

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**  
**Before the**  
**COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION**

RECEIVED CFTC



Office of Proceedings  
Proceedings Clerk

3:31 pm, Apr 09, 2018

\_\_\_\_\_  
**In the Matter of:**

**ANUJ C. SINGHAL**

**Respondent.**

)  
)  
)  
) **CFTC Docket No.** 18-11  
)  
)  
)  
)

**ORDER INSTITUTING PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 6(c) AND (d) OF THE COMMODITY EXCHANGE ACT, MAKING  
FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING REMEDIAL SANCTIONS**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

The Commodity Futures Trading Commission (Commission) has reason to believe that from in or about March through June 2016 (“Relevant Period”), Anuj C. Singhal (“Respondent” or “Singhal”), violated Section 4c(a)(5)(C) of the Commodity Exchange Act (“Act”), 7 U.S.C. § 6c(a)(5)(C) (2012). Therefore, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted to determine whether Respondent engaged in the violations set forth herein and to determine whether any order should be issued imposing remedial sanctions.

In anticipation of the institution of an administrative proceeding, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (“Offer”), which the Commission has determined to accept. Without admitting or denying any of the findings or conclusions herein, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Proceedings Pursuant to Section 6(c) and (d) of the Commodity Exchange Act, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions (“Order”) and acknowledges service of this Order.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Respondent consents to the use of the findings of fact and conclusions of law in this Order in this proceeding and in any other proceeding brought by the Commission or to which the Commission is a party or claimant, and agrees that they shall be taken as true and correct and be given preclusive effect therein, without further proof. Respondent does not consent, however, to the use of this Order, or the findings or conclusions herein, as the sole basis for any other proceeding brought by the Commission or to which the Commission is a party or claimant, other than: a proceeding in bankruptcy or receivership; or a proceeding to enforce the terms of this Order. Respondent does not consent to the use of the Offer or this Order, or the findings or conclusions in this Order, by any other party in any other proceeding.

## II. FINDINGS

The Commission finds the following:

### A. SUMMARY

Between at least March and June 2016 (the “Relevant Period”), Singhal engaged in multiple instances of the disruptive trade practice of “spoofing” (bidding or offering with the intent to cancel the bid or offer before execution), in wheat futures markets traded on the Chicago Mercantile Exchange (“CME”). This conduct violated Section 4c(a)(5)(C) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6c(a)(5)(C) (2012).

### B. RESPONDENT

**Anuj C. Singhal** is a resident of Dallas, Texas. Singhal has been a registered floor broker since 2004 and is self-employed. In September 2014, Singhal settled a CME disciplinary action which charged Singhal with engaging in spoofing in connection with agricultural commodity futures traded on the CME. As part of that settlement, CME fined Singhal \$60,000 and suspended him from trading for three months.

### C. FACTS

During the Relevant Period, Respondent Singhal engaged in numerous instances of the disruptive trading practice known as “spoofing” (bidding or offering with the intent to cancel bids or offers before execution) through manual trading in CME wheat futures market.

Singhal frequently engaged in this spoofing activity by first entering larger limit orders on one side of the CME wheat futures market, and at times, several larger orders in succession. Singhal then entered a smaller, aggressive order (a market order) on the opposite side of the market, which was usually filled instantaneously or within a fraction of a second. In most instances, after the smaller order was entered and executed Singhal continued to modify his larger orders further away from the market, each modification generally within seconds of the last, but in all such instances those larger orders were cancelled without any part of the order being filled. Singhal repeated this trading pattern numerous times during the Relevant Period.

### D. LEGAL DISCUSSION

Section 4c(a)(5)(C) of the Act makes it unlawful for “[a]ny person to engage in any trading, practice, or conduct on or subject to the rules of a registered entity that . . . is, is of the character of, or is commonly known to the trade as, ‘spoofing’ (bidding or offering with the intent to cancel the bid or offer before execution).”

