

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

McDonnell Douglas: Docket 96–NM–227–AD.

Applicability: Model MD–11 series airplanes; as listed in McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11–55–013, Revision 03, dated May 15, 1998; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the attachment bolts on the forward and aft flanges of the No. 4 banjo fitting and the pylon carry-through cap due to fatigue cracking, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane during flight and ground operation, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 1,500 landings after the effective date of this AD, perform an external visual inspection for attachment failures of the 12 attachments located on the No. 4 banjo fitting/pylon carry-through cap, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11–55–013, Revision 02, dated October 28, 1996; or Revision 03, dated May 15, 1998.

(1) If no failed attachment is found, repeat the external visual inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 1,500 landings until the terminating action specified in paragraph (b) of this AD is accomplished.

(2) If any failed attachment is found, prior to further flight, accomplish the actions specified in paragraph (b) of this AD.

(b) Except as provided by paragraph (c) of this AD: Within 5 years after the effective date of this AD, perform an eddy inspection to detect cracking of the forward and aft flanges and bolt holes of the No. 4 banjo fitting, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas MD–11 Service Bulletin 55–13, Revision 1, dated December 17, 1993; or McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11–55–013, Revision 02, dated October 28, 1996; or McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11–55–013, Revision 03, dated May 15, 1998.

(1) If no cracking is found, within 5 years after the effective date of this AD, replace the 12 attachments located on the No. 4 banjo fitting/pylon carry-through cap with new or serviceable attachments in accordance with Revision 03 of the service bulletin. Such

replacement constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspections required by paragraph (a) of this AD.

(2) If any cracking is found, prior to further flight, repair the fitting, and replace the 12 attachments located on the No. 4 banjo fitting/pylon carry-through cap with new or serviceable attachments in accordance with Revision 03 of the service bulletin. Such replacement constitutes terminating for the repetitive inspections required by paragraph (a) of this AD.

(c) For airplanes on which McDonnell Douglas MD–11 Service Bulletin 55–13, dated December 22, 1992, has been accomplished, and on which no failed attachment was found during the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD: The eddy current bolt hole inspection specified in paragraph (b) of this AD is not required provided that all 12 attachments have been replaced in accordance with the original issue of the service bulletin.

(d) If the service bulletin specifies that the manufacturer may be contacted for disposition of enlargement of holes beyond the specifications of the service bulletin, or for an evaluation for deferment of repairs: Those conditions shall be addressed in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on September 10, 1998.

Dorenda D. Baker,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98–24869 Filed 9–16–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

17 CFR Parts 34 and 35

Concept Release Concerning Over-the-Counter Derivatives

AGENCY: Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

ACTION: Extension of comment period on Concept Release.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commodity Futures Trading Commission (Commission) issued a Concept Release concerning over-the-counter derivatives on May 12, 1998 (63 FR 26114). Comments on the Concept Release were originally due on July 13, 1998, but the Commission extended the deadline until September 11, 1998 in response to a request for an extension from the Chicago Mercantile Exchange, the Futures Industry Association, and the Managed Futures Association. See 63 FR 34335 (June 24, 1998). In response to a new request by the Futures Industry Association, the Commission has determined to extend the comment period for an additional 30 days. The extended deadline for comments on the Concept Release is October 13, 1998.

Any person interested in submitting comments on the Concept Release should submit them by the specified date to Jean A. Webb, Secretary, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington DC 20581. In addition, comments may be sent by facsimile transmission to facsimile number (202) 418–5521, or by electronic mail to *secretary@cftc.gov*.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before October 13, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Lawton, Associate Director, Division of Trading and Markets, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington DC 20581. Telephone (202) 418–5430.

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 11, 1998 by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

Jean Webb,

Secretary of the Commission.

Remarks of Commissioner Barbara Pedersen Holum

Concurring in Part and Dissenting in Part

Federal Register Release Extending the Comment Period on the Concept Release Concerning Over-the-Counter Derivatives

I concur in the Commission decision to extend the comment period on the OTC Derivatives Concept Release, but dissent from the short 30-day extension in favor of a 384-day extension to September 30, 1999.

