Matthew H. Adler (MA-4720) Jeffrey A. Carr (JC-1103) Pepper Hamilton LLP 300 Alexander Park CN 5276 Princeton, NJ 08543-5276 Tel: (609) 452-0808

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING)	
COMMISSION,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Civil Action No.: 04CV 1512
)	
EQUITY FINANCIAL GROUP, LLC,)	Honorable Robert B. Kugler
TECH TRADERS, INC., TECH)	
TRADERS, LTD., MAGNUM)	
INVESTMENTS, LTD., MAGNUM)	
CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, LTD.,)	
VINCENT J. FIRTH, ROBERT W.)	
SHIMER, COYT E. MURRAY, and J.)	
VERNON ABERNETHY,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

REPLY OF EQUITY RECEIVER TO RESPONSES OF JAMES ROBERTS, DAVE WILLIAMS AND JANELLE A. WAGNER FAMILY TRUST TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE DATED OCTOBER 6, 2005

Stephen T. Bobo, (the "Receiver") Equity Receiver for defendants Equity Financial Group, LLC, Tech Traders, Inc., Tech Traders, Ltd., Magnum Investments, Ltd., Magnum Capital Investments, Ltd., Vincent J. Firth and Robert W. Shimer, submits this reply to clarify the points addressed in the respective responses submitted by James Roberts, Dave Williams and the Janelle A. Wagner Family Trust to this Court's October 6, 2005 Order to Show Cause.

A. The Responses of James Roberts and Dave Williams

The response of James Roberts is a continuation of the arguments he has already raised in support of his desire to receive a distribution directly as a Tier 1 investor even though he acknowledges that he invested through Dream Venture Group, LLC ("Dream Venture Group"), which was a Tier 1 investor. The Court has already rejected Mr. Roberts' arguments in the September 26, 2005 order granting the Receiver's interim distribution motion with modifications. (See Ct. Order at 2.) Mr. Roberts' new contentions, including that the head of Dream Venture Group may have been a co-conspirator in the Tech Traders Ponzi scheme and that Coyt Murray may have a financial interest in Dream Venture Group, do not suggest a different result. Nor does Mr. Roberts suggest any reason why the Tier 1 claim of Dream Venture Group should be allowed despite its failure to respond to the objections of the CFTC and the Receiver to that claim. Mr. Robert's response presents no basis why Dream Venture Group's claim should be allowed even though it already received back more funds than it invested and it has failed to provide the required beneficial ownership information regarding its own Tier 2 investors.

Dave Williams' response is similar. He apparently is another Tier 2 investor in Dream Venture Group who wants to be treated as a Tier 1 investor. He also offers no reason why Dream Venture Group's claim should be allowed. He similarly suggests that his own investment should now be viewed as having been made directly to Tech Traders even though it was made to Dream Venture Group. This is essentially the same argument raised by James Roberts and others and already overruled by this Court.

If this position were adopted generally, the result would be that all ultimate investors would be treated identically, regardless of whether they were Tier 1 or Tier 4 with respect to

Page 3 of 35

Tech Traders, and regardless of whether the respective entities with which they invested already received back all or none of their investments, and whether those entities had multiple other unrelated business or investment activities or none. Such a parity approach would present numerous problems to the detriment of investors as a whole. First, it would disregard the various intermediate levels of investing entities without any showing of traditional corporate-veil piercing criteria. It would greatly decrease the percentage distribution available to be paid out to each claim because it would ignore some or all of previous payments received by Tier 1 and Tier 2 entities that had not been distributed to each constituent member. Since the only Tier 1 investor under the control of this Court through the receivership is Shasta Capital Associates. LLC ("Shasta"), enlarging the Receiver's role to sort out the financial affairs of other Tier 1 entities and the range of intermediate entities, would greatly complicate the distribution process, if it could be done at all. Even assuming no jurisdictional issues over domestic entities, some of the entities are offshore and have to date been reluctant to disclose their investor information. Making the distribution to the ultimate investors would substantially increase both the costs of administering the receivership estate and the time required to accomplish the distribution. To the extent that the entities engaged in other business activities, as Dream Venture Group apparently did, having to compute the net amounts owing to each of its investors would result in even further delay and expense to the receivership estate. Accordingly, the result advocated by Mr. Williams and Mr. Roberts is inequitable because it would permit them to receive enhanced treatment while being detrimental to most other similarly situated investors.

The Receiver considered these difficulties and costs in recommending that Shasta and all other Tier 1 investors be treated differently from their own respective investors. The Court has

adopted this recommendation. Mr. Williams and Mr. Roberts suggest no reason that changes the analysis.

Mr. Williams also suggests that certain of the Tech Traders payments to Dream Venture Group may have been for the purpose of investing in Dream Venture Group and its affiliates rather a distribution to Dream Venture Group's Tier 2 investors. The Receiver has no indication that this suggestion is accurate, but even if it were, it would not change the result in any case. Any transfer of funds from Tech Traders to Dream Venture Group that was not distributed to investors added to the value of that entity and to the value of its investors' interests.

If Dream Venture Group used those funds for some other business purpose other than making distributions to its own investors, that could give rise to an issue between Dream Venture Group and its investors. However, it would not be a basis for treating these Tier 2 investors with Dream Venture Group as if they had invested directly with Tech Traders.

В. The Response of the Janelle A. Wagner Family Trust

Despite its suggestion to the contrary, the Janelle A. Wagner Family Trust ("Family Trust") response fails to address either of the Receiver's objections to its claim. The Receiver first alerted Family Trust to his objections on March 31, 2005. Specifically, the Receiver objected to Family Trust's claim for failing to "provide names of persons with a beneficial interest in [Family Trust]," and for submitting inaccurate information in its claim form. (See Equity Receiver's Objections to Certain Investor Claims at ¶ 3, attached hereto as Exhibit A.) Thereafter, on April 13, 2005, the Receiver notified Family Trust about the procedures established by the Court for responding to the Receiver's objections. Now, five months after

¹ This notice made clear that all responses to factual issues in the Receiver's objections, such as those involving Family Trust's claim, were to be filed by May 13, 2005. (See Notice with Respect to Equity Receiver's Objections to Certain Investor Claims at ¶ 4.)

the Court imposed deadline, Family Trust files a response to the Receiver's objections – and only after receiving the Court's Order to Show Cause. Despite ample time to prepare a thorough response to these objections, Family Trust's response fails to address either objection and. therefore, should be disregarded as unresponsive.

