SUSAN B. PADOVE (ILBN 3127019) 1 DAVID TERRELL (ILBN 6196293) Commodity Futures Trading Commission 2 77 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite 800 3 Chicago, Illinois 60604 Tel. 312-596-0700; Fax 312-596-0714 4 spadove@cftc.gov 5 dterrell@cftc.gov 6 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Commodity Futures Trading Commission 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT CALIFORNIA 10 11 COMMODITY FUTURES 12 TRADING COMMISSION **COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE** AND EQUITABLE RELIEF AND 13 PENALTIES UNDER THE Plaintiff, 14 **COMMODITY EXCHANGE ACT** VS. 15 Hon. _____ 16 WILLIAM KOO ICHIOKA, Case No. 17 Defendant. 18 19 The Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("Commission" or "CFTC"), by and 20 through its attorneys, hereby alleges as follows: 21 I. **SUMMARY** 22 1. From at least 2018 through May 2022(the "Relevant Period"), Defendant 23 William Koo Ichioka operated a scheme in which he fraudulently solicited tens of 24 millions of dollars from more than one hundred individuals and entities ("participants") 25 to trade commodity interests, digital assets, including bitcoin and ether, and to engage in 26 retail foreign currency transactions ("forex") through a pool he operated under the name 27 28 CFTC COMPLAINT - PAGE: 1

6

5

8

9

7

10 11

12

13 14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

> 27 28

> > CFTC COMPLAINT - PAGE: 2

Ichioka Ventures. Ichioka misappropriated tens of millions of dollars in participant funds and used a portion of those funds for his personal uses and expenses.

- 2. By engaging in this conduct and the conduct further described herein, the Defendant has violated certain anti-fraud and registration provisions of the Commodity Exchange Act ("Act"), 7 U.S.C. §§ 1-26, namely, 7 U.S.C. §§ 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(cc), 6b(a)(2)(A), (C), 6m(1), 6o(a)(A), (B), 9(1), and CFTC Regulations ("Regulations") 4.20(c), 5.2(b), 5.3(a)(2)(i) and 180.1(a)(1)-(3), 17 C.F.R. §§ 4.20(c), 5.2(b), 5.3(a)(2)(i), 180.1(a)(1)-(3) (2022).
- 3. Unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, the Defendant is likely to continue engaging in the acts and practices alleged in this complaint or in similar acts and practices.
- Accordingly, pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1, the 4. Commission brings this action to enjoin such acts and practices and compel compliance with the Act. In addition, the Commission seeks civil monetary penalties and remedial ancillary relief, including, but not limited to, a trading and registration ban, restitution, disgorgement, rescission, pre- and post-judgment interest, and such other relief as the Court may deem necessary and appropriate.

II. **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction) and 28 U.S.C. § 1345 (district courts have original jurisdiction over civil actions commenced by the United States or by any agency expressly authorized to sue by act of Congress). In addition, Section 6c(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(a), authorizes the Commission to seek injunctive relief against any person whenever it shall appear to the Commission that such person has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in any act or practice constituting a violation of the Act or any rule, regulation, or order thereunder.
- Venue properly lies with the Court pursuant to Section 6c(e) of the Act, 6. 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(e), because Defendant transacts business in this District and certain

transactions, acts, practices, and business alleged in this Complaint occurred, are occurring, and/or are about to occur within this District.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

7. Under Civil Local Rule 3-2(d), this civil action should be assigned to the San Francisco Division because a substantial portion of the events or omissions which give rise to the claims alleged herein occurred in San Francisco County and, further, because Defendant William Koo Ichioka resided in San Francisco for part of the relevant period.

III. THE PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff **Commodity Futures Trading Commission** ("CFTC" or "Commission") is an independent federal regulatory agency that administers and enforces the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 1-26, and the Commission's Regulations promulgated thereunder, 17 C.F.R. pts. 1-190 (2022).
- 9. Defendant **William Koo Ichioka** is 30 years old and currently resides in New York City, NY, but at various times during the Relevant Period has lived in San Francisco, California. Ichioka has, at all relevant times, represented that he was the manager of a purported entity called Ichioka Ventures. Ichioka has never been registered with the Commission in any capacity.

IV. OTHER ENTITY

10. **Ichioka Ventures, LLC ("IVLLC")** is located in New York City, NY and was formed by Ichioka as a Delaware limited liability corporation on August 2, 2019, under the original name of Ichioka Ventures, GP, LLC. On or about October 3, 2019, Ichioka changed the entity's name to Ichioka Ventures, LLC. IVLLC has never been registered with the Commission in any capacity.

