# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

LES TRADITOR S
Office of Proceedings
Proceedings Clerk
8:21 am, Jul 25, 2019

RECEIVED CFTC

In the Matter of:

John Edmonds,

Respondent.

ORDER INSTITUTING PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTION 6(c) AND (d) OF THE COMMODITY EXCHANGE ACT, MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING REMEDIAL SANCTIONS

CFTC Docket No. 19-16

I.

## INTRODUCTION

The Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("Commission") has reason to believe that John Edmonds ("Edmonds") violated Section 4c(a)(5)(C) of the Commodity Exchange Act ("Act"), 7 U.S.C. § 6c(a)(5)(C) (2012), for conduct occurring on or after July 16, 2011; and Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 9(1) (2012), and Commission Regulation ("Regulation") 180.1(a)(1) and (3), 17 C.F.R. § 180.1(a)(1), (3) (2018), for conduct occurring on or after August 15, 2011. Therefore, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted to determine whether Edmonds engaged in the violations set forth herein and to determine whether any order should be issued imposing remedial sanctions.

In anticipation of the institution of an administrative proceeding, Edmonds has submitted an Offer of Settlement ("Offer") which the Commission has determined to accept. Edmonds admits the facts set forth below, acknowledges that his conduct violated the Act and Regulations, admits the Commission's jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings, consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Proceedings Pursuant to Section 6(c) and (d) of the Commodity Exchange Act, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions ("Order"), and acknowledges service of this Order.

II.

## **FINDINGS**

The Commission finds the following:

# A. Summary

From in or around 2009 until in or around 2015 ("Relevant Period"), while employed as a precious metals trader at a U.S. financial institution headquartered in New York (the "Bank"), Edmonds and others at the Bank engaged in a manipulative and deceptive scheme by "spoofing" (bidding or offering with the intent to cancel the bid or offer before execution) while placing orders for futures contracts on a registered entity (the "Scheme").

In furtherance of the Scheme, Edmonds engaged in spoofing in the precious metals futures market on at least hundreds of occasions. Specifically, Edmonds placed orders to buy or sell futures contracts with the intent to cancel them before execution, thereby intentionally sending false signals of increased buying or selling interest designed to trick market participants into executing against those other orders he wanted filled. By virtue of this conduct, for conduct occurring on or after July 16, 2011, Edmonds engaged in spoofing in violation of Section 4c(a)(5)(C) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6c(a)(5)(C) (2012); and, for conduct occurring on or after August 15, 2011, engaged in the use or attempted use of a manipulative or deceptive device in violation of Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 9(1) (2012), and Regulation 180.1(a)(1) and (3), 17 C.F.R. § 180(a)(1), (3) (2018).

\* \* \* \* \*

In accepting the Offer, the Commission recognizes Edmonds's entry into a formal cooperation agreement ("Cooperation Agreement") with the Division of Enforcement ("Division"), which sets forth the terms of his agreement to cooperate with the Commission and the Division in connection with any investigation, litigation, or proceeding to which the Commission is a party relating to the subject matter of this Order and/or as described in the Cooperation Agreement (the "Proceedings").

# B. Respondent

**John Edmonds** is a former trader who resides in New York, New York. Throughout the Relevant Period, Edmonds was a trader at the Bank. Edmonds has never been registered with the Commission.

# C. Facts

During the Relevant Period, Edmonds engaged in trading on behalf of the Bank in futures markets. Edmonds traded in numerous futures contracts while employed at the Bank, including precious metals such as gold, silver, platinum, and palladium.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The futures contracts referenced herein are all traded on exchanges owned and operated by CME Group, Inc. ("CME").

During the Relevant Period, Edmonds engaged in spoofing in the precious metals markets while placing orders for, and trading futures contracts through, accounts owned by the Bank. The Scheme involved Edmonds placing at least hundreds of bids or offers for futures contracts with the intent to cancel those orders before their execution. Edmonds learned the deceptive trading strategy of this Scheme from more senior traders at the Bank, and he personally deployed this strategy with the knowledge and consent of his immediate supervisor.