First, Family Trust has not disclosed the names of those persons with a beneficial interest in its investment with Tech Traders. Pursuant to the Court's August 23, 2004 Order, the Receiver requested that investors identify "all persons having a beneficial interest of any kind in their account with the Defendants." (Ct. Order at ¶ 2.) As Family Trust's own documents demonstrate, it has not disclosed the identities of those with a beneficial interest in its investment with Tech Traders. (See Exhibits A and B to Family Trust's Response.)

Further, despite irrefutable evidence to the contrary, in its response Family Trust continued to maintain that only \$50,000 was withdrawn from its account with Tech Traders. But, yesterday, the Receiver's counsel received an email from counsel for Family Trust acknowledging \$75,000 in withdrawals. (See October 26, 2005 email correspondence from Peter Pearlman, attached hereto as Exhibit B.) In light of this admission, the Receiver requests that Family Trust submit an amended claim form that recognizes a total withdrawal amount of \$75,000.

Family Trust's inaccurate and wholly incomplete response makes no attempt to resolve these two issues. Accordingly, Family Trust's response should be disregarded as unresponsive or, on November 2, 2005, the Court should require Family Trust to respond on the merits of the

² The Court's Order also required that investors "complete and return the claim form to the Receiver within thirty (30) days from the date of mailing out the claim forms." (Ct. Order at ¶ 3.) The Receiver mailed the claim forms to investors on August 27, 2004. Therefore, to participate in the claim process, investors were required to submit their completed claim forms by September 26, 2004. Nevertheless, Family Trust did not submit its claim form to the Receiver until March of 2005. (See Family Trust's Response at ¶ 1.)

Receiver's objections and to show cause as to why an order disallowing its claim should not be entered at that time without further delay or expense to the receivership estate.³

Dated: October 27, 2005

Respectfully submitted, STEPHEN T. BOBO **Equity Receiver**

One of his attorneys

Stephen T. Bobo Bina Sanghavi Raven Moore Sachnoff & Weaver, Ltd. 10 South Wacker Drive, Suite 4000 Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 207-1000

Matthew H. Adler Jeffrey A. Carr Pepper Hamilton LLP 300 Alexander Park, CN 5276 Princeton, NJ 08543-5276 (609) 452-0808

³ We understand that the CFTC has its own objections that may affect Family Trust's entitlement to a distribution even if the Receiver's objections are cured.

Exhibit A

Case 1:04-cv-01512-RBK-AMD Document 153 Filed 03/31/2005 Page 1 of 25

Matthew H. Adler (MA-4720) Jeffrey A. Carr (JC-1103) Pepper Hamilton LLP 300 Alexander Park CN 5276 Princeton, NJ 08543-5276 Tel: (609) 452-0808

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION,))
Plaintiff,)
vs.	Civil Action No.: 04CV 1512
EQUITY FINANCIAL GROUP, LLC,) Honorable Robert B. Kugler
TECH TRADERS, INC., TECH)
TRADERS, LTD., MAGNUM)
INVESTMENTS, LTD., MAGNUM)
CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, LTD.,	,)
VINCENT J. FIRTH, ROBERT W.)
SHIMER, COYT E. MURRAY, and J.)
VERNON ABERNETHY	ý
T) = 6 1 4)
Defendants	3

EQUITY RECEIVER'S OBJECTIONS TO CERTAIN INVESTOR CLAIMS

Stephen T. Bobo (the "Receiver"), the Equity Receiver of Defendants Equity Financial Group, LLC, Tech Traders, Inc., Tech Traders, Ltd., Magnum Investments, Ltd., Magnum Capital Investments, Ltd., Vincent J. Firth, and Robert W. Shimer, submits these objections to 31 of the 105 proofs of claim submitted by investors.¹

¹ The revised claim schedules are attached hereto as Exhibits A and B.

A. Claims in Which Claimants Did Not Invest With the Defendants

- 1. The Receiver objects to the following claims because the claimants acknowledge that they did not invest funds directly or indirectly with Tech Traders:
 - Simpson Clark;
 - Wayne Gideons; and
 - Shadetree Investment Trust.

B. Incomplete or Inaccurate Proofs of Claim and Claimants Who Recovered in Full

2. The Receiver objects to the following claims because either the claim form and/or the supporting documentation submitted is incomplete and/or inaccurate or the claimant has already recovered the entire amount invested (and, in some cases, even appears to have received back more than invested):

Claim Number	Name of Claimant	Funds Invested (per claim form)	Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	Reason for Objection	Information (per Receiver's accountants)	Receiver's Proposed Treatment of Claim
1	A Wall Street Fund c/o Thomas Buckley	\$450,000.00	\$0.00	Incomplete (failure to provide names of persons with beneficial interest in claimant)		Disallow until claimant provides the required documentation
4	Ardill, Thomas A.	\$100,000.00	\$52,000.00	Inaccurate; Incomplete (failure to provide documentation supporting withdrawals)	Funds invested: \$99,980.00 Previous withdrawals: \$71,133.00	Disallow until claimant provides the required documentation; then, allow per accurate information (per Receiver's accountants)
5	Bally Lines Ltd. c/o Dr. Edward J. Evors	\$1,508,000.00	\$410,000.00	Inaccurate; Incomplete (failure to provide names of persons with beneficial interest in claimant)	Funds invested: \$1,458,000.00	Disallow until claimant provides the required documentation; then, allow per accurate information (per Receiver's accountants)

