



U.S. COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Three Lafayette Centre
1155 21st Street, NW, Washington, DC 20581
Telephone: (202) 418-5000

Division of Market Oversight

Meghan Tente
Acting Director

Re: Extension of Certain Staff No-Action Relief from the Trade Execution Requirement to Facilitate an Orderly Transition from Inter-Bank Offered Rates to Alternative Risk-Free Rates

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter responds to a request to extend CFTC Staff Letter No. 20-24 (“Letter No. 20-24”),¹ issued August 31, 2020, by the Division of Market Oversight (“DMO”) of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“CFTC” or “Commission”). DMO issued Letter No. 20-24 in response to a letter from the Alternative Reference Rate Committee (“ARRC”)² and its member firms that are subject to certain requirements under the Commodity Exchange Act (“CEA” or “Act”) and Commission regulations.³ Among other things, the ARRC requested certain clarification from DMO regarding the application of the trade execution requirement under section 2(h)(8) of the CEA,⁴ in order to support the industry-wide initiative associated with the transition of swaps that reference the London Interbank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”) and other interbank offered rates⁵ to swaps that reference alternative benchmarks, including the risk-free rates (collectively with alternative benchmarks, the “RFRs”).

¹ CFTC Letter No. 20-24 (Aug. 31, 2020), available at: <https://www.cftc.gov/csl/20-24/download>. Concurrently with the issuance of Letter No. 20-24, the Market Participants Division (“MPD”)(previously the Division of Swap Dealer and Intermediary Oversight (“DSIO”)) and the Division of Clearing and Risk (“DCR”) issued CFTC Staff Letters 20-23 and 20-25. CFTC Staff Letters and letters requesting relief are available on the Commission’s website at: <https://www.cftc.gov/LawRegulation/CFTCStaffLetters/index.htm>.

² Authorities representing United States (“U.S.”) banking regulators and other financial sector members, including the Commission, serve as non-voting *ex-officio* members of the ARRC.

³ CFTC Staff Letters and letters requesting relief are available on the Commission’s website at: <https://www.cftc.gov/LawRegulation/CFTCStaffLetters/index.htm>.

⁴ 7 U.S.C. § 2(h)(8).

⁵ Interbank offered rates include, but are not limited to, U.S. dollar (“USD”) LIBOR, British pound (“GBP”) LIBOR, Japanese yen (“JYP”) LIBOR, the Tokyo Interbank Offered Rate (“TIBOR”), the Australian Bank Bill Swap Rate (“BBSW”), the Singapore Interbank Offered Rate (“SIBOR”), the Canadian Dollar Offered Rate (“CDOR”), the Euro Interbank Offered Rate (“EURIBOR”), and the Hong Kong Interbank Offered Rate (“HIBOR”). However, the interbank offered rates may not be the only reference rates that are phased out or become impaired. Thus, in addition to the interbank offered rates, the relief described in this letter also will apply to conversions away from (i) any other interest rate that the parties to a swap reasonably expect to be discontinued or

In a letter dated December 2, 2021, the ARRC represents that, while non-USD LIBOR settings and the one-week and two-month USD LIBOR settings will cease to be provided or will no longer be representative of the underlying market as of December 31, 2021, all other USD LIBOR settings will continue to be published on the basis of panel bank submissions until June 30, 2023 (the “2023 USD LIBOR Settings”). Accordingly, ARRC requests an extension of the relief provided by DMO in Letter No. 20-24 that would expire on January 1, 2022 to June 30, 2023 for swaps otherwise covered by Letter No. 20-24 to the extent that they reference one of the 2023 USD LIBOR Settings.

This letter revises Letter No. 20-24 in its entirety. Letter No. 20-24 is superseded by this letter and no person may rely on Letter No. 20-24 after December 31, 2021.

I. Factual Background

In response to significant concerns regarding the reliability and robustness of the IBORs, the Financial Stability Board (“FSB”) called for the identification of alternative benchmarks to the IBORs and transition plans to support implementation of these alternatives.⁶ The U.S. Financial Stability Oversight Council (“FSOC”) has made repeated calls for member agencies to work closely with market participants to identify and mitigate risks that may arise during an IBOR transition process.⁷ In response to ongoing efforts such as these, central banks in various jurisdictions, including the U.S., United Kingdom (“U.K.”), Japan, Switzerland, and European Union, have convened working groups of official sector representatives and market participants.

reasonably determines has lost its relevance as a reliable benchmark due to a significant impairment; or (ii) any other reference rate that succeeds any of the foregoing (the interbank offered rates and any other rate meeting either of the foregoing criterion are (hereinafter collectively referred to as “IBORs”).