Typically, Edmonds implemented the Scheme as follows: on one side of the market he placed at least one non-aggressive order ("Genuine Order(s)"), and on the opposite side of the market from the Genuine Order, he placed one or more fully-visible non-aggressive order(s) with the intent to cancel those orders before execution ("Spoof Order(s)").

Edmonds and others engaged in the Scheme to induce other market participants to trade against their Genuine Orders at prices, quantities, and times that they otherwise likely would not have traded. Edmonds and others at the Bank entered Spoof Orders to intentionally send market participants a false signal of greater buying or selling interest thereby creating the impression that the price would likely rise or decline respectively and trick market participants into transacting against the Genuine Orders. Edmonds, the Bank and others benefitted financially from the Scheme.

The following example from Edmonds's trading illustrates how he executed the Scheme.

# March 5, 2014 – Trading the May 2014 COMEX Silver Futures Contract

On March 5, 2014, at 8:18:39.699 AM Central Time, Edmonds placed an offer to sell two lots of the May 2014 expiry of the COMEX Silver Futures ("SIK4") contract at a price of \$21.275, which was the best-offer level ("Genuine Silver Offer"). Less than one second later, at 8:18:40.443, Edmonds began placing a sequence of two-lot bids to buy SIK4 at increasing prices ("Spoofing Silver Bids"). Between 8:18:40.443 and 8:18:41.587, an interval of 1.1 seconds, Edmonds placed ten two-lot Spoofing Silver Bids at the following prices in sequence: \$21.255, \$21.260, \$21.265, \$21.265, \$21.265, \$21.265, \$21.270, \$21.270, \$21.270, and \$21.270. Edmonds placed these Spoofing Silver Bids at the fourth best bid through the best bid. Edmonds's last four Spoofing Silver Bids at \$21.270 were at the best bid and were one tick below Edmonds's Genuine Silver Offer. At 8:18:41.595, eight milliseconds after Edmonds placed his tenth bid, Edmonds's Genuine Silver Offer was fully filled by other market participants. Shortly thereafter, Edmonds cancelled all of the Spoofing Silver Bids.

#### LEGAL DISCUSSION

# A. Spoofing in Violation of Section 4c(a)(5)(C) of the Act

Section 4c(a)(5)(C) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6c(a)(5)(C) (2012), makes it unlawful for "any person to engage in any trading, practice, or conduct on or subject to the rules of a registered entity that . . . is, is of the character of, or is commonly known to the trade as, 'spoofing' (bidding or offering with the intent to cancel the bid or offer before execution)." *See United States v. Coscia*, 866 F.3d 782, 792-93 (7th Cir. 2017), *cert. denied*, 138 S. Ct. 1989 (2018).

As described above, during the Scheme, Edmonds entered bids or offers on a registered entity, specifically CME's exchanges, with the intent to cancel the bids or offers before execution in violation of Section 4c(a)(5)(C) of the Act.

# B. <u>Use of a Manipulative and Deceptive Scheme in Violation of Section 6(c)(1) of the Act and Regulation 180.1(a)(1) and (3)</u>

Under Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 9(1) (2012), and Regulation 180.1(a), 17 C.F.R. § 180.1(a) (2018), it is unlawful to, directly or indirectly, in connection with any contract for future delivery on or subject to the rules of a registered entity, intentionally or recklessly "(1) [u]se or employ, or attempt to use or employ, any manipulative device, scheme, or artifice to defraud"; or "(3) [e]ngage, or attempt to engage, in any act, practice, or course of business, which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person."

