



July 21, 2014

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Melissa Jurgens
Office of the Secretariat
Commodity Futures Trading Commission
Three Lafayette Centre
1155 21st Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20581

Re: Rule Filing SR-OCC-2014-16 Rule Certification

Dear Secretary Jurgens:

Pursuant to Section 5c(c)(1) of the Commodity Exchange Act, as amended (“Act”), and Commodity Futures Trading Commission Regulation (“CFTC”) 40.6, enclosed is a copy of the above-referenced rule filing submitted by The Options Clearing Corporation (“OCC”). The date of implementation of the rule is at least 10 business days following receipt of the rule filing by the CFTC or the date the proposed rule is approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) or otherwise becomes effective under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”). This rule filing has been, or is concurrently being, submitted to the SEC under the Exchange Act.

In conformity with the requirements of Regulation 40.6(a)(7), OCC states the following:

Explanation and Analysis

OCC is proposing to add an interpretation and policy concerning its administration of existing Article VI, Section 7(c) of the By-Laws and to implement price reasonableness checks in connection with the reporting of confirmed trades in standardized options and futures options to OCC by an Exchange under Article VI, Section 7 and Rule 401. Article VI, Section 7(c) provides that an Exchange may instruct OCC to disregard a confirmed trade previously reported to OCC for clearance and settlement under certain circumstances.¹ One such circumstance is a determination that “new or revised trade information was required to properly clear the transaction.” To promote OCC’s ability to protect itself and clearing members from the negative effects of clearing trades in standardized options and futures options that may contain erroneous

¹ See Article VI, Section 7(c); see also Exchange Act Release No. 46734 (October 28, 2002), 67 FR 67229 (November 4, 2002)(SR-OCC-2002-18) (approving amendments to OCC’s By-Laws and Rules supporting the transition to near real-time reporting of matched trade information, including amendments to Article VI, Section 7 to allow instructions to OCC under certain conditions to disregard a matched trade).

premium information, OCC would apply to accepted trades a premium price threshold triggering further scrutiny of trades that exceed it.

Background

The Board of Directors and Risk Committee have been evaluating risk controls with respect to trades priced significantly away from current market prices and the risks they present to OCC.² OCC anticipates the proposed price reasonableness review process would be put in place while it also develops other post-trade risk controls for potential implementation.

Post-Trade Price Validation Process

Earlier this year, a trade data entry parameter in OCC's systems that does not allow OCC to accept a trade having a premium price of more than \$9,999.99 per contract prevented OCC from accepting erroneous trades that resulted from a trading algorithm error of a customer of a clearing member. If the systems parameter had not prevented OCC from accepting the trades, the settlement obligation for the clearing member for these trades alone could have exceeded \$800 million. This amount would have been in addition to any other settlement obligation of the clearing member.

In light of the incident, and to promote the protection of OCC and clearing members from erroneous trades, OCC's Risk Committee directed OCC to perform an analysis of whether OCC should implement procedures regarding a reasonableness review for premium prices at some threshold level less than the current systems parameter of \$9,999.99 per contract. The parameter will also remain in place, however. OCC reviewed standardized option and futures option trade submissions from all Exchanges for a period of 141 business days from December 2, 2013 through June 24, 2014. Based on analysis of the data, OCC determined that it is appropriate to set a premium price limit of \$2,000 per contract because that premium threshold protects OCC and clearing members from erroneous trades that have the potential to cause significant settlement obligations while simultaneously not applying the post-trade price reasonableness check review to a material number of trades that may be valid. Of the nearly 179 million trades that OCC analyzed, only 30 would have triggered a price reasonableness check for exceeding the proposed \$2000 threshold.