⁶ See generally FSB statement, “Interest rate benchmark reform – overnight risk-free rates and term rates” at 1, (July 12, 2018), available at: <https://www.fsb.org/wp-content/uploads/P120718.pdf> (“Because derivatives represent a particularly large exposure to certain IBORs, and because these prospective RFR-derived term rates can only be robustly created if derivatives markets on the overnight RFRs are actively and predominantly used, the FSB believes that transition of most derivatives to the more robust overnight RFRs is important to ensuring financial stability.”); FSB Reforming Major Interest Rate Benchmarks (July 22, 2014), available at: https://www.fsb.org/wp-content/uploads/r_140722.pdf; IOSCO Principles for Financial Benchmarks: Final Report (July 2013), available at: <https://www.iosco.org/library/pubdocs/pdf/IOSCOPD415.pdf>; and Statement on Communication and Outreach to Inform Relevant Stakeholders Regarding Benchmarks Transition by the Board of the International Organization of Securities Commissions (IOSCO), July 31, 2019, available at: <https://www.iosco.org/library/pubdocs/pdf/IOSCOPD636.pdf>.

⁷ E.g., FSOC 2018 Annual Report, pages 4-5, 8-9, 108-109 (Dec. 19, 2018), available at: <https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/261/FSOC2018AnnualReport.pdf> (“The uncertainty surrounding LIBOR’s sustainability may threaten individual financial institutions and the U.S. financial system more broadly. Specifically, without advance preparation, a sudden cessation of such a heavily used reference rate could cause considerable disruptions to, and uncertainties around, the large flows of LIBOR-related payments. It could also impair the functioning of a variety of markets, including business and consumer lending The Council recommends that member agencies work closely with market participants to identify and mitigate risks from potential dislocations during the transition process.”); see also FSOC 2013 Annual Report, pages 6, 14-15, 137, 140-142 (June 2013), available at: <https://www.treasury.gov/initiatives/fsoc/Documents/FSOC%202013%20Annual%20Report.pdf>.

In 2014, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York convened the ARRC in order to identify best practices for U.S. alternative reference rates, identify best practices for contract robustness, develop an adoption plan, and create an implementation plan with metrics of success and a timeline.⁸ Similar committees have been established in other jurisdictions, including the U.K., Japan, Switzerland, and European Union.

In June 2017, the ARRC identified a broad Treasuries repo financing rate, the secured overnight financing rate (“SOFR”), as the preferred alternative benchmark to USD LIBOR for certain new USD derivatives and other financial contracts.⁹ The ARRC also published an updated paced transition plan outlining the steps that the ARRC, derivatives clearing organizations, and other market participants intend to take in order to progressively build the liquidity required to support the issuance of, and transition to, contracts referencing SOFR.¹⁰ In accordance with the ARRC’s plan and similar plans in other jurisdictions, trading of SOFR-based derivatives and other financial contracts linked to alternative benchmarks commenced in 2018 and has continued to expand in scope in 2021.¹¹

In July 2017, the U.K. Financial Conduct Authority (“FCA”), which regulates ICE Benchmark Administration Limited, the administrator of LIBOR,¹² announced that it has sought commitments from LIBOR panel banks to continue to contribute to LIBOR through the end of 2021, but that the FCA will not use its powers to compel or persuade contributions beyond such date.¹³ The submissions by panel banks serve as inputs to formulate LIBOR rates in five currencies, namely, USD LIBOR, EUR LIBOR, GBP LIBOR, CHF LIBOR, and JPY LIBOR.

⁸ In March 2018, the ARRC was reconstituted with expanded participation by additional financial institutions and trade organizations, and with additional government agencies added as *ex officio* members. Alternative Reference Rates Committee, Press Release, March 7, 2018, available at: <https://www.newyorkfed.org/medialibrary/Microsites/arc/files/2018/ARRC-March-7-2018-press-release.pdf>.

⁹ See the ARRC, Press Release, June 22, 2017, available at: <https://www.newyorkfed.org/medialibrary/microsites/arc/files/2017/ARRC-press-release-Jun-22-2017.pdf>.