In the manner described above, Respondent engaged in multiple instances of entering and modifying bids and offers with the intent to cancel those bids and offers (and to cancel the modified bids and offers) before execution, in violation of Section 4c(a)(5)(C) of the Act. *See, e.g., CFTC v. Nav Sarao Futures Ltd.*, No. 15-3398, 2016 WL 8257513, at \*11 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 14, 2016) (consent order) (finding that defendants engaged in spoofing techniques by, among

other things, modifying orders to keep them from resulting in executed trades, before eventually cancelling them); *In re Posen*, CFTC No. 17-20, 2017 WL 3216576, at \*2 (July 26, 2017) (consent order) (finding that manual trader “entered into thousands of bids or offers on a registered entity with the intent to cancel the bids or offers before execution in violation of Section 4c(a)(5)(C) of the Act”); *CFTC v. Oystacher*, 203 F. Supp. 3d 934, 942 (N.D. Ill. 2016) (denying motion for judgment on the pleadings, holding that allegations of placing “both bids and offers with the intent to cancel those bids or offers before execution” constitutes “trading behavior [that] falls within the Spoofing Statute’s defined prohibition”); *CFTC v. Khara*, No. 15-CV-03497 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 31, 2016), ECF 35 at 6 (consent order) (finding that “Defendants . . . engaged in unlawful disruptive trading practices or conduct in the gold and silver futures markets . . . that were, were of the character of, or were commonly known to the trade as ‘spoofing’ (bidding and offering with the intent to cancel the bid or offer before execution).”).

### **III. FINDINGS OF VIOLATION**

Based on the foregoing, the Commission finds that, during the Relevant Period, Respondent Singhal violated Section 4c(a)(5)(C) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6c(a)(5)(C) (2012).

### **IV. OFFER OF SETTLEMENT**

Respondent has submitted the Offer in which he, without admitting or denying the findings and conclusions herein:

- A. Acknowledges service of this Order;
- B. Admits the jurisdiction of the Commission with respect to all matters set forth in this Order and for any action or proceeding brought or authorized by the Commission based on violation of or enforcement of this Order;
- C. Waives:
  - 1. The filing and service of a complaint and notice of hearing;
  - 2. A hearing;
  - 3. All post-hearing procedures;
  - 4. Judicial review by any court;
  - 5. Any and all objections to the participation by any member of the Commission’s staff in the Commission’s consideration of the Offer;
  - 6. Any and all claims that he may possess under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 5 U.S.C. § 504 (2012), and 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (2012), and/or the rules promulgated by the Commission in conformity therewith, Part 148 of the Regulations, 17 C.F.R. pt. 148 (2017), relating to, or arising from, this proceeding;

7. Any and all claims that he may possess under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-121, §§ 201-53, 110 Stat. 847, 857-74 (codified as amended in scattered sections of 5 U.S.C. and 15 U.S.C.), relating to, or arising from, this proceeding; and
  8. Any claims of Double Jeopardy based on the institution of this proceeding or the entry in this proceeding of any order imposing a civil monetary penalty or any other relief, including this Order;
- D. Stipulates that the record basis on which this Order is entered shall consist solely of the findings contained in this Order to which Respondent has consented in the Offer;
- E. Consents, solely on the basis of the Offer, to the Commission's entry of this Order that:
1. Makes findings by the Commission that Respondent violated Section 4c(a)(5)(C) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6c(a)(5)(C) (2012);
  2. Orders Respondent to cease and desist from violating Section 4c(a)(5)(C) of the Act;
  3. Orders Respondent to pay a civil monetary penalty of \$150,000 (one hundred fifty thousand dollars) (the "CMP Obligation"), plus post-judgment interest within 10 days of the date of entry of this Order; and
  4. Orders that Respondent be prohibited from, directly or indirectly, engaging in trading on or subject to the rules of any registered entity (as that term is defined in Section 1a(40) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(40) (2012)), for a period of four months after the date of entry of this Order, and all registered entities shall refuse him trading privileges during that period; and
  5. Orders Respondent to comply with his undertakings as set forth below in Section V of this Offer.