V. STATUTORY BACKGROUND

11. Digital assets include virtual currencies such as bitcoin and ether, which are digital representations of value that function as mediums of exchange, units of account,

and/or stores of value. Certain digital assets are "commodities," including those alleged herein, as defined under Section 1a(9) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(9).

12. The terms "forex," "retail forex transactions," "forex agreements," "forex contracts," or "foreign currency transactions," as variously described herein, refer to leveraged, margined, or financed transactions with customers that are not eligible contract participants ("ECPs") as described in Section 2(c)(2)(C) of the Act. These transactions are commodity interests.

VI. FACTS

A. Defendant Ichioka's Fraudulent Scheme

- 13. Beginning no later than in or about 2018, through at least in or about May 2022, Ichioka launched a commodity pool. To induce prospective participants to deposit funds, Ichioka represented to prospective participants that he would invest their money in various ways including, among other investments, commodity interests, including forex, and digital asset commodities, including bitcoin and ether. He also represented to prospective participants that they would earn 10% returns every 30 business days (or approximately 42 calendar days) to induce their investments, and that his investment and trading activities had actually been generating and/or had the ability to generate returns in excess of these amounts.
- 14. In or about 2019, Ichioka began doing business under the name "Ichioka Ventures," creating various business entities including ICHIOKA VENTURES LLC, a Delaware limited liability company he formed on or about August 21, 2019.
- 15. In 2019, Ichioka also created a website for Ichioka Ventures at www.ichiokaventures.com that Ichioka used as a platform to solicit participants and to communicate with them regarding making an investment with the Ichioka Ventures pool (referred to on the website as a "fund"). The website's publicly accessible homepage describes Ichioka as a "self-made investor" who "began his quest at a very early age and has already amassed a multimillion-dollar fortune." The website further describes

Ichioka as a "savant in his craft" who "seeks to deliver a consistent enhanced total return through his ability to identify and execute immediately on global market opportunities."

- 16. The website stated, among other things: "The investment term is 30 business days with a 10% return" and "Principal and profits are distributed directly to account balance and can easily be withdrawn or reinvested." The Ichioka Ventures website further allowed participants to create and login to accounts to "Invest," "Re Invest/Withdraw," view balances, view "Transaction History," among other things. Ichioka presented investment contracts, including styled as a "Promissory Note," in which participants agreed to entrust funds to Ichioka and/or Ichioka Ventures.
- 18. Ichioka invested participant funds in, for example, commodity interests, including forex, and digital asset commodities, including bitcoin and either, precious metals, and stock in start-up companies. However, he failed to invest other portions of the participants funds entrusted to him as he had promised. Instead, Ichioka commingled participant money with his own funds and used participant money to fund his own personal expenses (such as rent for his personal residence, restaurants and bars, grocery stores, taxi or car share rides, retail stores, gym membership fees, and online purchases, among other things) and to make purchases of luxury items (such as watches and other jewelry, and luxury vehicles).
- 19. Moreover, Ichioka and Ichioka Ventures did not actually earn 10% returns every 30 business days for participants throughout the time that Ichioka represented that it did. Rather, Ichioka and Ichioka Ventures sustained losses from portions of funds that Ichioka had invested. By the end of 2019 unbeknownst to participants Ichioka had privately acknowledged that the "[c]ompany hasn't made any money since we started."
- 20. Ichioka also concealed and hid, and caused to be concealed and hidden, the acts done and the purpose of the acts done in furtherance of the scheme, including to further perpetuate the scheme. These concealments included, but were not limited to:
- a) Ichioka doctored, and/or caused to be doctored, financial documents to falsely overstate the value of assets, including bank, brokerage, and
 CFTC COMPLAINT – PAGE: 5

cryptocurrency exchange materials. For example, in or about November 2019, Ichioka falsified a purported "proof of funds" letter and screenshot indicating the value of his holdings with the cryptocurrency exchange Kraken to be approximately 1200 Bitcoin (BTC) (valued at approximately \$10.8 million) and a balance of \$500,000 U.S. dollars, when in fact his holdings with that exchange did not exceed approximately 18 BTC (valued at approximately \$150,000) and/or a balance of approximately \$100,000 U.S. dollars.