Under the proposed process, receipt of a trade that exceeds the premium price limit would generate an automatic notice to alert OCC staff. After being accepted, the trade would be referred by OCC to the reporting Exchange for evaluation under the obvious error or other applicable rules of the Exchange. OCC estimates the trade identification and referral process should take less than an hour from initiation by OCC to full resolution by a reporting Exchange. While a trade is involved in the post-trade reasonableness check process, OCC would not report

² See e.g., OCC Press Release, OCC and The U.S. Options Exchanges Adopt New Pre- and Post-Trade Risk Control Principles (May 21, 2014), http://www.theocc.com/about/press/releases/2014/05_21.jsp. OCC intends that these principles will be the subject of additional proposed rule changes.

the position to clearing members or further process the trade. In the event the Exchange determines that the trade is good, it would notify OCC and the trade would continue through OCC's clearing and reporting processes using the originally reported price. If the Exchange determines that the trade was in error or erroneously priced such that, as provided in Article VI, Section 7(c), new or revised trade information is required to properly clear the transaction, OCC expects the Exchange would instruct OCC to disregard or "bust" the trade. However, in the event the Exchange does not exercise its authority under its own rules to instruct OCC to disregard the trade pursuant to Article VI, Section 7(c), the trade would continue through OCC's clearing and reporting process using the originally reported price.

OCC will provide notice to market participants of the post-trade price reasonableness check process, and the process would be implemented upon regulatory approval. OCC believes this implementation timing is appropriate because OCC's Board instructed OCC to implement the post-trade risk control as quickly as practicable. OCC's decision to implement the process for price reasonableness checks and to set the premium price limit at the \$2,000 level also necessitates related systems changes and conforming changes to certain policies and procedures. Conforming changes to affected policies and procedures would include amendment of OCC's trade and position processing policy. Certain policies and procedures would also be updated to reflect aspects of the process for price reasonableness checks related to governance processes at OCC that are described in more detail below.

Ongoing Oversight of the Proposed Post-Trade Price Validation Process

The premium level at which the price reasonableness review process is triggered would be subject to adjustment or suspension under certain conditions. OCC would review the level on a quarterly basis for continued adequacy. In the event the maximum premium price traded over the prior quarter declines by a predetermined dollar amount or the average number of valid trades referred to reporting Exchanges exceeds a predetermined number of occurrences per quarter, OCC would be authorized to adjust the applicable premium level.³ Establishment of such level and any modification thereof that may be made from time to time would be required to be reported to the Risk Committee. In addition, the Executive Chairman, President or Chief Operating Officer would be authorized to temporarily summarily suspend the then-applicable premium limit in the event that in excess of a predetermined number of valid trades are being referred to the reporting Exchanges for review; provided, however, that when the causes responsible for the temporary suspension are resolved the approved premium threshold would be reinstated. The Risk Committee, along with the Chief Risk and Compliance Officers, would be advised of any such suspension. OCC believes these processes help ensure an appropriate level of management and Risk Committee oversight for the continued effectiveness of the proposed price reasonableness review process.

³ Any such action by OCC regarding the premium level would also be subject to the regulatory process of filing a proposed rule change with the SEC.

Melissa Jurgens
July 21, 2014
Page 4

OCC reviewed the derivatives clearing organization (“DCO”) core principles (“Core Principles”) as set forth in the Act. During this review, OCC identified the following Core Principles as potentially being impacted:

Risk Management. OCC believes that by implementing the proposed rule change it will be better able to manage the risks associated with discharging its responsibilities as a DCO as set forth in the DCO Core Principles because it will, through the adoption of the proposed interpretation and policy, have better tools and procedures in place to protect itself and clearing members from confirmed trades in standardized options and futures options for which new or revised trade information may be required to properly clear the transaction. Specifically, the proposed interpretation and policy will ensure that all standardized options and futures options trades with a premium above \$2,000 will manually be evaluated for accuracy.

Opposing Views

No opposing views were expressed related to the rule amendments.

Notice of Pending Rule Certification


OCC hereby certifies that notice of this rule filing has been be given to Clearing Members of OCC in compliance with Regulation 40.6(a)(2) by posting a copy of the submission on OCC’s website concurrently with the filing of this submission.