¹⁰ In 2019, the ARRC released an incremental objectives document that compliments the paced transition plan, available at: https://www.newyorkfed.org/medialibrary/Microsites/arc/files/2019/ARRC_2019_Incremental_Objectives.pdf. On July 29, 2021, the ARRC formally recommended CME Group’s forward-looking SOFR term rates, thereby concluding the ARRC’s paced transition plan. See ARRC, ARRC Formally Recommends Term SOFR, July 29, 2021, available at: https://www.newyorkfed.org/medialibrary/Microsites/arc/files/2021/ARRC_Press_Release_Term_SOFR.pdf.

¹¹ Information regarding the progress of trading SOFR derivatives to date can be found at <https://www.newyorkfed.org/medialibrary/Microsites/arc/files/2021/USD-LIBOR-transition-progress-report-mar-21.pdf> and <https://www.isda.org/2021/11/09/isda-clarus-rfr-adoption-indicator-october-2021/>.

¹² ICE Benchmark Administration Limited is the administrator for LIBOR rates in five currencies. The trade execution requirement applies to certain tenors of IRS with LIBOR floating rates in two of those currencies: USD and GBP.

¹³ Speech by Andrew Bailey, Chief Executive of the FCA, at Bloomberg London, UK, July 27, 2017, available at: <https://www.fca.org.uk/news/speeches/the-future-of-libor>.

In addition, the Singapore dollar (“SGD”) Swap Offer Rate (“SOR”) is computed based on transactions that reference USD LIBOR.

Non-U.S. jurisdictions also have determined that applicable reference rates are no longer representative benchmarks due to a significant impairment as determined by authorized benchmark administrators or the relevant authority in a particular jurisdiction.¹⁴ For example, in the U.K., the Working Group on Risk-Free Reference Rates recommended the Sterling Overnight Index Average (“SONIA”) as the recommended replacement rate for GBP LIBOR.¹⁵ Similarly, in Japan, the Cross-Industry Committee on Japanese Yen Interest Rate benchmarks has identified the Tokyo Overnight Average Rate (“TONA”) as the preferred replacement rate for JPY TIBOR, where appropriate. In Switzerland, the National Working Group on Swiss Franc Reference Rates has recommended the CHF Swiss Average Rate Overnight (“SARON”) as the alternative rate to replace CHF LIBOR. In Singapore, the Monetary Authority of Singapore (“MAS”) announced that SOR will transition to the Singapore Overnight Rate Average (“SORA”), a SGD risk-free rate benchmark. In the European Union, the working group on euro risk-free rates selected the euro short-term rate (“€STR”) as an alternative benchmark to the euro overnight index average (“EONIA”) and the foundation for fallback rate calculations for EURIBOR-linked contracts.

On March 5, 2021, the FCA announced that all GBP, EUR, CHF and JPY LIBOR settings, and the 1-week and 2-month USD LIBOR settings “will either cease to be provided by any administrator or no longer be representative” immediately after December 31, 2021.¹⁶ Within the same announcement, the FCA announced that the 2023 USD LIBOR Settings “will either cease to be provided by any administrator or no longer be representative” immediately after June 30, 2023.¹⁷

II. The ARRC’s Request for Relief

In its prior request letters, the ARRC contended that certainty regarding the application of requirements under the CEA and Commission regulations to mechanisms used by market participants to effect the transition from IBORs to RFRs will help to facilitate the orderly transition away from the use of IBORs. To help ensure continuity of swaps through this

¹⁴ When making such a determination, benchmark administrators and authorities supervising benchmark administrators have considered whether the benchmark (and, by extension, its administrator) satisfies the Principles for Financial Benchmarks published by the Board of the International Organization of Securities Commissions, July 2013, available at: <http://www.iosco.org/library/pubdocs/pdf/IOSCOPD415.pdf>.

¹⁵ The IRS clearing requirement applies to overnight index swaps with a SONIA floating rate and a term between 7 days and 3 years. However, as of the date of this letter, no swaps referencing SONIA floating rates have been made subject to the trade execution requirement.

¹⁶ Announcements on the end of LIBOR, March 5, 2021, available at: <https://www.fca.org.uk/news/press-releases/announcements-end-libor> (“UK FCA LIBOR Announcement”). See also, ICE Benchmark Administration Publishes Feedback Statement for the Consultation on Its Intention to Cease the Publication of LIBOR® Settings, March 5, 2021, available at: <https://ir.theice.com/press/news-details/2021/ICE-Benchmark-Administration-Publishes-Feedback-Statement-for-the-Consultation-on-Its-Intention-to-Cease-the-Publication-of-LIBOR-Settings/default.aspx>.