- b) Ichioka provided doctored documents showing falsely overstated values of assets to prospective participants. For example, on or about October 11, 2019, Ichioka emailed a falsified Bank of America statement for account to a participant showing a purported balance of approximately \$1.5 million (when in fact the account's balance during this time did not exceed \$200,000). As an additional example of showing falsely overstated values of assets to prospective participants, on or about February 12, 2020, Ichioka provided a doctored Kraken screenshot via text messaging prior to receiving a participant's investment funds.
- c) Ichioka presented false statements of account to participants, including via participant account updates on the Ichioka Ventures website, divorced from the actual performance or value of invested funds with him.
- d) Ichioka repaid existing participants' principal amounts and/or purported gains ("profits") using new participant funds.
- 21. Ichioka transmitted and/or caused to be transmitted in interstate and foreign commerce numerous wire communications for the purpose of executing the scheme to defraud, for example: (a) \$200,000 wire transfer on or about August 2, 2018 from a participant via Fedwire Funds Transfer to his J.P. Morgan Chase ("JPMC") personal checking account ending in -2053, funds that Ichioka misdirected for personal expenses (including the purchase of a luxury vehicle, payment of rent, and credit card payments); CFTC COMPLAINT PAGE: 6

- (b) \$150,000 wire transfer on or about June 12, 2019 from a participant via Fedwire Funds Transfer System to his JPMC personal checking account ending in -2053, funds that he misdirected for personal expenses (including jewelry and credit card payments) and repayment of other participants; and (c) \$200,000 wire transfer on or about February 13, 2020 from a participant via Fedwire Funds Transfer System to his Ichioka Ventures Bank of America account ending in 7517, following his transmittal of doctored financial documents overstating the value of his and/or Ichioka Ventures' holdings.
- 22. The money and property obtained by Ichioka through this fraudulent scheme totaled more than \$21 million.

VII. VIOLATIONS OF THE COMMODITY EXCHANGE ACT <u>COUNT I</u>

Violations of Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 9(1), and Regulation 180.1(a)(1)-(3), 17 C.F.R. § 180.1(a)(1)-3) (2022) (Fraud by Deceptive Device or Contrivance)

- 23. Paragraphs 1 through 21 are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 24. Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 9(1), makes it unlawful for any person, directly or indirectly, to:

[U]se or employ, or attempt to use or employ, in connection with any swap, or a contract of sale of any commodity in interstate commerce, or for future delivery on or subject to the rules of any registered entity, any manipulative or deceptive device or contrivance, in contravention of such rules and regulations as the Commission shall promulgate

25. Regulation 180.1(a), 17 C.F.R. § 180.1(a) (2022), provides:

It shall be unlawful for any person, directly or indirectly, in connection with any swap, or contract of sale of any commodity in interstate commerce, or contract for future delivery on or subject to the rules of any registered entity, to intentionally or recklessly:

- (1) Use or employ, or attempt to use or employ, any manipulative device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (2) Make, or attempt to make, any untrue or misleading statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made not untrue or misleading; [and/or]
- (3) Engage, or attempt to engage, in any act, practice, or course of business, which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person
- 26. A digital asset is anything that can be stored and transmitted electronically and has associated ownership or use rights. Digital assets include virtual currencies, such as bitcoin and ether, which are digital representations of value that function as mediums of exchange, unites of account, and/or stores of value. Certain digital assets are "commodities," including those alleged herein, as defined under Section 1a(9) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(9). There are commodity futures contracts on bitcoin and ether that trade on the Chicago Mercantile Exchange, a designated contract market regulated by the CFTC.
- 27. During the Relevant Period, as described above, Ichioka violated 7 U.S.C. § 9(1) and 17 C.F.R. § 180.1(a)(1)-(3) by, among other things, in connection with digital asset commodities, including bitcoin and ether, misappropriating pool participant funds.
- 28. During the Relevant Period, as described above, Ichioka violated 7 U.S.C. § 9(1) and 17 C.F.R. § 180.1(a)(1)-(3) by, among other things, in connection with any contract of sale of any commodities in interstate commerce, digital assets or forex, intentionally or recklessly making false and misleading statements of material fact, or omitting to state material facts, to pool participants and prospective participants, by, among other things:
 - a) Falsely representing Ichioka's trading expertise and past profitability;
 - b) Misrepresenting the expected profits and risk of loss of investing with Ichioka;

- c) Failing to disclose that participant funds were commingled with Ichioka's funds and other participants' funds and were being used to pay Ichioka's personal expenses and to pay other participants in a manner akin to a Ponzi scheme.
- 29. Ichioka willfully, intentionally or recklessly engaged in the acts and practices described above.
- 30. Each use or employment or attempted use or employment of any manipulative device, scheme, or artifice to defraud, including, but not limited to any act of misappropriation and misrepresentation or omission of material fact, including but not limited to those specifically alleged herein, is alleged as a separate and distinct violation.