As described above, Edmonds, along with others at the Bank, employed a manipulative and deceptive scheme wherein he entered Spoof Orders to intentionally send market participants a false signal of greater buying or selling interest, thereby creating the impression that market prices would likely rise or decline, and tricking market participants into transacting against his Genuine Orders. *See, e.g., In re McVean Trading*, CFTC No. 17-15, 2017 WL 2729956, at \*11 (July 21, 2017) (consent order) (finding that "injecting false information into the marketplace that 'portrayed a false appearance of wide investor interest'" was a manipulative or deceptive device under Section 6(c)(1) and Regulation 180.1 (quoting *SEC v. Commonwealth Chem. Secs., Inc.*, 410 F. Supp. 1002, 1013 (S.D.N.Y. 1976), *aff'd in part and modified in part on other grounds*, 574 F.2d 90 (2d Cir. 1978))); *cf. SEC v. Lek Sec. Corp*, 276 F. Supp. 3d 49, 58-60 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 25, 2017) (noting that "'trading engineered to stimulate demand'" may inject false pricing signals into the market and thus constitute manipulation under the securities laws (quoting *ATSI Commc'ns, Inc. v. Shaar Fund, Ltd.*, 493 F.3d 87, 101 (2d Cir. 2007))). Through this misconduct, Edmonds violated Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, and Regulation 180.1(a)(1) and (3).

#### IV.

#### FINDINGS OF VIOLATIONS

Based on the foregoing, the Commission finds that Edmonds violated, for conduct occurring on or after July 16, 2011, Section 4c(a)(5)(C) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6c(a)(5)(C) (2012); and, for conduct occurring on or after August 15, 2011, Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 9(1) (2012), and Regulation 180.1(a)(1) and (3), 17 C.F.R. § 180.1(a)(1), (3) (2018).

V.

## OFFER OF SETTLEMENT

Edmonds has submitted the Offer in which he:

- A. Acknowledges service of this Order;
- B. Admits the jurisdiction of the Commission with respect to all matters set forth in this Order and for any action or proceeding brought or authorized by the Commission based on violation of or enforcement of this Order;
- C. Admits to all of the findings made in this Order;
- D. Waives:
  - 1. The filing and service of a complaint and notice of hearing;
  - 2. A hearing;
  - 3. All post-hearing procedures;
  - 4. Judicial review by any court;
  - 5. Any defense based on the statute of limitations applicable to any charges brought in connection with this Order;
  - 6. Any and all objections to the participation by any member of the Commission's staff in the Commission's consideration of the Offer;
  - 7. Any and all claims that he may possess under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 5 U.S.C. § 504 (2012) and 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (2012), and/or the rules promulgated by the Commission in conformity therewith, Part 148 of the Regulations, 17 C.F.R. pt. 148 (2018), relating to, or arising from, this proceeding;
  - 8. Any and all claims that he may possess under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-121, tit. II, §§ 201-253, 110 Stat. 847, 857-74 (codified as amended at 28 U.S.C. § 2412 and in scattered

- sections of 5 U.S.C. and 15 U.S.C.), relating to, or arising from, this proceeding; and
- 9. Any claims of Double Jeopardy based on the institution of this proceeding or the entry in this proceeding of any order imposing a civil monetary penalty or any other relief, including this Order;
- E. Stipulates that the record basis on which this Order is entered shall consist solely of the findings contained in this Order to which Edmonds has consented in the Offer;
- F. Consents to additional proceedings to determine what, if any, sanctions may be assessed against him. In connection with such additional proceedings, he further consents that:

  (a) the findings of fact in Section II of this Order shall be accepted as and deemed true by the Presiding Officer; (b) Edmonds will be precluded from arguing that he did not violate the federal laws as described in Sections III and IV of this Order; and (c) he may not challenge the validity of his consents and agreements in the Offer or this Order; and
- G. Consents, solely on the basis of the Offer, to the Commission's entry of this Order that:
  - 1. Makes findings by the Commission that Edmonds violated Sections 4c(a)(5)(C) and 6(c)(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6c(a)(5)(C), 9(1) (2012), and Regulation 180.1(a)(1) and (3), 17 C.F.R. § 180.1(a)(1), (3) (2018);
  - 2. Orders Edmonds to cease and desist from violating Sections 4c(a)(5)(C) and 6(c)(1) of the Act and Regulation 180.1(a)(1) and (3); and
  - 3. Orders Edmonds to comply with the conditions and undertakings consented to in the Offer and as set forth in Part VI of this Order.

Upon consideration, the Commission has determined to accept the Offer.