Certification

OCC hereby certifies that the rule set forth at Item 1 of the enclosed filing complies with the Act and the CFTC’s regulations thereunder.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,



Stephen Szarmack
Vice President and Associate General Counsel

Enclosure

SUBMISSION COVER SHEET

IMPORTANT: Check box if Confidential Treatment is requested

Registered Entity Identifier Code (optional): SR-OCC-2014-16

Organization: THE OPTIONS CLEARING CORPORATION

Filing as a: DCM SEF DCO SDR **Please note - only ONE choice allowed.**

Filing Date (mm/dd/yy): 07/21/2014 **Filing Description:** This proposed rule change concerns enhanced post-trade price reasonableness checks on confirmed trades in standardized options and futures options.

SPECIFY FILING TYPE **Please note only ONE choice allowed per Submission.**

Organization Rules and Rule Amendments

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Certification | § 40.6(a) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Approval | § 40.5(a) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Notification | § 40.6(d) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Advance Notice of SIDCO Rule Change | § 40.10(a) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | SIDCO Emergency Rule Change | § 40.10(h) |

Rule Numbers: ARTICLE VI – SECTION 7

New Product **Please note only ONE product per Submission.**

- | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Certification | § 40.2(a) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Certification Security Futures | § 41.23(a) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Certification Swap Class | § 40.2(d) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Approval | § 40.3(a) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Approval Security Futures | § 41.23(b) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Novel Derivative Product Notification | § 40.12(a) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Swap Submission | § 39.5 |

Official Product Name: _____

Product Terms and Conditions (product related Rules and Rule Amendments)

- | | | |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Certification | § 40.6(a) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Certification Made Available to Trade Determination | § 40.6(a) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Certification Security Futures | § 41.24(a) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Delisting (No Open Interest) | § 40.6(a) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Approval | § 40.5(a) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Approval Made Available to Trade Determination | § 40.5(a) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Approval Security Futures | § 41.24(c) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Approval Amendments to enumerated agricultural products | § 40.4(a), § 40.5(a) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | “Non-Material Agricultural Rule Change” | § 40.4(b)(5) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Notification | § 40.6(d) |

Official Name(s) of Product(s) Affected: _____

Rule Numbers: _____

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 19b-4

Proposed Rule Change
by

THE OPTIONS CLEARING CORPORATION

Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Item 1. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

This proposed rule change by The Options Clearing Corporation (“OCC”) would add an interpretation and policy and establish a new procedure regarding OCC’s administration of existing By-Law Article VI, Section 7(c), by which OCC would apply enhanced post-trade price reasonableness checks on confirmed trades in standardized options and futures options to increase the likelihood that erroneous trades will be identified and voided. Material proposed to be added to OCC’s By-Laws as currently in effect is marked by underlining.

THE OPTIONS CLEARING CORPORATION

BY-LAWS

* * *

ARTICLE VI

Clearance of Confirmed Trades

* * *

Reporting of Confirmed Trades

SECTION 7.

(a) – (c) [No change]

... Interpretation & Policies:

.01 Under procedures established from time to time in its discretion, the Corporation may review the reasonableness of prices for transactions reported as confirmed trades and identify certain of them to the reporting Exchange for its consideration of whether new or revised trade information is required to properly clear the transaction.

Item 2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

OCC's Board of Directors, at a meeting held on March 7, 2014, delegated authority to the Risk Committee to authorize the proposed rule change. The proposed rule change was approved for filing with the Commission by the Risk Committee at a meeting held on April 3, 2014.

Questions should be addressed to Stephen Szarmack, Vice President and Associate General Counsel, at (312) 322-4802.

Item 3. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

A. Purpose

OCC is proposing to add an interpretation and policy concerning its administration of existing Article VI, Section 7(c) of the By-Laws and to implement price reasonableness checks in connection with the reporting of confirmed trades in standardized options and futures options to OCC by an Exchange under Article VI, Section 7 and Rule 401. Article VI, Section 7(c) provides that an Exchange may instruct OCC to disregard a confirmed trade previously reported to OCC for clearance and settlement under certain circumstances.¹ One such circumstance is a determination that "new or revised trade information was required to

¹ See Article VI, Section 7(c); see also Exchange Act Release No. 46734 (October 28, 2002), 67 FR 67229 (November 4, 2002)(SR-OCC-2002-18) (approving amendments to OCC's By-Laws and Rules supporting the transition to near real-time reporting of matched trade information, including amendments to Article VI, Section 7 to allow instructions to OCC under certain conditions to disregard a matched trade).

properly clear the transaction.” To promote OCC’s ability to protect itself and clearing members from the negative effects of clearing trades in standardized options and futures options that may contain erroneous premium information, OCC would apply to accepted trades a premium price threshold triggering further scrutiny of trades that exceed it.