The Futures Industry Association (FIA) requested a 30-day extension of the comment period. However, John Damgard, President of FIA, was very supportive of the proposed 384-day extension for the comment period.

Extension of the subject comment period for 384 days could effectively preserve the status quo and, therefore, provide the standstill sought by the Congress and the industry until the comment period closes. The proposed comment period and 384 days would terminate on September 30, 1999, in

conformity with standstill legislation proposed by House Agriculture Committee Chairman Smith. This seriatim action will most likely be the final opportunity for the Commission to achieve a regulatory moratorium, in light of the Chairperson's refusal to provide the Commission with an opportunity to vote on this important public policy issue.

Extension of the comment period for a longer period is necessary to avoid the need for emergency legislation and to reassure the markets that the legal status of swap and hybrid transactions will not change without Congressional action.

Dated: September 11, 1998.

Barbara Pedersen Holum,
Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 98-24890 Filed 9-16-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION AGENCY

Agency for International Development

22 CFR Part 201

[USAID Regulation 1]

RIN 0412-AA-34

Rules and Procedures Applicable to Commodity Transactions Financed by USAID: Inspection and Price Provisions

AGENCY: U.S. Agency for International Development, IDCA.

ACTION: Proposed rule withdrawn.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) published a proposed rule in the **Federal Register** on August 8, 1997 (62 FR 42712). The proposed rule explained that USAID planned to change the procedure used to assure that prices paid to suppliers for transactions financed under Commodity Import Programs (CIPs) are fair and reasonable by implementing a pre-shipment review process managed from USAID/Washington. USAID has instead decided that its Mission in Egypt will manage all aspects of the CIP since the only remaining program is in Egypt. The Mission in Egypt does not plan to implement a pre-shipment review program; therefore, the proposed rule is being withdrawn.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Kathleen J. O'Hara, (202) 712-0610.

Dated: September 4, 1998.

Marcus L. Stevenson,
Procurement Executive.

[FR Doc. 98-24879 Filed 9-16-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6116-71-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 98-164, RM-9357]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Linn, MO

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition filed by R. Lee and Sarah H. Wheeler, proposing the allotment of Channel 276A to Linn, Missouri, as that community's first local broadcast service. The channel can be allotted to Linn without a site restriction at coordinates 38-29-06 and 91-51-06. **DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before November 2, 1998, and reply comments on or before November 17, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC. 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner's counsel, as follows: John M. Pelkey, Haley Bader & Potts, P.L.C., 4350 North Fairfax Drive, Suite 900, Arlington, VA 22203-1633.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 98-164, adopted September 2, 1998, and released September 11, 1998. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC. 20036, (202) 857-3800, facsimile (202) 857-3805.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contact.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 98-24984 Filed 9-16-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 98-162, RM-9263]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Sugar Hill and Toccoa, GA

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Southern Broadcasting of Pensacola, Inc., seeking the substitution of Channel 291C1 for Channel 291C at Toccoa, GA, the reallocation of Channel 291C1 from Toccoa to Sugar Hill, GA, and the modification of Station WSTE-FM's license accordingly. The Commission requests comments on whether pre-1964 stations grandfathered short-spaced stations and pre-1989 grandfathered short-spaced Class A stations should be allowed to change their community of license where there is no change in their presently licensed technical facilities. Channel 291C1 can be allotted to Sugar Hill with a site restriction of 45.7 kilometers (28.4 miles) northeast, at coordinates 34-22-41 NL; 83-39-30 WL. This site, which is the transmitter site specified in Station WSTE-FM's outstanding construction permit (BPH-970325IC), will maintain the present grandfathered short-spacing to Station WYAY, Channel 294C, Gainesville, GA. **DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before November 2, 1998, and reply comments on or before November 17, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Gary S. Smithwick, Smithwick & Belendiuk, P.C., 1990 M Street, N.W., Suite 510, Washington, D.C. 20036 (Counsel to petitioner).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Leslie K. Shapiro, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of