Case 1:04-cv-01512-RBK-AMD Document 153 Filed 03/31/2005

Claim Number	Name of Claimant	Funds Invested (per claim form)	Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	Reason for Objection	Information (per Receiver' accountants)	Receiver's Proposed Treatment of Claim
16	Citco Global Custody N.V. (Stable Absolute Return)	\$250,000.00		Incomplete (failure to provide amended claim form with the names of persons with beneficial interest in claimant)		Disallow until claimant provides the required documentation
22	Dream Venture Group, LLC c/o Gregg Amerman	\$1,083,000.00	\$280,146.00	Claimant recovered more than amount invested; Inaccurate; Incomplete (failure to provide documentation supporting investments and withdrawals)	withdrawals:	No allowable claim (claimant recovered more than amount invested)
25	Gambrell, Byron	\$60,000.00	\$0.00	Incomplete (failure to provide documentation supporting investments)	Same as claim form	Disallow until claimant provides the required documentation
30	ICC Finance Corp. c/o Shlomo Bitensky	\$400,000.00	\$411,115.00	Claimant recovered more than amount invested; Inaccurate	Funds invested: \$300,000.00	No allowable claim (claimant recovered more than amount invested)
	International Investment Alliance c/o Henry W. Baletin (through New Century Trading, LLC)	\$175,000.00	\$115,000.00		Funds invested: \$173,158.00 Previous withdrawals: \$115,000.00	Allow per accurate information (per Receiver's accountants) and as aggregated with Metalchem Metallurgical Inc., the other New Century claimant
32	Janelle Wagner Trust c/o Snyder Financial Services	\$239,000.00	\$50,000.00	Inaccurate; Incomplete (failure to provide names of persons with beneficial interest in claimant)	Funds invested: \$239,000.00 Previous withdrawals: \$75,000.00	Disallow until claimant provides the required documentation; then, allow per accurate information (per Receiver's accountants)
38	Lopez, Alfred	\$25,000.00		Incomplete (failure to provide names of persons with beneficial interest in claimant)	Same as claim form	Disallow until claimant provides the required documentation

Case 1:04-cv-01512-RBK-AMD

Document 153

Filed 03/31/2005

⊃a	ae	4	of	25

Claim Number	Name of Claimant	Funds Invested (per claim form)	Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	Reason for Objection	Information (per Receiver's accountants)	Receiver's Proposed Treatment of Claim
43	Metalchem Metallurgical Inc. c/o Fernando Garcia (through New Century Trading, LLC)	\$100,000.00	\$130,576.00	Claimant recovered more than amount invested; Inaccurate	\$99,985.00	No allowable claim (claimant recovered more than amount invested); aggregated with International Investment Alliance, the other New Century claimant
85	Universe Capital Appreciation, LLC	\$3,079,500.00	\$432,335.00	Incomplete (failure to provide the names of persons with beneficial interest in claimant)		Disallow until claimant provides the required documentation

C. Claimants Whose Claims Must Be Aggregated for Distribution Purposes

3. The Receiver objects to the claims submitted by the Sterling entities until all the deficiencies in their claim forms are cured because, for the reasons detailed in Reply of Stephen T. Bobo, Equity Receiver, to Objections to Motion for Authority to Make Interim Distribution, all the Sterling entities' claims show unexplained inter-entity transfers and therefore must be aggregated for distribution purposes.² Following are the specific deficiencies in the claim forms.

Claim Number	Name of Claimant	Funds Invested (per claim form)	Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	Reason for Objection	Receiver's Proposed Treatment of Claim
70	Sterling ACS Ltd., Sub account - Strategic (Bahamas) Portfolio	\$1,480,000.00			Disallow until all deficiencies in all Sterling claim forms are cured; then, allow only on an aggregated basis

² A principal reason for the Receiver's proposed aggregation is that the Sterling entities' accounts with Tech Traders show a number of transfers among them. Not all these transfers are adequately documented, and the Sterling entities have failed to disclose the identities of the ultimate beneficial owners of the invested funds or to provide any evidence that the ultimate beneficial owners authorized these inter-entity transfers. In one case, Tech Traders' books show a transfer from an account of one of the Sterling entities to an account of another Sterling entity without any actual funds supporting such transfers. The Sterling entities themselves treated the accounts as a unified group before the receivership and the Receiver therefore proposes that any distribution be made to them as a unified group and only after all deficiencies in all the claim forms submitted by the Sterling entities are cured.

Case 1:04-cv-01512-RBK-AMD Document 153 Filed 03/31/2005 Page 5 of 25

Claim Number	Name of Claimant	Funds Invested (per claim form)	Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	Reason for Objection	Receiver's Proposed Treatment of Claim
71	Sterling Alliance Ltd.	\$250,000.00	\$175,000.00	Incomplete (failure to provide documentation supporting investments)	Disallow until all deficiencies in all Sterling claim forms are cured; then, allow only on aggregated basis
74	Sterling Investment Management, Ltd.	\$4,567,845.00	\$240,000.00	Incomplete (failure to provide documentation supporting investments and identities of ultimate beneficial owners)	Disallow until all deficiencies in all Sterling claim forms are cured; then, allow only on aggregated basis
75	Sterling Trust (Anguilla), Ltd. (excludes transactions in Man Financial, account no. 37923)	\$0	\$100,000.00	Claimant recovered more than invested; Inaccurate; Incomplete (failure to account for all withdrawals, provide documentation supporting withdrawals and identities of ultimate beneficial owners)	No allowable claim (claimant did not invest any funds)
76	Strategic Investment Portfolio	\$278,678.00	\$0.00	Incomplete (failure to provide documentation supporting investments)	Disallow until all deficiencies in all Sterling claim forms are cured; then, allow only on aggregated basis

D. Claimants Who Received Payments As a Result of Previous Investments With Kaivalya Holding Group, Inc. or Edgar Holding Group, Inc.