Background

The Board of Directors and Risk Committee have been evaluating risk controls with respect to trades priced significantly away from current market prices and the risks they present to OCC.² OCC anticipates the proposed price reasonableness review process would be put in place while it also develops other post-trade risk controls for potential implementation.

Post-Trade Price Validation Process

Earlier this year, a trade data entry parameter in OCC’s systems that does not allow OCC to accept a trade having a premium price of more than \$9,999.99 per contract prevented OCC from accepting erroneous trades that resulted from a trading algorithm error of a customer of a clearing member. If the systems parameter had not prevented OCC from accepting the trades, the settlement obligation for the clearing member for these trades alone could have exceeded \$800 million. This amount would have been in addition to any other settlement obligation of the clearing member.

² See e.g., OCC Press Release, OCC and The U.S. Options Exchanges Adopt New Pre- and Post-Trade Risk Control Principles (May 21, 2014), http://www.theocc.com/about/press/releases/2014/05_21.jsp. OCC intends that these principles will be the subject of additional proposed rule changes.

In light of the incident, and to promote the protection of OCC and clearing members from erroneous trades, OCC's Risk Committee directed OCC to perform an analysis of whether OCC should implement procedures regarding a reasonableness review for premium prices at some threshold level less than the current systems parameter of \$9,999.99 per contract. The parameter will also remain in place, however. OCC reviewed standardized option and futures option trade submissions from all Exchanges for a period of 141 business days from December 2, 2013 through June 24, 2014. Based on analysis of the data, OCC determined that it is appropriate to set a premium price limit of \$2,000 per contract because that premium threshold protects OCC and clearing members from erroneous trades that have the potential to cause significant settlement obligations while simultaneously not applying the post-trade price reasonableness check review to a material number of trades that may be valid. Of the nearly 179 million trades that OCC analyzed, only 30 would have triggered a price reasonableness check for exceeding the proposed \$2000 threshold.

Under the proposed process, receipt of a trade that exceeds the premium price limit would generate an automatic notice to alert OCC staff. After being accepted, the trade would be referred by OCC to the reporting Exchange for evaluation under the obvious error or other applicable rules of the Exchange. OCC estimates the trade identification and referral process should take less than an hour from initiation by OCC to full resolution by a reporting Exchange. While a trade is involved in the post-trade reasonableness check process, OCC would not report the position to clearing members or further process the trade. In the event the

Exchange determines that the trade is good, it would notify OCC and the trade would continue through OCC's clearing and reporting processes using the originally reported price. If the Exchange determines that the trade was in error or erroneously priced such that, as provided in Article VI, Section 7(c), new or revised trade information is required to properly clear the transaction, OCC expects the Exchange would instruct OCC to disregard or "bust" the trade. However, in the event the Exchange does not exercise its authority under its own rules to instruct OCC to disregard the trade pursuant to Article VI, Section 7(c), the trade would continue through OCC's clearing and reporting process using the originally reported price.

OCC will provide notice to market participants of the post-trade price reasonableness check process, and the process would be implemented upon regulatory approval. OCC believes this implementation timing is appropriate because OCC's Board instructed OCC to implement the post-trade risk control as quickly as practicable. OCC's decision to implement the process for price reasonableness checks and to set the premium price limit at the \$2,000 level also necessitates related systems changes and conforming changes to certain policies and procedures. Conforming changes to affected policies and procedures would include amendment of OCC's trade and position processing policy. Certain policies and procedures would also be updated to reflect aspects of the process for price reasonableness checks related to governance processes at OCC that are described in more detail below.

