

COMMENT

06-5
④

RECEIVED
SEP 25 2006

secretary

From: Larry Williams [larrywms@worldnet.att.net]
Sent: Friday, September 22, 2006 6:04 PM
To: secretary
Subject: proposed rule changes 17 cfr part 4

SEP 25 11 34 25
2006

Part of these changes are no more than a masquerade to again step around the first amendment rights of a free press.

Valid publishers of market news must not be regulated in any fashion whatsoever. If they commit fraud ala deceptive advertising the FTC has and I'm sure, will strictly punish them. So why have duality here when one agency is already there at work (FTC vs Ken Roberts).

Clearly anyone with a direct fiduciary obligation can be regulated but you will lose, again, and waste tax payers dollars trying to abridge First Amendment rights; it says "Congress shall make no...". You guys still don't understand "no". The courts do, so please get on with what you are supposed to do and leave the media alone.

with great respect for the god job you do

Larry Williams
Publisher
Commodity Timing

**Received CFTC
Records Section**
10/2/06