

Commodity Futures Trading Commission
CEA CASES

NAME: G. H. MILLER AND COMPANY; GILBERT H. MILLER; HOWARD RANDOLPH, D/B/A RANDOLPH AND COMPANY; REFRIGERATED PRODUCTS, INC.; J.W. HARDING; CENTRAL IOWA POULTRY AND EGG COMPANY; JOHN H. SNOWGREN; ALLEN HEADLEE, D/B/A HEADLEE PRODUCE COMPANY; E.E. HUMMEL AND K. HUMMEL, D/B/A HUMMEL PRODUCE COMPANY; ALBERT SCHIRM, D/B/A SCHIRM PRODUCE COMPANY; LEO HAGEN; A.L. MYRICK, D/B/A MARKET PRODUCE COMPANY; LEWIS R. VAN SANT AND ROY ROUNTREE, D/B/A DATED EGG COMPANY

DOCKET NUMBER: 60

DATE: DECEMBER 31, 1956

DOCUMENT TYPE: ORDER DISMISSING PETITION FOR RECONSIDERING AND REARGUMENT

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

BEFORE THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

In re: G. H. Miller and Company, et al., Respondents

CEA Docket No. 60

Order Dismissing Petition for Reconsideration and Reargument

On September 25, 1956, a decision was made in this proceeding under the Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. Chapter 1) finding that the respondents violated the act and an order was entered prescribing sanctions (15 Agric. Dec. 1015 (15 A.D. 1015)). On October 4, 1956, the respondents were granted an extension of time for the filing of a petition for reconsideration and the order of September 25, 1956, was stayed pending action upon the petition for reconsideration to be filed. On October 31, 1956, the respondents filed a 62-page petition for reconsideration and reargument. The complainant filed a reply to the petition and the respondents filed an answer to the complainant's reply.

The proceeding has been lengthy and exhaustive, particularly with respect to argument. The petition is not persuasive that additional argument should be had or that any material change should be made in the decision or order entered. Accordingly, the stay order of October 4, 1956, is vacated and the order of

LOAD-DATE: June 12, 2008