Ongoing Oversight of the Proposed Post-Trade Price Validation Process

The premium level at which the price reasonableness review process is triggered

would be subject to adjustment or suspension under certain conditions. OCC would review the level on a quarterly basis for continued adequacy. In the event the maximum premium price traded over the prior quarter declines by a predetermined dollar amount or the average number of valid trades referred to reporting Exchanges exceeds a predetermined number of occurrences per quarter, OCC would be authorized to adjust the applicable premium level.³ Establishment of such level and any modification thereof that may be made from time to time would be required to be reported to the Risk Committee. In addition, the Executive Chairman, President or Chief Operating Officer would be authorized to temporarily summarily suspend the then-applicable premium limit in the event that in excess of a predetermined number of valid trades are being referred to the reporting Exchanges for review; provided, however, that when the causes responsible for the temporary suspension are resolved the approved premium threshold would be reinstated. The Risk Committee, along with the Chief Risk and Compliance Officers, would be advised of any such suspension. OCC believes these processes help ensure an appropriate level of management and Risk Committee oversight for the continued effectiveness of the proposed price reasonableness review process.

B. Statutory Basis

OCC believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of

³ Any such action by OCC regarding the premium level would also be subject to the regulatory process of filing a proposed rule change with the Commission.

the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”),⁴ and the rules and regulations thereunder, including Rule 17Ad-22(d)(4),⁵ because, by helping OCC protect itself and clearing members from confirmed trades in standardized options and futures options for which new or revised trade information may be required to properly clear the transaction, the proposed modifications would promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, protect investors and the public interest and ensure that OCC has policies and procedures designed to “identify sources of operational risk and minimize those risks through the development of appropriate systems, controls, and procedures.” The proposed rule change is not inconsistent with the existing rules of OCC, including any other rules proposed to be amended. OCC is notifying clearing members of the proposed rule change via an Information Memo.

Item 4. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

OCC does not believe that the proposed rule change would impose any burden on competition.⁶ The proposed post-trade price reasonableness review process that OCC would administer pursuant to Article VI, Section 7(c) would help identify erroneous trades reported to OCC by an Exchange for which clearing members would otherwise be responsible. OCC

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

⁵ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(d)(4).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(I).

believes the proposed rule change would not unfairly inhibit access to OCC's services or disadvantage or favor any particular user in relationship to another user because the proposed premium price limit per contract and process for identifying standardized option and futures option transactions for review by reporting Exchanges would be applied uniformly to such transactions, regardless of the identity of the submitting Exchange or the clearing member for whose account the trade was reported.

For the foregoing reasons, OCC believes that the proposed rule change is in the public interest, would be consistent with the requirements of the Exchange Act applicable to clearing agencies, and would not impose a burden on competition.

Item 5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

Written comments were not and are not intended to be solicited with respect to the proposed rule change and none have been received.

Item 6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

Not applicable.

Item 7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) or Section 19(b)(7)(D)

Not applicable.

Item 8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rule of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

Not applicable.

Item 9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

Item 10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

Item 11. Exhibits

Exhibit 1A. Completed Notice of Proposed Rule Change for publication in the Federal Register.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, The Options Clearing Corporation has caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

THE OPTIONS CLEARING CORPORATION

By: 

Stephen Szarmack
Vice President and Associate General Counsel

EXHIBIT 1A

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

(Release No. 34-[_____]; File No. SR-OCC-2014-16)

July 21, 2014

Clearing Agency; The Options Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change to Apply Enhanced Post-Trade Price Reasonableness Checks on Confirmed Trades in Standardized Options and Futures Options to Increase the Likelihood that Erroneous Trades will be Identified and Voided

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder² notice is hereby given that on July 21, 2014, The Options Clearing Corporation (“OCC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared primarily by OCC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

This proposed rule change will implement price reasonableness checks in connection with the reporting of confirmed trades in standardized options and futures options to OCC by an Exchange. The proposed rule change will promote OCC’s ability to protect itself and clearing members from the negative effects of clearing trades in standardized options and futures options that may contain erroneous premium information.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

II. Clearing Agency's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, OCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. OCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.