4. The Receiver objects to the allowance of the following claims unless each claimant's receipt of Tech Traders' funds as repayment of earlier investments with Kaivalya Holding Group, Inc. and Edgar Holding Group, Inc. is taken into account and is treated as a previous withdrawal. Therefore, consistent with the Receiver's proposed method of distribution, these claimants would retain the previous withdrawals they received, but the withdrawals would be credited against the claimants' respective pro rata shares calculated based on total funds invested. The following formula illustrates how each claim would be treated: (actual dollars invested x pro rata multiplier) - withdrawals previously received = distribution amount. For example, in the case of Nancy Omaha Boy, the formula would be applied as follows:

Document 153

Filed 03/31/2005

Page 13 of 35 Page 6 of 25

\$195,000.00 invested x 38 percent pro rata distribution – \$180,000.00 withdrawn = \$105,900.00. Therefore, Omaha Boy has already received a 92 percent return on her initial investment (the 38 percent pro rata distribution would allow Omaha Boy to receive a \$74,100.00 distribution, but Omaha Boy already received \$180,000.00, or a 92 percent return). Unless the Receiver is in a position to distribute to investors more than 92 percent of their initial investments, Omaha Boy would not recover additional funds from the receivership estate. The following claimants received repayments from Kaivalya Holding Group, Inc. and/or Edgar Holding Group, Inc.:

Claim Number	Name of Claimant	Funds Invested (per claim form)	Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	Repayment for Investment In	Approximate Repayment Received Traceable to Tech Traders ³	Receiver's Proposed Treatment of Claim
26	*Green, Marsha	\$152,000.00	\$0.00	Kaivalya Holding Group, Inc.	\$111,000.00	Allow after subtracting repayment received traceable to Tech Traders
37	List, Thomas c/o Millennium Trust Company	\$95,000.00	\$0.00	Kaivalya Holding Group, Inc.	\$47,500.00	Allow after subtracting repayment received traceable to Tech Traders
40	Marrongelle, Dr. Jeffrey and Barbara	\$100,000.00	\$0.00	Edgar Holding Group, Inc.	\$42,000.00	Allow after subtracting repayment received traceable to Tech Traders
46	Omaha Boy, Nancy H.	\$195,000.00	\$0.00	Kaivalya Holding Group, Inc.	\$180,000.00	Allow after subtracting repayment received traceable to Tech Traders
65	Shasta, Peter Mt.	\$200,100.00	\$200,000.00	Edgar Holding Group, Inc.	\$100,000.00	No allowable claim (claimant recovered more than amount invested)

²

³ These figures are based solely on currently available bank records and are subject to revision as more information becomes available.

Claim Number	Name of Claimant	Funds Invested (per claim form)	Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	Repayment for Investment In	Approximate Repayment Received Traceable to Tech Traders	Receiver's Proposed Treatment of Claim
67	** Shimer, Alison	\$150,000.00	\$0.00	Kaivalya Holding Group, Inc.	Alison Shimer's husband, Defendant Robert Shimer, received \$212,945.00 via joint checking account (number 5300125498) shared with Alison Shimer and an additional \$24,150.00 via an attorney escrow account; further, Robert Shimer received \$196,550.00 via their joint checking account from Edgar	No allowable claim (claimant recovered more than amount invested)

^{*} The \$152,000.00 invested by Marsha Green includes the \$47,000.00 wire transfer to Shasta Capital Associates, LLC on April 2, 2004

E. Claimants Being Investigated by the CFTC

- 5. The following claimants should be placed on the Disputed Claims list at this time because the CFTC has informed the Receiver that they are subjects of the CFTC's ongoing investigation:
 - Quest for Life, Claim Number 55
 - All the Sterling entities, Claim Numbers 70 through 76

F. Conflicting Proofs of Claim for the Same Money

6. The following claimants submitted conflicting proofs of claim to the Receiver asserting entitlement to the same funds invested with Shasta under the name of Dale Putz. Specifically, Dale Putz submitted a proof of claim asserting that \$300,000.00 was invested with Shasta on his behalf (\$50,000.00 from a personal account and \$250,000.00 from Chicago Freight Car Leasing F/B/O Dale Putz). Chicago Freight Car Leasing submitted a proof of claim

^{**} Alison Shimer transferred these funds totaling \$150,000.00 to Shasta from a joint checking account (number 5300125498) held in the names of Robert and Alison Shimer at Patriot Bank; therefore, her claim should be aggregated with all the repayments transferred to this account in the name of Robert Shimer

asserting its right to \$250,000.00 of the \$300,000.00 invested by Dale Putz. The Court will need to resolve each claimant's right to the funds.

Claim Number	Name of Claimant	Funds Invested (per claim form)	Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	Information (per Receiver's accountants)
54	Dale Putz	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	Same as claim form
91	Chicago Freight Car Leasing	\$250,000.00	\$0.00	

WHEREFORE, the Receiver respectfully requests that the Court enter an order:

- a. adopting the Receiver's proposed treatment of claims for those claims discussed in Sections A, B, C, D and E; and
 - b. resolving the conflicting claims identified in Section F.

DATED: March 31, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

STEPHEN T. BOBO

Equity Receiver

One of his attorneys

Stephen T. Bobo Bina Sanghavi Raven Moore Sachnoff & Weaver, Ltd. 10 South Wacker Drive, Suite 4000 Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 207-1000

Matthew H. Adler Jeffrey A. Carr Pepper Hamilton LLP 300 Alexander Park CN 5276 Princeton, NJ 08543-5276 (609) 452-0808 Case 1:04-cv-01512-RBK-AMD Document 276-1 Filed 10/27/2005 Page 16 of 35 Case 1:04-cv-01512-RBK-AMD Document 153 Filed 03/31/2005 Page 9 of 25

Exhibit A

Agreed Claims Interim Distribution Schedule

CFTC v. Equity Financial Group, LLC

Claim Number	Name of Claimant	Funds Invested (per claim form)	Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	Net Cash Balance	Gross Distribution Amount	Net Distr Amo
2	Aaron, Susan D. c/o Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company	\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$100,000.00	\$38,000.00	\$3
3	Acacia Fund	\$115,000.00	\$0.00	\$115,000.00	\$43,700.00	\$4
6	Batchelar, Robert Scott	\$150,000.00	\$0.00	\$150,000.00	\$57,000.00	\$5
7	BPU Banca Populare Commercio, Industria International SA c/o Massimo Munzin	\$519,914.60	\$0.00	\$519,914.60	\$197,567.54	\$19
8	Broadtree Reinsurance Co. c/o John Evans	\$200,000.00	\$0.00	\$200,000.00	\$76,000.00	\$7
9	Bubonovich, Michael and Carol	\$150,000.00	\$0.00	\$150,000.00	\$57,000.00	\$5
10	Bubonovich, Michael c/o First Regional Bank	\$222,789.00	\$0.00	\$222,789.00	\$84,659.82	\$8
11	Castle Rock Company Limited c/o Nesrin Gunkut	\$499,968.00	\$0.00	\$499,968.00	\$189,987.84	\$18