¹⁷ *Id.*

transition, and to encourage the early, voluntary transition to RFRs, which the ARRC believes should help to avoid potential operational and market disruptions, and to build liquidity and depth in RFR markets, the ARRC, among other things, requested that DMO provide clarity regarding the application of the trade execution requirement under section 2(h)(8) of the CEA, when market participants use certain mechanisms to transition swaps and swap portfolios from IBORs to RFRs. In its prior requests, the ARRC stated that this transition is expected to take different forms, “depending on the needs of counterparties, the nature of the particular swap or swap portfolio being transitioned, and the liquidity and availability of products referencing new RFRs.”¹⁸ As such, the ARRC asked Commission staff to consider the need for market participants to have certain flexibility to implement transition mechanisms that take into account individual facts and circumstances. As a result of the ARRC’s previous requests, DMO issued Letter No. 20-24 which provided relief from the trade execution requirement under section 2(h)(8) for a swap that is amended or created by an IBOR Transition Mechanism (as defined below).

As noted above, the ARRC represents that, while non-USD LIBOR settings and the one-week and two-month USD LIBOR settings will cease to be provided or will no longer be representative of the underlying market as of December 31, 2021, the 2023 USD LIBOR Settings will continue to be published until June 30, 2023. Accordingly, the ARRC requests an extension of the relief provided by DMO in Letter No. 20-24 to June 30, 2023 for swaps otherwise covered by such Letter No. 20-24 to the extent that they reference one of the 2023 USD LIBOR Settings.

III. IBOR Transition Mechanisms

A. Fallback Amendments

The International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. (“ISDA”) developed an industry protocol as one way to effectuate the transition from IBORs to RFRs. The ISDA protocol allows market participants to amend IBOR-linked swap contracts to include fallback provisions which, upon the cessation of the relevant IBOR, will replace the IBOR with a new RFR, without the need for extensive, bilateral negotiations.

The ARRC anticipates that a significant portion of swap contract amendments that serve to replace an IBOR with a new RFR upon – and only upon – the cessation of such IBOR will be effected through the ISDA protocol, but notes that some counterparties may instead enter into such amendments bilaterally. For purposes of this letter, amendments of IBOR-linked swaps to include fallbacks to new RFRs that are triggered when the applicable IBOR is unavailable, permanently discontinued, or is determined to be non-representative by the benchmark administrator or the relevant authority in a jurisdiction - including such amendments that are effected by the ISDA protocol process - will be referred to as “**Fallback Amendments.**”

¹⁸ See The ARRC, Treatment of Swaps Amended or Otherwise Transitioned from IBOR to Alternative Risk Free Rates under the Commodity Exchange Act, at 3 (November 5, 2019) (“ARRC November 2019 Letter”). CFTC Staff Letters and letters requesting relief are available on the Commission’s website at: <https://www.cftc.gov/LawRegulation/CFTCStaffLetters/index.htm>.

B. Replacement Rate Amendments

According to the ARRC, some market participants may choose to voluntarily amend IBOR-linked swaps to reference RFRs prior to the cessation of the applicable IBOR (“**Replacement Rate Amendments**”).¹⁹ Replacement Rate Amendments will be effected bilaterally between swap counterparties and are expected to be accomplished in a variety of ways to address different facts and circumstances. The ARRC has identified certain methods of conversion considered likely to be used by market participants when effecting Replacement Rate Amendments.²⁰

C. New RFR Swaps

Market participants also will trade new swaps that reference RFRs (“**New RFR Swaps**”). According to the ARRC, the requested relief will provide enhanced regulatory certainty, which will help to build liquidity in New RFR Swaps, which will support a smooth and orderly transition from IBORs to RFRs.