(A) Clearing Agency's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

OCC is proposing to add an interpretation and policy concerning its administration of existing Article VI, Section 7(c) of the By-Laws and to implement price reasonableness checks in connection with the reporting of confirmed trades in standardized options and futures options to OCC by an Exchange under Article VI, Section 7 and Rule 401. Article VI, Section 7(c) provides that an Exchange may instruct OCC to disregard a confirmed trade previously reported to OCC for clearance and settlement under certain circumstances.³ One such circumstance is a determination that “new or revised trade information was required to properly clear the transaction.” To promote OCC’s ability to protect itself and clearing members from the negative effects of clearing trades in standardized options and futures options that may

³ See Article VI, Section 7(c); see also Exchange Act Release No. 46734 (October 28, 2002), 67 FR 67229 (November 4, 2002)(SR-OCC-2002-18) (approving amendments to OCC’s By-Laws and Rules supporting the transition to near real-time reporting of matched trade information, including amendments to Article VI, Section 7 to allow instructions to OCC under certain conditions to disregard a matched trade).

contain erroneous premium information, OCC would apply to accepted trades a premium price threshold triggering further scrutiny of trades that exceed it.

Background

The Board of Directors and Risk Committee have been evaluating risk controls with respect to trades priced significantly away from current market prices and the risks they present to OCC.⁴ OCC anticipates the proposed price reasonableness review process would be put in place while it also develops other post-trade risk controls for potential implementation.

Post-Trade Price Validation Process

Earlier this year, a trade data entry parameter in OCC's systems that does not allow OCC to accept a trade having a premium price of more than \$9,999.99 per contract prevented OCC from accepting erroneous trades that resulted from a trading algorithm error of a customer of a clearing member. If the systems parameter had not prevented OCC from accepting the trades, the settlement obligation for the clearing member for these trades alone could have exceeded \$800 million. This amount would have been in addition to any other settlement obligation of the clearing member.

In light of the incident, and to promote the protection of OCC and clearing members from erroneous trades, OCC's Risk Committee directed OCC to perform an analysis of whether OCC should implement procedures regarding a reasonableness review for premium prices at some threshold level less than the current systems parameter of \$9,999.99 per contract. The parameter will also remain in place, however. OCC reviewed standardized option and

⁴ See e.g., OCC Press Release, OCC and The U.S. Options Exchanges Adopt New Pre- and Post-Trade Risk Control Principles (May 21, 2014), http://www.theocc.com/about/press/releases/2014/05_21.jsp. OCC intends that these principles will be the subject of additional proposed rule changes.

futures option trade submissions from all Exchanges for a period of 141 business days from December 2, 2013 through June 24, 2014. Based on analysis of the data, OCC determined that it is appropriate to set a premium price limit of \$2,000 per contract because that premium threshold protects OCC and clearing members from erroneous trades that have the potential to cause significant settlement obligations while simultaneously not applying the post-trade price reasonableness check review to a material number of trades that may be valid. Of the nearly 179 million trades that OCC analyzed, only 30 would have triggered a price reasonableness check for exceeding the proposed \$2000 threshold.

Under the proposed process, receipt of a trade that exceeds the premium price limit would generate an automatic notice to alert OCC staff. After being accepted, the trade would be referred by OCC to the reporting Exchange for evaluation under the obvious error or other applicable rules of the Exchange. OCC estimates the trade identification and referral process should take less than an hour from initiation by OCC to full resolution by a reporting Exchange. While a trade is involved in the post-trade reasonableness check process, OCC would not report the position to clearing members or further process the trade. In the event the Exchange determines that the trade is good, it would notify OCC and the trade would continue through OCC's clearing and reporting processes using the originally reported price. If the Exchange determines that the trade was in error or erroneously priced such that, as provided in Article VI, Section 7(c), new or revised trade information is required to properly clear the transaction, OCC expects the Exchange would instruct OCC to disregard or "bust" the trade. However, in the event the Exchange does not exercise its authority under its own rules to instruct OCC to disregard the trade pursuant to Article VI, Section 7(c), the trade would continue through OCC's clearing and reporting process using the originally reported price.