Schedule
Distribution
Interim
Claims
Agreed

38.00%	\$142,500.00	\$142,500.00	\$375,000.00	\$0.00	\$375,000.00	TOTAL:	
		\$57,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$0.00	\$150,000.00	Dent, Thomas W. and Barbara L.	19
		\$85,500.00	\$225,000.00	\$0.00	\$225,000.00	Dent, Thomas c/o Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company	18
38.00%	\$76,000.00	\$76,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$0.00	\$200,000.00	Corcoran, Steve	17
38.00%	\$57,000.00	\$57,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$0.00	\$150,000.00	Chung, Anita Li	15
38.00%	\$38,000.00	\$38,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$100,000.00	Chen, Jun	4
38.00%	\$194,500.00	\$294,500.00		\$100,000.00	\$775,000.00	TOTAL:	
		\$256,500.00	\$675,000.00	\$0.00	\$675,000.00	Chambers, James F. and Iva N./ Triple C Corp.	13
		\$38,000.00	\$0.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	Chambers, James F. and Iva N.	12
% Total Cumulative Distribution Received	Net Distribution Amount	Net Cash Balance Gross Distribution Amount	Net Cash Balance	Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	Funds Invested (per claim form)	Name of Claimant	Claim Number

Page 18 of 35 Page 11 of 25

Schedul
Distribution
Interim [
Claims
Agreed

		T	1. 6	1.0	1.0	1.6	Lo	1.0	Lo
% Total Cumulative Distribution Received			38.00%	38.00%	38.00%	125.19%	38.00%	38.00%	38.00%
Net Distribution Amount			\$152,000.00	\$28,500.00	\$60,800.00	\$0.00	\$38,000.00	\$38,000.00	\$77,900.00
Gross Distribution Amount			\$152,000.00	\$28,500.00	\$155,800.00	\$47,500.00	\$38,000.00	\$38,000.00	\$77,900.00
Net Cash Balance Gross Distribution Amount	\$300,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$75,000.00	\$315,000.00	-\$31,487.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$205,000.00
Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$95,000.00	\$156,487.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Funds Invested (per claim form)	\$300,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$75,000.00	\$410,000.00	\$125,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$205,000.00
Name of Claimant	Deverett, Howard/Elexis Capital	Deverett, Howard	TOTAL:	Freda, Richard R. and Marcia c/o Charles Schwab & Co.	Future Dreams, LLC c/o Ferrell, Mary A. and Scot	Harper Jr., M.D., Fitz	Hirn, Ron c/o Ryan Allan Ltd.	Heller, William D. and Janet E.	Hirsch, Norman
Claim Number	50	. 21		23	24	27	06	58	59

Schedule
Distribution
Interim I
Claims
Agreed

al Live Lion ed	38.00%	38.00%	38.00%	38.00%	63.16%			78.54%	38.00%
% Total Cumulative Distribution Received	38	88	38	38	63			78	38
Net Distribution Amount	\$38,000.00	\$76,183.16	\$51,680.00	\$38,000.00	\$0.00			\$0.00	\$76,000.00
Net Cash Balance Gross Distribution Amount	\$38,000.00	\$76,183.16	\$51,680.00	\$38,000.00	\$36,100.00	\$38,000.00	\$139,080.00	\$177,080.00	\$76,000.00
Net Cash Balance	\$100,000.00	\$200,482.00	\$136,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$100,000.00	\$200,000.00
Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$60,000.00	\$0.00	\$366,000.00	\$366,000.00	\$0.00
Funds Invested (per claim form)	\$100,000.00	\$200,482.00	\$136,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$95,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$366,000.00	\$466,000.00	\$200,000.00
Name of Claimant	Kalb, Austin	KCL Corporation c/o Faith and Cengiz Ozcelebi	Kraus, Stephen J. c/o Lincoln Trust Company	Leveque, Laurent	Loucks, Richard	McManigal, Paul G.	McManigal, Paul G. IRA c/o Millennium Trust Company	TOTAL:	Mukerji, Jaydip
Claim Number	33	34	35	36	39	41	42		44

Φ
3
졌
ے
ŏ
ທ
둙
ĕ
ᆽ
픋
퓽
Ξ
_
Ë
ᆵ
nterim D
Interi
nteri
Interi
laims Interi
aims Interi
laims Interi
laims Interi

CFTC v. Equity Financial Group, LLC et al., Case No. 04CV 1512

% Total Cumulative Distribution Received	38.00%							74.66%	38.00%
Net Distribution Amount	\$133,000.00							\$0.00	\$152,000.00
Net Cash Balance Gross Distribution Amount	\$133,000.00	\$573,800.00	\$95,000.00	\$133,000.00	\$380,000.00	\$342,000.00	\$76,000.00	\$1,599,800.00	\$152,000.00
Net Cash Balance	\$350,000.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$250,000.00	\$350,000.00	-\$143,333.00	\$900,000.00	-\$1,800,000.00	\$1,066,667.00	\$400,000.00
Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.08	\$0.00	\$1,143,333.00	\$0.00	\$2,000,000.00	\$3,143,333.00	\$0.00
Funds Invested (per claim form)	\$350,000.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$250,000.00	\$350,000.00	\$1,000,000.00	\$900,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$4,210,000.00	\$400,000.00
Name of Claimant	Northridge, G. Stephen	P & G Associates, LLC (Account No. 5100-3)	P & P Associates, LLC (Account No. 1100 LDP)	P & P Associates, LLC (Account No. 1100 WAP)	Patton Associates, LLC (Account No. 1098)	Patton Associates, LLC (Account No. 1098-1)	Patton Associates, LLC (Account No. 1098-sub)	TOTAL:	Podila, Prasad
Claim Number	45	47	48	49	50	51	52		53