# VI.

# **ORDER**

# Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- A. Edmonds shall cease and desist from violating Sections 4c(a)(5)(C) and 6(c)(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6c(a)(5)(C), 9(1) (2012), and Regulation 180.1(a)(1) and (3), 17 C.F.R. § 180.1(a)(1), (3) (2018).
- B. The Commission reserves its determination as to sanctions against Edmonds at this time based upon his cooperation in a Commission investigation and related proceedings, pursuant to the terms of the Cooperation Agreement, and his undertaking to continue to cooperate, as set forth in this Order in Section VI.C.2 below. The determination of what, if any, sanctions may be assessed against him will be made at a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence and hearing arguments on the issue in accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. pt. 10 (2018), at a time and place to be fixed

as provided in Regulation 10.61, 17 C.F.R. § 10.61 (2018), except that in the additional proceedings: (a) the findings of fact in Section II of this Order shall be accepted as and deemed true by the Presiding Officer; (b) Edmonds will be precluded from arguing that he did not violate the federal laws as described in Sections III and IV of this Order; and (c) Edmonds may not challenge the validity of his consents and agreements in the Offer or this Order. All post-hearing procedures shall be conducted pursuant to Regulations 10.81-10.107, 17 C.F.R. §§ 10.81-10.107 (2018).

- C. Edmonds shall comply with the following conditions and undertakings set forth in the Offer:
  - 1. Public Statements: Edmonds agrees that neither he nor any of his agents or employees under his authority or control shall take any action or make any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any findings or conclusions in this Order or creating, or tending to create, the impression that this Order is without a factual basis; provided, however, that nothing in this provision shall affect Edmonds's:

    (i) testimonial obligations; or (ii) right to take legal positions in other proceedings to which the Commission is not a party. Edmonds shall comply with this provision, and shall undertake all steps necessary to ensure that all of his agents and/or employees under his authority or control understand and comply with this provision.
  - 2. <u>Cooperation with the Commission</u>: Edmonds shall cooperate fully and truthfully with the Commission, including the Division, in the Proceedings. As part of such cooperation, Edmonds agrees to:
    - a. preserve and produce to the Commission in a responsive and prompt manner, as requested by Division staff, all relevant non-privileged documents, information, and other materials wherever located, in the appropriate possession, custody, or control of Edmonds;
    - b. utilize his knowledge and skill to explain transactions, interpret information and technology, or identify new and productive lines of inquiry;
    - c. prepare and appear for interviews and testimony at such times and places as requested by Division staff;
    - d. respond completely and truthfully to all inquiries and interviews, when requested to do so by Division staff;
    - e. identify and authenticate relevant documents and other evidentiary materials, execute affidavits and/or declarations, and testify completely and truthfully at depositions, trial, and other judicial proceedings, when requested to do so by Division staff;
    - f. enter into tolling agreements, when requested to do so by Division staff, during the period of cooperation;

- g. waive any defense based on the statute of limitations applicable to any charges brought in connection with the Proceedings;
- h. consent to procedural matters, when requested to do so by Division staff, in connection with the Proceedings;
- i. accept service by mail, electronic mail, or facsimile transmission of notices or subpoenas for documents and/or testimony;
- j. appoint his attorney as agent to receive service of such notices and subpoenas;
- k. waive the territorial limits on service contained in Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules in connection with requests or subpoenas of Division staff; and
- 1. serve by hand delivery or by next-day mail all written notices and correspondence required by or related to the Cooperation Agreement to the Director of the Division of Enforcement, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 1155 21st Street, NW, Three Lafayette Centre, Washington, DC 20581, unless otherwise directed in writing by Division staff.
- 3. <u>Change of Address/Phone</u>: Until such time as Edmonds satisfies in full his obligations as set forth in the Cooperation Agreement and this Order, Edmonds shall provide written notice to the Commission by certified mail of any change to his telephone number and mailing address within ten calendar days of the change.

The provisions of this Order shall be effective as of this date.

By the Commission.

Christopher J. Kirkpatrick Secretary of the Commission

Commodity Futures Trading Commission

Dated: July 25, 2019