COUNT II

Violations of Section 4b(a)(2)(A) and (C), 7 U.S.C. § 6b(a)(2)(A), (C), and Regulation 5.2(b), 17 C.F.R. § 5.2(b)(1), (3) (2022) (Fraud in Connection with Forex Transactions)

- 31. Paragraphs 1 through 29 are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 32. 7 U.S.C. § 6b(a)(2)(A) and (C) makes it unlawful "for any person, in or in connection with any order to make, or the making of, any contract for sale of any commodity for future delivery . . . that is made, or to be made, for or on behalf of, or with, any other person, other than on or subject to the rules of a designated contract market—(A) to cheat or defraud or attempt to cheat or defraud the other person; . . . or (C) willfully to deceive or attempt to deceive the other person by any means whatsoever in regard to any order or contract or the disposition or execution of any order or contract, or in regard to any act of agency performed, with respect to an order or contract for or, in the case of paragraph (2), with the other person."
- 33. Moreover, 17 C.F.R. § 5.2(b)(1), (3) (2022), makes it unlawful "for any person, by use of the mails or by any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, directly or indirectly, in or in connection with any retail forex transaction: (1) [t]o cheat

or defraud or attempt to cheat or defraud any person; . . . or (3) [w]illfully to deceive or attempt to deceive any person by any means whatsoever."

- 34. Section 2(c)(2)(C) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(C), among other things, contains three grants which clarifies that the CFTC has jurisdiction over, and that certain antifraud provisions in the Act apply to, retail forex:
 - a) Pursuant to 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(C)(ii)(I), forex agreements, contracts, or transactions described in 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(C)(i) "shall be subject to" the antifraud provisions of 7 U.S.C. § 6b and 6o, among other Sections of the Act;
 - b) Pursuant to 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(C)(iv), 7 U.S.C. § 6b, "shall apply to" the forex agreements, contracts, or transactions described in 7 U.S.C.
 § 2(c)(2)(C) "as if" they were a contract of sale of a commodity for future delivery; and
 - c) Pursuant to 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(C)(vii), "[t]his Act applies to, and the Commission shall have jurisdiction over, an account or pooled investment vehicle that is offered for the purpose of trading, or that trades," forex agreements, contracts, or transactions described in 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(C)(i).
- 35. The foreign currency transactions offered by Ichioka are retail forex transactions as defined by Regulation 5.1(m), 17 C.F.R. § 5.1(m) (2022).
- 36. Defendant Ichioka violated 7 U.S.C. § 6b(a)(2)(A), (C) and 17 C.F.R. § 5.2(b)(1), (3), by willfully, among other things:
 - a) Falsely representing Ichioka's trading expertise and past profitability;
 - b) Misrepresenting the expected profits and risk of loss of investing with Ichioka;
 - c) Failing to disclose that participant funds were commingled and were being used to pay Ichioka's personal expenses and to pay other participants in a manner akin to a Ponzi scheme; and
 - d) Misappropriating pool participant funds.

- 37. Defendant Ichioka engaged in such acts by the use of the mails or other means or instrumentality of interstate commerce.
- 38. Each act of misappropriation, misrepresentation or omission of material facts, made during the Relevant Period, including, but not limited to, those specifically alleged herein, constitute a separate and distinct violation of 7 U.S.C. § 6b(a)(2)(A), (C) and 17 C.F.R. § 5.2(b)(1), (3).

COUNT III

Violations of Section 40(1)(A) and (B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 60(1)(A), (B) (Fraud and Deceit by a Commodity Pool Operator)

- 39. The allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs 1 through 37 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 40. A commodity pool is defined in Section 1a(10) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(10), as "any investment trust, syndicate, or similar form of enterprise operated for the purpose of trading in commodity interests"
- 41. A Commodity Pool Operator ("CPO") is defined in Section 1a(11) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(11), as "any person . . . engaged in a business that is of the nature of a commodity pool, investment trust, syndicate or similar form of enterprise, and who, in connection therewith, solicits, accepts, or receives from others, funds, securities or property . . . for the purpose of trading in commodity interests" And for purposes of forex transactions, a CPO is similarly defined under 17 C.F.R. § 5.1(d) as "any person who operates or solicits funds, securities, or property for a pooled investment vehicle that is not an eligible contract participant ["ECP"] as defined in section 1a(18) of the Act, and that engages in forex transactions."
- 42. During the Relevant Period, Ichioka acted as a CPO by soliciting, accepting, or receiving funds from others for the purpose of trading in "commodity interests" (as that term is defined in Commission Regulation 1.3, 17 C.F.R. § 1.3 (2022)), including forex.