Schedule
Distribution
ms Interim
greed Clai

Claim Number	Name of Claimant	Funds Invested (per claim form)	Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	Net Cash Balance Gross Distribution Amount	Gross Distribution Amount	Net Distribution Amount	% Total Cumulative Distribution Received
56	Richardson, Robert c/o Charles Schwab & Co.	\$48,000.00	\$0.00	\$48,000.00	\$18,240.00	\$18,240.00	38.00%
22	Richardson, Theresa c/o Charles Schwab & Co.	\$28,000.00	\$0.00	\$28,000.00	\$10,640.00	\$10,640.00	38.00%
58	Rola, LLC c/o Robert P. Goldstein	\$500,000.00	\$0.00	\$500,000.00	\$190,000.00	\$190,000.00	38.00%
59	Saner, Cheri	\$110,000.00	\$0.00	\$110,000.00	\$41,800.00	\$41,800.00	38.00%
09	Saner, Dale c/o First Regional Bank	\$360,000.00	\$0.00	\$360,000.00	\$136,800.00	\$136,800.00	38.00%
61	Schulz, Diana c/o Millenium Trust Co.	\$22,794.15	\$0.00	\$22,794.15	\$8,661.77		
62	Schulz, William C. and Diana	\$244,000.00	\$105,000.00	\$139,000.00	\$92,720.00		
63	Schulz, William C. c/o Millenium Trust Co.	\$42,066.20	\$0.00	\$42,066.20	\$15,985.16		
	TOTAL:	\$308,860.35	\$105,000.00	\$203,860.35	\$117,366.93	\$12,366.93	38.00%

Schedule
Distribution
s Interim
d Claim
gree

CFTC v. Equity Financial Group, LLC et al., Case No. 04CV 1512

0.5	8	<u> %</u>		1	%	1	T	1%	 %	1 %
% Total Cumulative Distribution Received	38.00%	38.00%			38.00%			38.00%	38.00%	38.00%
Net Distribution Amount	\$22,800.00	\$38,000.00			\$85,500.00			\$203,656.88	\$38,000.00	\$163,400.00
Gross Distribution Amount	\$22,800.00	\$38,000.00	\$66,500.00	\$19,000.00	\$85,500.00	\$108,656.88	\$95,000.00	\$203,656.88	\$38,000.00	\$163,400.00
Net Cash Balance Gross Distribution Amount	\$60,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$175,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$225,000.00	\$285,939.16	\$250,000.00	\$535,939.16	\$100,000.00	\$430,000.00
Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Funds Invested (per claim form)	\$60,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$175,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$225,000.00	\$285,939.16	\$250,000.00	\$535,939.16	\$100,000.00	\$430,000.00
Name of Claimant	Seward, Charles	Shasta, Peter Mt./ G.W. Bond Management, LLC	Shimer, Stephen D.	Shimer, Stephen D./SDS Defined Benefit Plan	TOTAL:	Stevenson, Nicholas c/o Millennium Trust Company	Stevenson, Nicholas	TOTAL:	Taleb, Nazih and May c/o Karim Taleb	Tate Family Limited Partnership
Claim Number	64	99	89	69		77	78		79	80

Schedule
Distribution
s Interim
Agreed Claim

Claim Name of Claimant Number	Funds Invested (per claim form)	Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	Net Cash Balance Gross Distribution Amount	Gross Distribution Amount	Net Distribution Amount	% Total Cumulative Distribution Received
Tate, Philip	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00	\$114,000.00	\$114,000.00	38.00%
Triester International Trading Corporation	\$200,000.00	00.08	\$200,000.00	\$76,000.00	\$76,000.00	38.00%
Unger, Kathrin	\$238,000.00	\$66,660.00	\$171,340.00	\$90,440.00	\$23,780.00	38.00%
Unger, Samuel S.	\$125,000.00	\$0.00	\$125,000.00	\$47,500.00	\$47,500.00	38.00%
Valbracht, Walter William and Janice	\$220,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$170,000.00	\$83,600.00	\$33,600.00	38.00%
Wood, Dennis c/o Charles Schwab & Co.	\$53,000.00	\$0.00	\$53,000.00	\$20,140.00	\$20,140.00	38.00%
Zinman, Don	\$250,000.00	\$0.00	\$250,000.00	\$95,000.00	\$95,000.00	38.00%
Zwyssig, Marco	\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$100,000.00	\$38,000.00	\$38,000.00	38.00%
			TOTAL:		\$3,621,202.17	

Page 18 of 25 Filed 03/31/2005 Document 153 Case 1:04-cv-01512-RBK-AMD

Exhibit B

Disputed Claims Interim Distribution Schedule

CFTC v. Equity Financial Group, LL

Claim Number	Name of Claimant	Funds Invested (per claim form)	Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	Net Cash Balance	Gross Distribution Amount	Net Distr Amo
1	A Wall Street Fund c/o Thomas Buckley	\$450,000.00	\$0.00	\$450,000.00	\$171,000.00	\$17
4	Ardill, Thomas A.	\$100,000.00	\$52,000.00	\$48,000.00	\$38,000.00	
5	Bally Lines Ltd. c/o Dr. Edward J. Evors	\$1,508,000.00	\$410,000.00	\$1,098,000.00	\$573,040.00	\$16
91	Chicago Freight Car Leasing	\$250,000.00	\$0.00	\$250,000.00	\$95,000.00	\$9
16	Citco Global Custody N.V. (Stable Absolute Return)	\$250,000.00	\$0.00	\$250,000.00	\$95,000.00	\$9
22	Dream Venture Group, LLC c/o Gregg Amerman	\$1,083,000.00	\$280,146.00	\$802,854.00	\$411,540.00	\$13
25	Gambrell, Byron	\$60,000.00	\$0.00	\$60,000.00	\$22,800.00	\$2
26	Green, Marsha	\$105,000.00	\$0.00	\$105,000.00	\$39,900.00	\$3