Upon consideration, the Commission has determined to accept the Offer.

## V. ORDER

### Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- A. Respondent shall cease and desist from violating Section 4c(a)(5)(C) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6c(a)(5)(C) (2012).
- B. Respondent shall pay a civil monetary penalty in the amount of \$150,000 (one hundred fifty thousand dollars) (“CMP Obligation”), plus post-judgment interest within 10 days of the date of this Order. Post-judgment interest shall accrue on the CMP Obligation beginning ten (10) days after the date of entry of this Order and shall be determined by using the Treasury Bill rate prevailing on the date of entry of this Order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961 (2012).

Respondent shall pay the CMP Obligation by electronic funds transfer, U.S. postal money order, certified check, bank cashier’s check, or bank money order. If payment is to be made other than by electronic funds transfer, then the payment shall be made payable to the Commodity Futures Trading Commission and sent to the address below:

MMAC/ESC/AMK326  
Commodity Futures Trading Commission  
Division of Enforcement  
6500 S. MacArthur Blvd.  
Oklahoma City, OK 73169  
(405) 954-6569 office  
(405) 954-1620 fax  
9-AMC-AR-CFTC@faa.gov

- If payment is to be made by electronic funds transfer, Respondent shall contact Marie Thorne or her successor at the above address to receive payment instructions and shall fully comply with those instructions. Respondent shall accompany payment of the CMP Obligation with a cover letter that identifies the paying Respondent and the name and docket number of this proceeding. The paying Respondent shall simultaneously transmit copies of the cover letter and the form of payment to the Chief Financial Officer, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20581.
- C. Respondent is prohibited from, directly or indirectly, engaging in trading on or subject to the rules of any registered entity (as that term is defined in Section 1a(40) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(40) (2012)), for a period of four months after the date of entry of this Order, and all registered entities shall refuse him trading privileges during that period; and
  - D. Respondent shall comply with the following conditions and undertakings set forth in the Offer:

1. Public Statements: Respondent agrees that neither he nor any of his agents or employees under his authority or control shall take any action or make any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any findings or conclusions in this Order or creating, or tending to create, the impression that this Order is without a factual basis; provided, however, that nothing in this provision shall affect Respondent's: (i) testimonial obligations; or (ii) right to take legal positions in other proceedings to which the Commission is not a party. Respondent shall comply with this agreement, and shall undertake all steps necessary to ensure that all of his agents and/or employees under his authority or control understand and comply with this agreement.
  2. Respondent agrees that he shall not, directly or indirectly, for a period of four months starting from the date of entry of this Order:
    - a. enter into any transactions involving "commodity interests" (as that term is defined in Regulation 1.3(yy), 17 C.F.R. § 1.3(yy) (2017)), for Respondent's own personal account or for any account in which Respondent has a direct or indirect interest;
    - b. have any commodity interests traded on Respondent's behalf;
    - c. control or direct the trading for or on behalf of any other person or entity, whether by power of attorney or otherwise, in any account involving commodity interests;
    - d. solicit, receive, or accept any funds from any person for the purpose of purchasing or selling any commodity interests;
- E. Partial Satisfaction: Respondent understands and agrees that any acceptance by the Commission of any partial payment of Respondent's CMP Obligation shall not be deemed a waiver of his obligation to make further payments pursuant to this Order, or a waiver of the Commission's right to seek to compel payment of any remaining balance.
- F. Change of Address/Phone: Until such time as Respondent satisfies in full his CMP Obligation as set forth in this Consent Order, Respondents shall provide written notice to the Commission by certified mail of any change to his telephone number and mailing address within ten (10) calendar days of the change.

**The provisions of this Order shall be effective as of this date.**

By the Commission.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Christopher J. Kirkpatrick  
Secretary of the Commission  
Commodity Futures Trading Commission

Dated: April 9, 2018