- 43. 7 U.S.C. § 60(1)(A) and (B) makes it unlawful for a CPO or an associated person of a CPO, "by use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, directly or indirectly—(A) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud any client or participant or prospective client or participant; or (B) to engage in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates as a fraud or deceit upon any client or participant or prospective client or participant."
- 44. During the Relevant Period, as described above, Ichioka, while acting as a CPO, committed fraud in violation of 7 U.S.C. \S 6o(1)(A) and (B) by misappropriating pool participant funds.
- 45. During the Relevant Period, Defendant Ichioka, acting as a CPO, also committed fraud by misrepresentation and omission of material fact in violation of 7 U.S.C. \S 6o(1)(A) and (B) by, among other things:
 - a) Falsely representing Ichioka's trading expertise and past profitability;
 - b) Misrepresenting the expected profits and risk of loss of investing with Ichioka; and
 - c) Failing to disclose that participant funds were commingled with Ichioka's own funds and were being used to pay Ichioka's personal expenses and to pay other participants in a manner akin to a Ponzi scheme.
- 46. Each act of misappropriation, misrepresentation, or omission of material facts, made during the Relevant Period, including, but not limited to, those specifically alleged herein, constitutes a separate and distinct violation of 7 U.S.C. \S 60(1)(A) or (B).

COUNT IV

Violations of Sections 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(cc) and 4m(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(cc) and 6m(1), and Regulation 5.3(a)(2)(i), 17 C.F.R. § 5.3(a)(2)(i) (2022) (Failure to Register as a CPO)

47. The allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs 1 through 46 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.

- 48. Several provisions under the Act and Regulations require persons operating or soliciting funds, securities, or property for a pooled investment vehicle in connection with any forex contract to register as a CPO, including 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(cc), which makes it unlawful for any person, unless registered as a CPO, to operate or solicit funds for any pooled investment vehicle in connection with any forex contract that accepts funds from non-ECP investors; 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1), which states that it shall be "unlawful for any commodity trading advisor or commodity pool operator, unless registered under this Act, to make use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce in connection with his business as such commodity trading advisor or commodity pool operator "; and 17 C.F.R. § 5.3(a)(2)(i) (2022), which requires anyone acting as a CPO for a pooled investment vehicle that engages in retail forex transactions to register as a CPO.
- 49. During the Relevant Period, Ichioka acted as a CPO by operating, or soliciting funds for, a pooled investment vehicle that engages in retail forex transactions without limiting participants to ECPs and used the mails or means of interstate commerce in connection with his business as a CPO, while not being registered with the Commission as a CPO.
- 50. By reason of the foregoing, Ichioka violated 7 U.S.C. §§ 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(cc) and 6m(1) and 17 C.F.R. § 5.3(a)(2)(i), by acting as a CPO without the benefit of registration with the Commission.

COUNT V

Violations of Regulation 4.20(c), 17 C.F.R. § 4.20(c) (2022): (Commingling of Funds by a CPO)

- 51. The allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs 1 through 49 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 52. Under 17 C.F.R. § 4.20(c), a CPO may not "commingle the property of any pool that it operates or that intends to operate with the property of any other person."

- 53. During the Relevant Period, Ichioka caused pool participant funds to be commingled with funds of other persons, including funds belonging to Ichioka.
- 54. By reason of the foregoing, Ichioka commingled participant funds in violation of 17 C.F.R. § 4.20(c).
- 55. Each commingling of a pool participant's funds is alleged as a separate and distinct violation of 17 C.F.R. § 4.20(c).