Schedule
Distribution
Interim
Claims
Disputed

% Total Cumulative Distribution Received	102.78%	65.71%	38.00%	38.00%	38.00%	38.00%	130.58%	38.00%
Net Distribution Cu Amount Cu Dis	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$40,820.00	\$36,100.00	\$9,500.00	\$38,000.00	\$0.00	\$74,100.00
	\$152,000.00	\$66,500.00	\$90,820.00	\$36,100.00	\$9,500.00	\$38,000.00	\$38,000.00	\$74,100.00
Net Cash Balance Gross Distribution Amount	-\$11,115.00	\$60,000.00	\$189,000.00	\$95,000.00	\$25,000.00	\$100,000.00	-\$30,576.00	\$195,000.00
Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	\$411,115.00	\$115,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$130,576.00	\$0.00
(per claim form)	\$400,000.00	\$175,000.00	\$239,000.00	\$95,000.00	\$25,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$195,000.00
Name of Claimant	ICC Finance Corp. c/o Shlomo Bitensky	International Investment Alliance c/o Henry W. Baletin	Janelle Wagner Trust c/o Snyder Financial Services	List, Thomas c/o Millennium Trust Company	Lopez, Alfred	Marrongelle, Dr. Jeffrey L. and Barbara	Metalchem Metallurgical Inc. c/o Fernando Garcia	Omaha Boy, Nancy H.
Number	30	હ	32	37	88	40	43	46

CFTC v. Equity Financial Group, LLC et al., Case No. 04CV 1512

Schedule
Distribution
s Interim I
uted Claim
Dispu

Name of Claimant	nant Funds Invested (per claim form)	Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	Net Cash Balance	Net Cash Balance Gross Distribution Amount	Net Distribution Amount	% Total Cumulative Distribution Received
Putz, Dale F.	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00	\$114,000.00	\$114,000.00	38.00%
Quest for Life c/o Samuel J. Grimes	e \$2,850,000.00	\$870,000.00	\$1,980,000.00	\$1,083,000.00	\$213,000.00	38.00%
Shasta, Peter Mt.	Mt. \$200,100.00	\$200,000.00	\$100.00	\$76,038.00	\$0.00	99.95%
Shimer, Alison E.	1 E. \$150,000.00	\$0.00	\$150,000.00	\$57,000.00	\$57,000.00	38.00%

CFTC v. Equity Financial Group, LLC et al., Case No. 04CV 1512

Disputed Claims Interim Distribution Schedule

Case 1:04-cv-01512-RBK-AMD

Document 153

Filed 03/31/2005

Page 22 of 25

% Total Cumulative Distribution Received								38.00%	38.00%	
Net Distribution Amount								\$4,819,358.74	\$737,875.00	\$6,857,887.74
Gross Distribution Amount	\$562,400.00	\$95,000.00	\$3,487,450.00	\$72,200.00	\$1,735,781.10	\$0.00	\$105,897.64	\$6,058,728.74	\$1,170,210.00	
Net Cash Balance Gross Distribution Amount	\$755,629.60	\$75,000.00	\$9,177,500.00	\$190,000.00	\$4,327,845.00	-\$100,000.00	\$278,678.00	\$14,704,652.60	\$2,647,165.00	TOTAL:
Previous Withdrawals (per claim form)	\$724,370.40	\$175,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$240,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,239,370.40	\$432,335.00	
Funds Invested (per claim form)	\$1,480,000.00	\$250,000.00	\$9,177,500.00	\$190,000.00	\$4,567,845.00	\$0.00	\$278,678.00	\$15,944,023.00	\$3,079,500.00	
Name of Claimant	Sterling ACS Ltd., Subaccount - Strategic (Bahamas) Portfolio	Sterling Alliance Ltd.	Sterling Bank Ltd.	Sterling Casualty & Insurance Ltd.	Sterling Investment Management, Ltd.	Sterling Trust (Anguilla), Ltd.	Strategic Investment Portfolio	TOTAL:	Universe Capital Appreciation, LLC c/o David Perkins	
Claim Number	02	71	72	73	74	75	92		85	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Page 23 of 25

Filed 03/31/2005

Document 153

Case 1:04-cv-01512-RBK-AMD

I, Raven Moore, on oath hereby certify that I caused copies of the EQUITY

RECEIVER'S OBJECTIONS TO CERTAIN INVESTOR CLAIMS to be served upon:

ley Paul Blaine	Scott R. Williamson, Deputy Regional Counsel Assistant United States Attorney	el for the District of New Jersey	sion Camden Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse	401 Market Street, 4th Floor	Camden, New Jersey 08101
Elizabeth M. Streit, Lead Trial Attorney	Scott R. Williamson, Deputy Regiona	Rosemary Hollinger, Regional Counsel	Commodity Futures Trading Commission	525 West Monroe Street, Suite 1100	Chicago, Illinois 60661

Samuel F. Abernethy	Melvvn J. Falis
Don't M. Hallacour	Change Vanion 9, Dane DI I
raul M. nellegers	Gustae, Napian & Bruno FLLC
Menaker & Herrmann LLP	120 Wall Street
10 East 40th Street	New York, New York 10005
New York, New York 10016	

120 Wall Street New York, New York 1000	Robert Shimer 1225 W. Leesport Rd. Leesport, PA 19533
Menaker & Herrmann LLP 10 East 40th Street New York, New York 10016	J. Vernon Abernethy413 South Chester StreetGastonia, North Carolina 28052

Alison Shimer	ICC Finance Corporation
1225 W. Leesport Rd.	Attn: Shlomo Bitensky
Leesport, PA 19533	41 Hasbalom Street
	Raanana. Israel 43561

	Datoara Mail Succi Tund 113 Pine Creek Road 10075 Promesa Drive	District Marron Collo	Thomas G. Buckley, Jr.
--	--	-----------------------	------------------------

Samuel J. Grimes, Jr.