The ARRC represented that in certain cases, it may be more efficient for counterparties to execute New RFR Swaps to transition swaps or swap portfolios from an IBOR to a new RFR, than to enter into Replacement Rate Amendments. The execution of New RFR Swaps for such purpose, as well as Fallback Amendments and Replacement Rate Amendments, are collectively referred to in this letter as “**IBOR Transition Mechanisms.**”

IV. Applicable Regulatory Requirements

A. Trade Execution Requirement

Pursuant to section 2(h)(8) of the CEA, swap transactions that are subject to the clearing requirement²¹ must be executed on a designated contract market (“DCM”), swap execution

¹⁹ In order to conform to the relief provided by MPD and DCR, for purposes of this letter, the exchange of compensation or discount rate modification that occurs solely as a result of an announced intention by a central counterparty clearing house (“CCP”) to change the discount rate used for purposes of valuing cleared swaps and the rate (commonly referred to as the Price Alignment Interest rate or the Price Alignment Amount rate, depending on the context) applied to collateral or settlement amounts relating to certain cleared swaps, (1) the voluntary exchange of compensation for a swaption; or (2) the amendment of a swaption’s terms solely to reflect an agreement regarding the discount rate used by a CCP (each a “Qualified Swaption Amendment”), will be treated as a Replacement Rate Amendment. Further, for purposes of this letter, an amendment to a credit support annex (“CSA”) solely to (1) align the interest rate paid on posted collateral for uncleared swaps under a CSA with the discount rate used by a CCP; or (2) replace an IBOR that is an interest rate paid on posted collateral for uncleared swaps (each a “Qualified CSA Amendment”), will be treated as a Replacement Rate Amendment.

²⁰ The ARRC, Follow-up Letter Regarding Treatment of Derivatives Contracts Referencing the Alternative Risk-Free Rates, Appendix 2 (May 13, 2019) (list of methods of conversion currently conceptualized by the ARRC). For avoidance of doubt, DMO notes that pursuant to Part 37 of the Commission’s regulations, 17 CFR part 37, multiple-to-multiple execution must be executed through a SEF.

²¹ CEA section 2(h)(1)(A) provides that “[i]t shall be unlawful for any person to engage in a swap unless that person submits such swap for clearing to a derivatives clearing organization that is registered under this Act or a derivatives

facility (“SEF”) that is registered with the Commission, or a SEF that is exempt from registration under 5h(g) of the CEA (“exempt SEF”),²² unless no DCM or SEF “makes the swap available to trade” or the relevant swap transaction is subject to the clearing exception under CEA section 2(h)(7).²³ Swaps that are subject to the trade execution requirement must be executed in accordance with one of the methods listed in § 37.9 for SEF-executed transactions or the requirements to provide a “competitive, open and efficient [trading] market” under DCM Core Principle 9.²⁴

V. No-Action Relief

To facilitate the continued transition from IBORs to RFRs, the ARRC has requested that DMO extend the relief in Letter No. 20-24 so that a swap that is modified or created by an IBOR Transition Mechanism will not be subject to the trade execution requirement under section 2(h)(8) of the CEA to the extent it references one of the 2023 USD LIBOR Settings. In order to further regulatory certainty and to support a smooth and orderly IBOR transition, which is a goal supported by public sector authorities around the world, DMO believes that a position of no-action is warranted. Accordingly, until June 30, 2023, DMO will not recommend that the Commission commence an enforcement action against any person for failure to comply with the trade execution requirement under section 2(h)(8) of the CEA, with respect to an IBOR-linked swap,²⁵ to the extent that the swap references one of the 2023 USD LIBOR Settings, that is

clearing organization that is exempt from registration under this Act if the swap is required to be cleared.” 7 U.S.C. § 2(h)(1)(A). *See generally* 17 CFR part 50.

²² CEA section 2(h)(8)(A)(ii) contains a typographical error that specifies CEA section 5h(f), rather than CEA section 5h(g), as the provision that allows the Commission to exempt a SEF from registration. 7 U.S.C. § 2(h)(8)(A)(ii).

²³ The Commission may determine that swap transactions exempted from the clearing requirement pursuant to other statutory authority, such as section 4(c) of the CEA, 7 U.S.C. § 6(c), may also not be subject to the section 2(h)(8) trade execution requirement, 7 U.S.C. § 2(h)(8). Process for a Designated Contract Market or Swap Execution Facility To Make a Swap Available to Trade, Swap Transaction Compliance and Implementation Schedule, and Trade Execution Requirement Under the Commodity Exchange Act, 78 FR 33606 n. 1 (Jun. 4, 2013).