VIII. RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court, as authorized by Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1, and pursuant to its own equitable powers:

- A. Find that Defendant Ichioka is liable for violating Sections 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(cc), 4b(a)(2)(A) and (C), 4m(1), 4o(1)(A) and (B), and 6(c)(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(2)(A), (C), 6m(1), 6o(1)(A), (B), 9(1), and Regulations 4.20(c), 5.2(b), 5.3(a)(2)(i) and 180.1(a)(1)-(3), 17 C.F.R. §§ 4.20(c), 5.2(b), 180.1(a)(1)-(3) (2022);
- B. Enter an order of permanent injunction enjoining Defendant Ichioka, and his affiliates, agents, servants, employees, successors, assigns, attorneys, and all persons in active concert with him, who receive actual notice of such order by personal service or otherwise, from engaging in the conduct described above, in violation of 7 U.S.C. §§ 2(c)(2)(C)(iii)(I)(cc), 6b(a)(2)(A), (C), 6m(1), 6o(1)(A), (B), and 9(1), and 17 C.F.R. §§ 4.20(c), 5.2(b), 5.3(a)(2)(i) and 180.1(a) (2022);
- C. Enter an order of permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant Ichioka and any of his affiliates, agents, servants, employees, assigns, attorneys, and persons in active concert or participation with him, from directly or indirectly:
 - 1. Trading on or subject to the rules of any registered entity (as that term is defined in Section 1a(40) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(40));
 - 2. Entering into any transactions involving "commodity interests" (as that term is defined in Commission Regulation 1.3, 17 C.F.R. § 1.3 (2022)) or "digital asset commodities," (as that term is described herein), including bitcoin and

- ether, for accounts held in the name of Ichioka or in which Ichioka has a direct or indirect interest;
- 3. Having any commodity interests or digital asset commodities, including bitcoin and ether, traded on Ichioka's behalf;
- 4. Controlling or directing the trading for or on behalf of any other person or entity, whether by power of attorney or otherwise, in any account involving commodity interests, or digital asset commodities, including bitcoin and ether;
- 5. Soliciting, receiving, or accepting any funds from any person for the purpose of purchasing or selling any commodity interests, or digital asset commodities, including bitcoin, and ether;
- 6. Applying for registration or claiming exemption from registration with the Commission in any capacity, and engaging in any activity requiring such registration or exemption from registration with the Commission, except as provided for in Regulation 4.14(a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9) (2022); and/or
- 7. Acting as a principal (as that term is defined in Regulation 3.1(a), 17 C.F.R. § 3.1(a) (2022)), agent, or any other officer or employee of any person (as that term is defined in Section 1a(38) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(38)) registered, exempted from registration, or required to be registered with the Commission, except as provided for in 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9);
- D. Enter an order directing Ichioka, as well as any third-party transferee and/or successors thereof, to disgorge, pursuant to such procedure as the Court may order, all benefits received including, but not limited to, salaries, commissions, loans, fees, revenues, real and personal property and trading profits derived, directly or indirectly, from participants, all benefits received, including, but not limited to, salaries, commissions, loans, fees, revenues, and trading profits derived, directly or indirectly, from acts or practices which constitute violations of the Act and the Regulations described herein, including post-judgment interest;

- E. Enter an order requiring Ichioka, as well as any successors thereof, to make full restitution to every person or entity who has sustained losses proximately caused by the violations described herein, including post-judgment interest;
- F. Enter an order directing Ichioka, as well as any successors thereof, to rescind, pursuant to such procedures as the Court may order, all contracts and agreements, whether implied or express, entered into between, with or among Ichioka or any of the participants whose funds were received by Ichioka as a result of the acts and practices that constituted violations of the Act and Regulations as described herein;
- G. Enter an order directing Ichioka to pay a civil monetary penalty assessed by the Court, in an amount not to exceed the penalty prescribed by Section 6c(d)(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(d)(1), as adjusted for inflation pursuant to the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015, Pub. L. 114-74, 129 Stat. 584 (2015), tit. VII, § 701, 129 Stat. 584, 599-600, *see* Regulation 143.8, 17 C.F.R. § 143.8 (2022), for each violation of the Act and Regulations, as described herein;
- H. Enter an order requiring Ichioka to pay costs and fees as permitted by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1920 and 2412(a)(2); and
- I. Enter an order providing such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary and appropriate under the circumstances.

1	Date: JUNE 22, 2023	Commodity Entrans Trading Commission
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$		Commodity Futures Trading Commission
2		By its attorneys:
3		/s/ Susan B. Padove
4		Susan B. Padove
5		David Terrell
6		Attomasya for
7		Attorneys for Commodity Futures Trading Commission
8		77 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite 800
9		Chicago, Illinois 60604 Tel. 312-596-0700
10		Padove Cell: 202-390-6885 Fax 312-596-0714
11		spadove@cftc.gov
12		dterrell@cftc.gov
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CFTC COMPLAINT – PAGE: 17