Quest for Life

Paul M. Crimmins

Case 1:04-cv-01512-RBK-AMD Document 153 Filed 03/31/2005 Page 24 of 25

Thomas A. Ardill P.O. Box 3956 Carson City, NV 89702 250-495-8016 (Canada) Bally Lines Ltd. c/o Dr. Edward J. Evors 720 W. Orient Street Tampa, FL 33603

Citco Bank Nederland N.V.
Citco Global Custody NV - Ref 209862
Dublin Branch Custom House
Plaza Block 6 International Financial Services
Center
P.O. Box 6639
Dublin, Ireland

Dream Venture Group, LLC c/o Gregg Amerman 410 Autry Ridge Point Alpharetta, GA 30022

Byron Gambrell 1930 Factors Fork Rd. West Point, TN 38486 Henry W. Balentin International Investment Alliance Rooi Bosal 41-B Santa Cruz, Aruba West Indies

Janelle Wagner Trust c/o Snyder Financial Services Brian K. Snyder 6280 North Shadeland Avenue Suite A Indianapolis, IN 46220 Alfred Lopez 10425 Montgomery Panaway NE Albuquerque, NM 87111

Sterling ACS Ltd.

Subaccount - Strategic (Bahamas) Portfolio c/o Dicienzo Storr

British American House

Marlborough Street & New Lyan

Nassau Bahamas

Universe Capital Appreciation, LLC Perkins, David 90 S. 1250 W St. George, UT 84770

Sterling Investment Management, Ltd.
Subaccount Sterling Investment Management Ltd.
c/o Ms. Domatee Mohan
British American House
Marlborough Street & New Lyan
Nassau Bahamas

Sterling Trust (Anguilla) Ltd.
National Bank
c/o Ms. Domatee Mohan
British American House
Marlborough Street & New Lyan
Nassau Bahamas

Case 1:04-cv-01512-RBK-AMD Document 153 Filed 03/31/2005 Page 25 of 25

Metalchem Metallurgical Inc. c/o MM1/Fernando Garcia P.O. Box 9363 Spring, Texas 77387 Strategic Investment Portfolio 255 Woltz Lane Advance, NC 27006

Peter Mt. Shasta G.W. Bond Management, LLC, c/o Peter Mt. Shasta P.O. Box 1103 Mount Shasta, CA 96067 Dale F. Putz 22429 Brookside Way Barrington, IL 60010

Marsha L. Green 175 Hawthorne Court Wyomissing, PA 19610 Nancy Boy Omaha 509 Carsonia Ave. Reading, PA 19606

Sterling Alliance Ltd. c/o Dicienzo Storr British American House Malborough Street & Navy Lyan Nassau Bahamas Simpson Clark Dream Ventures Group, LLC 1955 Lochlomand Trail SW Atlanta, GA 30331

Wayne Gideons Bally Lines Ltd. 2953 Forest Circle Seffner, FL 33584 Shadetree Investment Trust c/o Eldridge Glasford Spencer Howell Building #208 Charlestown, Nevis, West Indies

Thomas E. List 920 Imperial Drive Mohnton, PA 19540

via U.S. Mail on this 31st day of March, 2005.

Raven Moore

Counsel for the Equity Receiver

Exhibit B

Moore, Raven

From: Peter Pearlman [PSP@NJLAWFIRM.COM]

Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2005 1:05 PM

To: Moore, Raven Cc: 'Jeff Saunders'

Subject: Commodity Futures Trading Commission v. Equity Financial Group, etc. et als. D.N.J. Case No. 04-

Raven Moore, Esq. Sachnoff & Weaver, Ltd 10 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606-7507

Dear Ms. Moore:

I am one one of the attorneys representing the Janelle Wagner Trust in the above captioned matter. In connection with the Receiver's objection to the Trust's claim and the related Order to Show Cause returnable on November 2, 2005, I enclose a copy of a letter from Lance W. Woderlin, Esq. dated October 25, 2005, the original of which was sent to Elizabeth Streit, Esq. of the CFTC. As is apparent from the letter, the Trust acknowledges that a \$75000 credit is warranted.

I am sending this letter to you at the suggestion of Steven T. Bobo, Esq. Please forgive the informality of this transmission, but in light of the time restrictions here, I wanted you to have it as soon as possible.

Very truly yours,

Peter S. Pearlman Cohn Lifland Pearlman Herrmann & Knopf LLP Park Eighty West Plaza-One Saddle Brook, NJ 07663 201-845-9600 201-845-9423 fax psp@njlawfirm.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Raven Moore, on oath hereby certify that I caused copies of the Reply of Equity Receiver to Responses of James Roberts, Dave Williams and Janelle A. Wagner Family Trust to Order to Show Cause Dated October 6, 2005 to be served upon:

Elizabeth M. Streit, Lead Trial Attorney Scott R. Williamson, Deputy Regional Counsel Rosemary Hollinger, Regional Counsel Commodity Futures Trading Commission 525 West Monroe Street, Suite 1100 Chicago, Illinois 60661

Samuel F. Abernethy Paul M. Hellegers Menaker & Herrmann LLP 10 East 40th Street New York, New York 10016

J. Vernon Abernethy 413 South Chester Street Gastonia, North Carolina 28052

Vincent J. Firth 3 Aster Court Medford, New Jersey 08055

Dave Williams 165 Willow Way Roswell, GA 30076

Adinamis, Michael & Saunders Jeffrey A. Saunders Counsel for Janelle A. Wagner Family Trust 500 East 96th Street, Suite 360 Indianapolis, IN 46240

via U.S. Mail on this 27th day of October, 2005.

Paul Blaine Assistant United States Attorney for the District of New Jersey Camden Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse 401 Market Street, 4th Floor Camden, New Jersey 08101

Melvyn J. Falis Gusrae, Kaplan & Bruno PLLC 120 Wall Street New York, New York 10005

Robert Shimer 1225 W. Leesport Rd. Leesport, PA 19533

James Roberts 201 Thompson Lane #200 Nashville, TN 37211

Cohn Lifland Pearlman Herrmann & Knopf Peter S. Pearlman Counsel for Janelle A. Wagner Family Trust Park 80 Plaza West-One Saddle Brook, NJ 07663

Counsel for the Equity Receiver