

seq.), and the provisions of § 216.39 of the Regulations Governing the Taking and Importing of Marine Mammals (50 CFR part 216).

Dated: July 1, 1998.

**Ann Terbush,**

*Chief, Permits and Documentation Division,  
Office of Protected Resources, National  
Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 98-18121 Filed 7-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

**COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING  
COMMISSION**

**Sunshine Act Meeting**

**TIME AND PLACE:** 10:30 a.m., Tuesday,  
July 28, 1998.

**PLACE:** 1155 21st St., N.W., Washington,  
D.C., 9th Floor Conference Room.

**STATUS:** Closed.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** Rule  
Enforcement Matter.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:**  
Jean A. Webb, 202-418-5100.

**Jean A. Webb,**

*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 98-18250 Filed 7-6-98; 2:22 pm]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

**COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING  
COMMISSION**

**Sunshine Act Meeting**

**TIME AND PLACE:** 2:00 p.m., Wednesday,  
July 29, 1998.

**PLACE:** 1155 21st St., N.W., Washington,  
D.C., 9th Floor Conference Room.

**STATUS:** Closed.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**  
Enforcement Matters.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:**  
Jean A. Webb, 202-418-5100.

**Jean A Webb,**

*Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 98-18249 Filed 7-6-98; 2:22 p.m.]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

[DFARS Case 97-D035]

**DD Form 2631, Performance  
Evaluation (Architect-Engineer)**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Notice and request for  
comments regarding a proposed revision  
of DD Form 2631.

**SUMMARY:** The Director of Defense  
Procurement is proposing to revise the  
form used for preparation of contractor  
performance evaluations under  
architect-engineer (A-E) contracts.  
Additions are made to the form to  
provide a more complete listing of the  
disciplines and attributes to be  
evaluated under A-E contracts, and the  
descriptive rating terms are changed for  
consistency with the terms used in  
evaluating contractor performance  
under supply and service contracts.

**DATES:** Comments on the proposed  
revision should be submitted in writing  
to the address shown below on or before  
September 8, 1998.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested parties should  
submit written comments to: Defense  
Acquisition Regulations Council, Attn:  
Ms. Amy Williams, PDUSD (A&T) DP  
(DAR), IMD 3D139, 3062 Defense  
Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3062.  
Telefax (703) 602-0350.

E-mail comments submitted over the  
Internet should be addressed to:  
dfars@acq.osd.mil

Please cite DFARS Case 97-D035 in  
all correspondence related to this issue.  
E-mail comments should cite DFARS  
Case 97-D035 in the subject line.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms.  
Amy Williams, telephone (703) 602-  
0131.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**A. Background**

DoD uses DD Form 2631, Performance  
Evaluation (Architect-Engineer), to  
prepare contractor performance  
evaluations under A-E contracts. DD  
Form 2631 was developed primarily for  
conventional design work relating to  
construction of buildings and other  
structures. Changes to the form are  
needed to add disciplines and attributes  
associated with other types of work  
performed under A-E contracts, and to  
address small business subcontracting  
plan requirements. This proposed  
revision of the form adds the following  
to the list of disciplines and attributes  
to be evaluated under A-E contracts  
when applicable: Geospatial  
Information Services; Chemistry; Risk  
Assessment; Safety/Occupational  
Health; Hydrographic Surveying; Field

Analysis; Innovative Approaches/  
Technologies; and Implementation of  
Small Business Subcontracting Plan.

In addition, the proposed revision  
changes the five overall rating terms in  
Block 12 of the form, for consistency  
with the terms used in evaluating  
contractor performance under supply  
and service contracts, as follows:

*From/To*

Excellent—Exceptional  
Above Average—Very Good  
Average—Satisfactory  
Below Average—Marginal  
Poor—Unsatisfactory

The proposed revision also removes  
the three descriptive terms  
(Outstanding, Satisfactory, and  
Unsatisfactory) used to rate the  
disciplines and attributes listed in  
Blocks 16, 17, and 19 of the form, and  
replaces these terms with the five terms  
proposed for use in the overall rating  
category.

**B. Regulatory Flexibility Act**

The proposed revision is not expected  
to have a significant economic impact  
on a substantial number of small entities  
within the meaning of the Regulatory  
Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq.,  
because the proposed changes to the  
form are not expected to significantly  
alter the manner in which contractor  
performance is evaluated under A-E  
contracts. Therefore, an initial  
regulatory flexibility analysis has not  
been performed. Comments are invited  
from small businesses and other  
interested parties. Please cite DFARS  
Case 98-D035 in correspondence.

**C. Paperwork Reduction Act**

The Paperwork Reduction Act does  
not apply because the proposed form  
does not impose any information  
collection requirements that require the  
approval of the Office of Management  
and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et  
seq.

**Michele P. Peterson,**

*Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition  
Regulations Council.*

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M