OCC will provide notice to market participants of the post-trade price reasonableness check process, and the process would be implemented upon regulatory approval. OCC believes this implementation timing is appropriate because OCC's Board instructed OCC to implement the post-trade risk control as quickly as practicable. OCC's decision to implement the process for price reasonableness checks and to set the premium price limit at the \$2,000 level also necessitates related systems changes and conforming changes to certain policies and procedures. Conforming changes to affected policies and procedures would include amendment of OCC's trade and position processing policy. Certain policies and procedures would also be updated to reflect aspects of the process for price reasonableness checks related to governance processes at OCC that are described in more detail below.

Ongoing Oversight of the Proposed Post-Trade Price Validation Process

The premium level at which the price reasonableness review process is triggered would be subject to adjustment or suspension under certain conditions. OCC would review the level on a quarterly basis for continued adequacy. In the event the maximum premium price traded over the prior quarter declines by a predetermined dollar amount or the average number of valid trades referred to reporting Exchanges exceeds a predetermined number of occurrences per quarter, OCC would be authorized to adjust the applicable premium level.⁵ Establishment of such level and any modification thereof that may be made from time to time would be required to be reported to the Risk Committee. In addition, the Executive Chairman, President or Chief Operating Officer would be authorized to temporarily summarily suspend the then-applicable premium limit in the event that in excess of a predetermined number of valid trades are being

⁵ Any such action by OCC regarding the premium level would also be subject to the regulatory process of filing a proposed rule change with the Commission.

referred to the reporting Exchanges for review; provided, however, that when the causes responsible for the temporary suspension are resolved the approved premium threshold would be reinstated. The Risk Committee, along with the Chief Risk and Compliance Officers, would be advised of any such suspension. OCC believes these processes help ensure an appropriate level of management and Risk Committee oversight for the continued effectiveness of the proposed price reasonableness review process.

2. Statutory Basis

OCC believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”),⁶ and the rules and regulations thereunder, including Rule 17Ad-22(d)(4),⁷ because, by helping OCC protect itself and clearing members from confirmed trades in standardized options and futures options for which new or revised trade information may be required to properly clear the transaction, the proposed modifications would promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, protect investors and the public interest and ensure that OCC has policies and procedures designed to “identify sources of operational risk and minimize those risks through the development of appropriate systems, controls, and procedures.” The proposed rule change is not inconsistent with the existing rules of OCC, including any other rules proposed to be amended. OCC is notifying clearing members of the proposed rule change via an Information Memo.

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

⁷ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(d)(4).

(B) Clearing Agency's Statement on Burden on Competition

OCC does not believe that the proposed rule change would impose any burden on competition.⁸ The proposed post-trade price reasonableness review process that OCC would administer pursuant to Article VI, Section 7(c) would help identify erroneous trades reported to OCC by an Exchange for which clearing members would otherwise be responsible. OCC believes the proposed rule change would not unfairly inhibit access to OCC's services or disadvantage or favor any particular user in relationship to another user because the proposed premium price limit per contract and process for identifying standardized option and futures option transactions for review by reporting Exchanges would be applied uniformly to such transactions, regardless of the identity of the submitting Exchange or the clearing member for whose account the trade was reported.

For the foregoing reasons, OCC believes that the proposed rule change is in the public interest, would be consistent with the requirements of the Exchange Act applicable to clearing agencies, and would not impose a burden on competition.

(C) Clearing Agency's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

Written comments on the proposed rule change were not and are not intended to be solicited with respect to the proposed rule change and none have been received.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(I).

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) by order approve or disapprove the proposed rule change, or

(B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change

should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments:

- Use the Commissions Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-OCC-2014-16 on the subject line.

Paper Comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-OCC-2014-16. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all

comments on the Commission's Internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of OCC and on OCC's website at

http://www.theocc.com/components/docs/legal/rules_and_bylaws/sr_occ_14_16.pdf

All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-OCC-2014-16 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated Authority.⁹

Kevin M. O'Neill
Deputy Secretary

Action as set forth recommended herein
APPROVED pursuant to authority delegated by
the Commission under Public Law 87-592.
For: Division of Trading and Markets

By: _____

Print Name: _____

Date: _____

⁹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).