²⁴ Swaps that are subject to the trade execution requirement, that are not block trades as defined under § 43.2 of the Commission’s regulations, must be executed on a SEF by either (1) an order book, as defined in § 37.3(a)(3); or (2) a request for quote system, as defined in § 37.9(a)(3), that operates in conjunction with an order book. 17 CFR § 37.9. On a DCM, such swaps must be executed pursuant to subpart J of part 38 of the Commission’s regulations, which implements DCM Core Principle 9 under section 5(d)(9) of the CEA, 7 U.S.C. § 7(d)(9).

²⁵ As noted above, for purposes of this relief the term “IBOR” includes, but is not limited to interbank offered rates such as USD LIBOR, GBP LIBOR, JYP LIBOR, TIBOR, BBSW, SIBOR, CDOR, EURIBOR, HIBOR, as well as conversions away from (i) any other interest rate that the parties to a swap reasonably expect to be discontinued or reasonably determines has lost its relevance as a reliable benchmark due to a significant impairment; or (ii) any other reference rate that succeeds any of the foregoing.

DMO recognizes that by defining IBORs in this manner, market participants will be permitted to make more than one amendment to the same swap or portfolio of swaps before settling on an alternative RFR that adequately meets the counterparties’ commercial needs. To that end, this letter is intended to address situations in which an RFR may become impaired at some point in the future if the parties to a swap reasonably expect the RFR to be discontinued or reasonably determine it has lost its relevance as a reliable benchmark due to a significant impairment. But it is also intended to permit further amendment or replacement of such an RFR even if such rate is not impaired but simply

amended or created by an IBOR Transition Mechanism, for the sole purpose of accommodating the replacement²⁶ of the applicable IBOR with an RFR.²⁷

DMO is extending this time limited no-action relief to further regulatory certainty, and to provide flexibility to help market participants transition to RFRs in a manner that accounts for individual circumstances. DMO is not opining on whether any particular IBOR Transition Mechanism, including any particular Fallback Amendment or Replacement Rate Amendment, may otherwise trigger the trade execution requirement under CEA section 2(h)(8).

This letter, and the positions taken herein, represent the views of DMO only, and do not necessarily represent the position or view of the Commission or of any other office or division of the Commission. The relief issued by this letter does not excuse persons relying on it from compliance with any other applicable requirements contained in the CEA or in Commission regulations. It does not create or confer any rights for or obligations on any person or persons subject to compliance with the CEA that bind the Commission or any of its other offices or divisions. Further, this letter and the positions taken herein are based upon the facts and circumstances presented to DMO. Any different, changed, or omitted material facts or circumstances might render the relief provided by this letter void.

Finally, as with all staff letters, DMO retains the authority to condition further, modify, suspend, terminate, or otherwise restrict the terms of relief provided herein, in their discretion.

If you have any questions concerning this correspondence, please contact, Roger Smith, Associate Chief Counsel, at (202) 418-5344 or RSmith@CFTC.gov.

Sincerely,

does not meet the counterparties' commercial needs, so long as the original reference rate for the swap was an IBOR or met the other criterion above.

²⁶ For purposes of this relief, DMO recognizes that modification of a swap "to accommodate the replacement of an IBOR" may include a number of ancillary changes to existing trade terms to conform to different market conventions, resulting, for example, in different reset dates, fixed/floating leg payment dates, business day conventions, day count fractions, and the like. However, an IBOR Transition Mechanism covered by this relief will not include any amendment that (i) extends the maximum maturity of a swap or a portfolio of swaps beyond what is necessary to accommodate the differences between market conventions for an IBOR and its replacement RFR, or (ii) increases the total effective notional amount of a swap or the aggregate total effective notional amount of a portfolio of swaps beyond what is necessary to accommodate the differences between market conventions for an IBOR and its replacement RFR. That said, DMO does not believe that counterparties should be using this relief as an opportunity to renegotiate economic terms of a swap or otherwise engage in price-forming activity.

²⁷ For avoidance of doubt, this relief extends to Qualifying Swaption Amendments and Qualifying CSA Amendments made to non-IBOR reference rates provided such amendments are made solely to transition to an RFR. Further, for avoidance of doubt, while IBOR Transition Mechanisms that utilize multiple-to-multiple execution must be executed through a SEF. Under the relief provided in this letter such IBOR Transition Mechanisms do not have to utilize the required methods of execution pursuant to § 37.9, 17 CFR 37.9, with respect to an IBOR-linked swap that is amended or created by such IBOR Transition Mechanisms for the sole purpose of accommodating the replacement of the applicable IBOR with an RFR.

Meghan Tente
Acting Director