

Commodity Futures Trading Commission
CEA CASES

NAME: SIDNEY MADUFF, ROY SIMMONS, JOSEPH SIEGEL, AND THE SIEGEL TRADING CO., INC.

DOCKET NUMBER: 125

DATE: JANUARY 22, 1965

DOCUMENT TYPE: COMPLAINT

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

BEFORE THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

In re: Sidney Maduff, Roy Simmons, Joseph Siegel, and The Siegel Trading Co., Inc., Respondents

CEA Docket No. 125

Complaint and Notice of Hearing Under Section 6(b) of the Commodity Exchange Act

There is reason to believe that the respondents Sidney Maduff, Roy Simmons, Joseph Siegel, and The Siegel Trading Co., Inc., attempted to manipulate the price of a commodity for future delivery on or subject to the rules of a board of trade, in violation of the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. 1 et seq.), and in accordance with the provisions of section 6(b) of the said act (7 U.S.C. 9), this complaint and notice of hearing is issued stating the charges in that respect as follows:

I

Respondent Sidney Maduff, an individual whose address is 110 North Franklin Street, Chicago, Illinois, is now and was at all times material herein a member of the Chicago Mercantile Exchange and a registered floor broker under the Commodity Exchange Act.

II

Respondent Roy Simmons, an individual whose address is 141 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, is now and was at all times material herein a member of the Chicago Mercantile Exchange and a registered floor broker under the Commodity Exchange Act.

III

Respondent Joseph Siegel, an individual whose address is 141 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, is now and was at all times material herein a member of the Chicago Mercantile Exchange, a registered floor broker under the Commodity Exchange Act, and president of respondent The Siegel Trading Co., Inc.

IV

Respondent The Siegel Trading Co., Inc., a corporation with offices at 141 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, is now and was at all times material herein a clearing member of the Chicago Mercantile Exchange and a registered futures commission merchant under the Commodity Exchange Act.

V

The Chicago Mercantile Exchange, hereinafter referred to as the exchange, is now and was at all times material herein a board of trade duly designated as a contract market under the Commodity Exchange Act.

VI

The futures transactions referred to in this complaint relate to the January 1964 frozen whole egg future on the Chicago Mercantile Exchange. A frozen whole egg futures contract on the exchange is a contract for 30,000 pounds of frozen whole eggs.

VII

On January 23, 1964, the respondents entered into an agreement, arrangement or understanding among themselves whereby they were to take action designed to cause artificial or arbitrary prices in the January 1964 frozen whole egg future on the exchange. As part of the action to be taken under such agreement, arrangement or understanding, the respondents, acting through respondent Maduff, were to purchase on the exchange on January 24, 1964, the number of contracts of the January 1964 frozen whole egg future which they considered to be sufficient to enable them to cause an artificial or arbitrary price in such future.

VIII

On January 24, 1964, respondent Maduff, acting for and on behalf of the respondents, pursuant to, and in furtherance of, the agreement, arrangement or understanding referred to in paragraph VII above, purchased in transactions on the exchange 74 contracts of the January 1964 frozen whole egg future at a price of 30.25 cents per pound.

IX

By reason of the acts and transactions described herein, the respondents attempted to manipulate the price of a commodity for future delivery on or subject to the rules of a board of trade, in violation of sections 6(b) and 9 of the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. 9 and 13).

WHEREFORE, it is hereby ordered that this complaint and notice of hearing be served upon the said respondents. The respondents will have twenty (20) days after the receipt of this complaint in which to file with the Hearing Clerk, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., 20250, an answer with an original and six copies, fully and completely stating the nature of the defense and admitting or denying, specifically and in detail, each material and relevant allegation of this complaint. Allegations not answered will be deemed admitted for the purpose of this proceeding. Failure to file an answer will constitute an admission of all the material allegations of this complaint and a waiver of hearing. The respondents are hereby notified that unless hearing is waived, either expressly or by failure to file an answer and request a hearing, a hearing will be held at 10:00 a.m., local time, on the first day of April 1965, in Chicago, Illinois, at a place therein to be specified later, before a referee designated to conduct such hearing. At such hearing the respondents will have the right to appear and show cause, if any there be, why an order should not be made suspending or revoking the registrations of respondents Sidney Maduff, Roy Simmons and Joseph Siegel as floor brokers, suspending or revoking the registration of respondent The Siegel Trading Co., Inc., as a futures commission merchant, and directing that all contract markets refuse all trading privileges to each respondent for such period of time as may be determined.

It is ordered that this complaint and notice of hearing be served on each of the said respondents at least twenty (20) days prior to the date set for hearing.

Done at Washington, D. C.

January 22 1965

[SEE SIGNATURE IN ORIGINAL]

George L. Mehren

Assistant Secretary

LOAD-DATE: June 12, 2008

