, certain international financial institutions, as well as foreign governments and foreign central banks, “should not be subject to” the Clearing Requirement.

The Commission provided the following reasons for its conclusion that international financial institutions should not be subject to the Clearing Requirement. First, because many international financial institutions operate with the benefit of certain privileges and immunities under U.S. law, they may be treated similarly in other contexts under certain circumstances. Second, the Commission noted that there is nothing in the text or history of the swap-related provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act to establish that Congress intended to deviate from the traditions of the international system by subjecting international financial institutions to the Clearing Requirement. Third, the Commission stated that it expects that if any international financial institution of which the U.S. is a member were to engage in swap transactions in foreign jurisdictions, then the actions of that entity with respect to those transactions would not be subject to foreign regulation.

In 2013, the Division granted a request for relief from the Clearing Requirement to Corporación Andina de Fomento ("CAF") because the Division found that CAF’s mission and

---


5 Clearing Requirement Determination.

6 End-User Exception and Commission regulation 50.50.

7 End-User Exception, at 42,562.

8 Id. For the purposes of the final release adopting the End-User Exception, the Commission considered international financial institutions as those institutions that are defined as “international financial institutions” in 22 U.S.C. 262r(c)(2)(Annual Report by Chairman of National Advisory Council on International Monetary and Financial Policies) and those institutions defined as “multilateral development banks” in the Proposal for the Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on OTC Derivative Transactions, Central Counterparties and Trade Repositories, Council of the European Union Final Compromise Text, Article 1(4a(a)) (Mar. 19, 2012) (published in final form on July 4, 2012 with no change to Article 1(4a(a))). CABEI is not included on either list.
ownership structure was analogous to the missions and ownership structures of the international financial institutions referenced in the final Federal Register release adopting the End-User Exception.\textsuperscript{9}

**Summary of Request for Relief**

The Request Letter represents that CABEI serves the public interest by promoting economic integration and balanced economic and social development of the Central American region. CABEI’s activities consist primarily of making development loans to public and private borrowers, including in the areas of road infrastructure, water and sanitation, health, education, energy, security, and financial intermediation. CABEI enters into derivative transactions, including interest rate and currency derivatives, to hedge or mitigate the risks arising out of its business as a multilateral development bank or in connection with the provision of loans to clients. Pursuant to CABEI’s internal policies, CABEI may only enter into derivatives with investment grade counterparties or certain eligible institutions that are below investment grade if a collateral arrangement is in place.

CABEI was established pursuant to an international treaty in 1960. All of its shareholders are sovereign nations.\textsuperscript{10} These nations own 83.72\% of CABEI’s authorized capital, and the remaining shares are available for subscription. CABEI’s Constitutive Agreement prohibits a private entity from purchasing shares in CABEI. Only a sovereign nation or multinational public sector institution, such as a multinational development bank, may purchase shares. CABEI is led by a Board of Governors and a Board of Directors. Each member country appoints a Governor, who is usually a finance minister, central bank president, or similar office holder. Directors are elected by member countries. CABEI’s member countries have granted it several legal privileges and immunities that are typical of those enjoyed by international financial institutions. CABEI, together with its income, property, and other assets, is exempt from taxation. CABEI’s property and assets are also free from expropriation, tariffs, and any controls, moratoria, regulations, or restrictions, including restrictions on convertibility and transferability of currency.

In summary, the Request Letter asserts that the policy considerations on which the Commission relied to exclude international financial institutions from the Clearing Requirement, and on which the Division subsequently relied to grant no-action relief from the Clearing Requirement to CAF, apply to CABEI. Like CAF and other international financial institutions, CABEI is a multilateral development bank serving the public interest and is owned by sovereign nations each of which grants CABEI legal privileges and immunities.

\textsuperscript{9} CFTC Letter 13-25 (June 10, 2013).

\textsuperscript{10} CABEI has thirteen shareholders currently: Argentina, Belize, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, the Republic of China (Taiwan), and Spain.
Grant of No-Action Relief

Based on the facts described by the Request Letter, the Division will not recommend that the Commission take enforcement action against CABEI for failure to comply with the Clearing Requirement. This letter does not, however, provide relief from other provisions of the CEA and Commission regulations, such as the recordkeeping and reporting requirements under parts 23 and 45 of the Commission’s regulations, which would apply to a non-cleared swap entered into by CABEI opposite a counterparty who is subject to the CEA and Commission regulations with regard to that transaction.\(^1\)

This letter represents the position of the Division only and does not necessarily represent the views of the Commission or those of any other division or office of the Commission. Because this position is based upon the representations contained in the Request Letter, it should be noted that any different, changed, or omitted material facts or circumstances may require a different conclusion or render this no-action letter void. Finally, as with all no-action letters, the Division retains the authority to condition further, modify, suspend, terminate, or otherwise restrict the terms of the no-action relief provided herein, in its discretion.

Should you have questions regarding this matter, please contact Peter A. Kals, Special Counsel, at (202) 418-5466.

Sincerely,

Brian A. Bussey
Director

---

\(^{1}\) End-User Exception, 77 Fed. Reg. at 42,562.